# figh al-Imam KEY PROOFS IN HANAFI FIQH



On Taglid and the Hanafi Interpretation of the Prophetic Statement "Pray as you have observed me pray" (Sahih al-Bukhari)

ABDUR-RAHMAN IBN YUSUF

In the name of Allah,
Most Gracious Most Merciful.
All praise be to Allah. Lord of the
Worlds, and peace and blessings be upon
His Messenger Muhammad, the
Mercy of the Worlds.

# Figh al-Imam Key Proofs in Hanafi Figh

On Taglid and the Hanafi Interpretation of the Prophetic Statement "Pray as you have observed me pray" (Sabih al-Bukhari)

Foreword by Shaykh Yusuf Motala

ABDUR-RAHMAN IBN YUSUF



© Abdut-Rahman ibn Yusuf Mangera 2003 First Edition January 1996 Second Revised and Extended Edition September 1996 Third Revised Edition First Printing June 2003 Second Printing May 2004 with indexes added Third Printing September 2007

All rights reserved. Aside from fair use, meaning a few pages or less for non-profit educational purposes, review, or scholarly citation, no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior permission of the copyright owner.

ISBN 978-0-9728358-0-0

Published by: White Thread Press California USA www.whirethreadpress.com info@whirethreadpress.com

Distributed in the UK by Arhat Academy Ltd. Landon sales@azhatacademy.org
Tel: +44 (208) 911 9797

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Ibn Yusuf, Abdui-Raliman, 1974-

Figh al-Imani: key proofs in Hanafi figh on tachid and the Hanafi interpretation of the prophetic statement "pray as you have observed me pray (Şaḥiḥ al-Bukhāti)" / hy Abdut-Rahman Ibn Yusuf; foreword by Shaykh Ynsuf Motala.—31d tev. ed., 211d print.

p. em. Includes index. ISBN 0-9728358-0-6 (pbk. : alk. paper) I. Islanite law–Sources. 2. Hanafires. I. Title, KBP295.1236 2004 340.5'9-dca2

2004007912

For Yusuf & Pusuf My father Pronf Mangen & My shaykh Prunf Medda

⊕ Printed and bound in the United States of America on premium acid-free paper

## Contents

	Foreword	:
	Introduction	X
	Part One	
1,	TAQLID: FOLLOWING A SCHOOL IN ISLAMIC LAW What is Taglid? Definition of Taglid Taglid in General The Necessity of Taglid	
	Evidence from Hadiths Fwils of Discarding Tuglid Taglid: Following an Imam in the Matters of Shari'a Following one Particular Imam in Every Juristic Issue	1
2.	IMAM A'ZAM ABU HANIFA AND HADITH Imam Abu Hanifa: A Follower [Tabi'l] Imam Abu Hanifa Nauared from the Companions Imam Abu Hanifa: Most Learned Person of his Time Imam Abu Hanifa: A Hadith Masier [Hafiz] Imam Abu Hanifa: An Anthoniy and Critic of Hadith Conclusion	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3
3.	'ABDULLAH IBN MAS'UD \$\pi \text{The Companions of Allah's Messenger \$\pi \text{Hadiths on the Virtues of Abdullah ibn Mas'ud \$\pi \text{Knowledge of the Qut'an}\text{Other Hadiths Regarding 'Abdullah ibn Mas'ud \$\pi \text{Norther Statements}\text{Conclusion}	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4

VII

FIQH AL-IMAM			Contents
4. The True Position According to Allah 機	41	5. Raising the Hands for <i>Ruku'</i> The Various Opinions	97 98
Part Two		Some History Regarding the Issue	98
		The Differences Found in the Natrations	100
<ol> <li>The Distance to be Kept Between the Feet</li> </ol>	45	Another Complication	102
The Various Opinions	46	The Hadiths on Raising the Hands	103
The Hadith on Joining the Feet	48	Weakness of 'Abdullah ibn 'Umai's 🕹 Narrations	103
Other Points to be Considered	52	The Hailiths on not Raising the Hands	104
Conclusion	52	The Hadiths of Abdullah ibn Mas'nd 🦚	104
2. THE POSITION OF THE HANDS IN PRAYER	**	The Hadiths of 'Abdullah ibn 'Untar 🛵	105
The Various Opinions	55 56	The Hadirhs of Jabir ibii Santura 🐇	106
The First Difference of Opinium	56	The Hadirlis of 'Abdullah ibn 'Abbas 🕉	108
The Second Difference of Opinion	56	The Hailiths of Bara' ibn 'Azib &	108
The Hadishs on This Issue	57	The Companions and Followers on This Issue	109
The First Version	57	Other Reasons for not Raising the Hands	111
The Second Version	59	Conclusion	115
Other Narrations	59	6. SITTING IN PRAYER: TAWARRUK OR IFTIRASII?	
Evidence of the Hanafis	61	The Various Opinions	F17
Other Evidences for the Hanafi Opinion	62	The Hadilis on Ifirash	117
Conclusion	63	The Hadiths on Tawarruk	118
		Conclusion	121
3. RECITING BEHIND THE IMAM	65	Canolinania	123
The Various Opinions	65	7. The Sunna Prayer of Fair	125
The Holy Qur'an on This Issue	67	The Various Opinions	126
The Hadiths on This Issue	72	Importance of the Sunna Prayer of Fajr	127
The Companions and Followers on This Issue	75	The Companious and Followers on This Issue	127
Other Reasons for not Reciting Belifted the Imam	78	Other Reasons for the Hanafi Opinion	130
Analyzing the Seentingly Contradictory Hadiths	80	Oue More Point to Remember	131
Conclusion	86	A 57 11 5 / 111 1	,
T 1 4 F		8. How Many Rak'ats in With?	133
4. The Issue of AMIN—Explained	87	The Various Opinions	134
The Various Opinions	88	The Hadiths on This Issue	135
'The Qui'an iii This Issue	89	The Companions and Followers on This Issue	139
The Hadishs on This Issue	90	How Many Salams in the Witr Prayer?	141
The Companions and Followers on This Issue	93	Some Confusing Narrations	144
Other Reasons for Saying Amin Silently	93	Is One Rak'a Sufficient fin Witr?	148
Analyzing the Seemingly Contradictory Hadiths	93	A Final Question	150
A General Explanation and Conclusion	94	Conclusion	151

#### FIQH AL-IMAM

9.	Prayer After 'Asr	153
	The Various Opinions	153
	Analyzing the Seemingly Contradictory Hadiths	154
	An Exclusive Practice of the Messenger 🕸	160
	The Hadiths Prohibiting Prayer After Asr	161
	Conclusion	163
10.	Prayer During the Friday Sermon	165
	The Qur'an on This Issue	166
	The Hadiths on This Issue	167
	The Companions and Followers on This Issue	169
	Analyzing the Seemingly Contradictory Hadiths	171
	Conclusion	177
11.	THE NUMBER OF RAK'ATS IN TARAWIH	179
	Opinions of the Scholars	180
	Terawih During the First Generations	180
	Absence of Anthentic Narrations	182
	The Hadiths on This Issue	184
	The Opinium of Various Jurists and Scholars	189
	Other Important Points to be Noted	191
	Analyzing the Narrations Concerning Eight Rak'ass	192
	Conclusion	199
12.	COMBINING TWO PRAYERS	201
	The Various Opinions	202
	The Qui'an on This Issue	203
	The Hadiths on This Issue	204
	The Flediths on Combining Prayers	206
	Cinichision	209
	Glossary	211
	Bibliography	217
	Index of Persons	221
	Subject Index	226
	Allout the Author	235

### Foreword

In the name of Allah Most High,

My dear Abdur-Rahman (may Allah protect you). In accordance with the Sunna, I send you greetings of peace.

It has been a great source of pleasure to learn of the publication of the new edition of Figh al-Imam, May Allah accept the book and grant it the honor of acceptance among the elect and laity.

If every worshipper studies this book once, he will experience a transformation in his prayer. Since he has until now been performing his prayer according to legal rulings [fatawa]; whereas after studying this book, he would increase in his conviction, that the way he stands, tecites, bows, prostrates, and sits in the prayet is indeed in one hundred percent emulation of the Mercy of the Worlds, Muhammad, the Messenger of Allah . He will sense a special kind of contentment and happiness.

May Allah grant us the ability to emiliate the Messenger 28 in all our acts of worship and ptactice. May He maintain in its the love of the Messenger 28 and grant its death in that state.

[SHAYKII] YUSUF [MOTALA] Senioi Hadiili Teacher and Rector Darul Uloom al-Arabiyya al-Islamiyya Holcombe, Bury, UK May 6, 2003 | Rabi' al-Awwal 4, 1424

### Introduction

Many Muslims nowadays are often confused by the appearance of variations in the way other Muslims pray. New Muslims who are maware of the fact that there are four traditional schools of Islamic jurisprudence are especially liable to become confused as to why one of their fellow Muslims says "amin" silently after reciting Surai al-Fatiha, while another Muslim brother, three rows back, utters "amin" aloud. A curious worshipper might also wonder why some Muslims raise rheir hands before going into ruku' [bowing], and others leave their arms and liands hanging to their sides.

Regardless of which merhod a person follows in his prayer, observing rhese types of differences can be quite confusing for one who is unaware of the different methods of prayer. This confusion, if increased or prolonged, can lead a person to begin criticizing all methods of prayer, not to mention his or her own way of praying. To add to this confusion, there are some people who officiously go about informing other worshippers that their method of prayer is wrong, and that the Messenger of Allah as never used to pray that way. They also regularly condemn anyone who follows a position other than their own.

So what are rhe reasons for rhe differences observed in the prayer? Are some of these methods incorrect and a deviation from the sacred teachings of Islam? Is there room for such differences in the way Muslims worship? Furthermore, if all rhe positions of the four traditional schools of Islamic law or *madhhabs* are valid, then is rhere one that is more superior to the others or are they all the same in rhe sight of Allah 🐉?

#### Gradual Changes in the Prophetic Example

The prayer went through various changes throughout the life of the Messenger &. For instance, in the early days of Islam, it was permissible to speak in prayer. It was also permissible to move about while praying. The hands were raised at nearly every posture, including when coming up from the fitst prostration [sajda]. Likewise, in fasting, a person had to begin his fast from the time he fell asleep, even if that happened to be just after sunset. One can find many examples of changes and transformations that took place in the various rituals of Islamic worship over the twenty-three years of prophethood.

Therefore, one possible source of why some natations on prayer seem to apparently conflict with one another, is the gradual transformation of the salar that took place during the lifetime of the Messenger of Allah &. The presence of these apparently conflicting natrations is thus one of the teasons why there are scholarly differences of opinion today on prayer and other aspects of worship. It was the work of the mujtahid limans to sift through these apparent contradictions and to select thuse narrations which would help to understand and formulate the Sinna in a systematic way.

Some scholars state that it is due to Allah's love for His Messenger that He kept alive the vatious actions and postures he performed throughout his life—in the form of four madhhabs of schools of jurisptudence—the Hanafi, Maliki, Shafi'i and Hambali schools.

One of the main intentious in the preparation of this book was to provide fin all access to evidences of the Hanafi prayer in the English language. It was hoped that this would facilitate a deeper understanding of the Hanafi position regarding the method of prayer, and also engendet greater confidence in those positions, especially for those who follow the Hanafi school. By gaining insight into the strength of evidences and the sound methodology through which a school tlerives its tulings, a person can feel more confident in his following of that school.

This book, while highlighting some of the differences of opinion hetween the various schools regarding certain aspects of *salat*, primatily focuses on presenting the prominent opinions of the Hanafi school on those issues. By the grace of Allah **36**, much of the confusion that people had concerning such issues (either through seeing others pray differently of from being influenced by those who do not follow one of the four traditional schools of jutisprudence) has been removed by eatliet editions of this book and other similar publications.

#### The Legality of the Four Schools

It must be remembered that the intent of this work has not been 10, in any way, discredit the opinions of any of the other three traditional schools of jurisprudence (Maliki, Shaffi and Hanbali). Each of the four schools has its sources in the Qui'an and hadith, and they differ only in the interpretation, application, and scholarly analysis of those sources. Therefore, it is very possible that if one finds the arguments and evidences presented in the works on Hanafi jurisprudence to he strong and convincing, he may feel the same way when teading literature from the other three schools. It is for this reason that the great Imams had a deep and mutual respect for one another's legal positions. Consequently, accepting as valid the opinions of all four legal schools would become a connection of Sunni jurisprudence. However, the etiquente that was and is still observed by each of the four schools is:

Out opinion is correct with the possibility of being incorrect, and their opinion is incorrect with the possibility of being correct.

Hence, the schulars of one school do not criticize the scholars of another school, but tather understand that each is following an interpretation of the same sources of *Shari'a* (the Qur'an and hadith) as propounded by their Imams—all of whom possessed the ability to infer trilings directly from the Qur'an and the hadiths of the Messenger . These four schools have been accepted century after

century by the People of the Sunna and Community [Ahl al-Sunna wa'l-Jama'a]. Although there are those who do not follow a school of jurisptudence and claim to tely only on the hadiths, what they are in fact claiming is a place alongside the four Sunni Imams. These same people also follow the interpretations of scholars they trust, which is similar to following one of the four schools of Islamic jurisprudence. The difference however is that they replace the opinions of the righteous Imams of earlier centuries with the opinions of scholars of latter times.

It is important to note that when entimetating the opinions on the various aspects of prayer in this book, only the names of those Imams have been mentioned who hold the same opinion as the Hanafis on a particular issue, since the main purpose of this book is to demonstrate the strength of the Hanafi position and not of the other valid schools of jurisprudence. Therefore, terms such as "group one" or "group two" have been employed when referring to those conflicting views. Also, whenever a consensus of the four Imams on an issue is being discussed, the opinion that conflicts with the consensus would be the opinion of those who do not follow one of the traditional schools of jurisprudence.

#### The Format of This Book

This book covers twelve of the most important aspects of prayer in which there are differences of opinion. Each chapter begins with an introduction and thereafter mentions the various scholarly opinions on the particular aspect of prayer being discussed. Evidences from the Qur'an, hadiths, statements of the Companions, and logical reasoning, are then presented under their tespective subheadings; and finally, those hadiths which appear to contradict the Hanafi opinion are analyzed and explained. The discussion is then summarized with a conclusion.

Four chapters have been added to the beginning of the book. They discuss the importance of taqlid or "following a school in Islamic

law;" the status of 'Abdullah ibn Mas'ud &; the position of Imam Abu Hanifa as a Followet [tabi'i], scholar, and natratot of hadith; and the question of which opinion is correct in the sight of Allah . It is hoped that these chapters will provide further insight into the methodology of the Hanafi school in particular and into traditional scholarship in general.

Another important point to remember here is that it is sufficient for a Muslim to rely on the legal opinions of any one of the four schools of Islamic law without specifically knowing the evidence behind their opinion, since taglid means to follow an Imam while trusting that he has correctly interpreted the sacred texts to the best of his ability. However, in view of the off-repeated claim made by those who do not practice taglid of a madhhab—that the traditional schools of jurisptudence base their opinions and tulings on mere conjecture and analogy rather than sound evidences—it was necessary to compile the evidences of the Hanafi school. Presenting the evidences and highlighting some of the methodology of the Hanafi school will demonstrate to the layman how the school goes about detiving rulings from the Qur'an, hadith and other sources of Shari'a [Sacred Law].

The rask of compiling, studying, analyzing, and inferting rulings from the sacred sources is a difficult task to undertake and is certainly not the job of a student of the sacred sciences, like the compiler of this book. Such work has already been ably accomplished by the great scholars of the past, like 'Allama Badr al-din al-'Ayni, Jamal al-Din al-Zayla'i, Murtada al-Zabidi, Muhammad Nimawi, Zafat Ahmad 'Uthmani, and Anwar Shah Kashmiti, to mention a few from among the renowned Hanafi scholars in this field. The *Umma* is greatly indehted to these and other scholars for the studies they undertook and the works they produced that are shining lamps in the darkness of ignotance. This is patt of the true heritage of the Muslim *Umma* in the form of traditional scholarship.

The first edition of this work was published approximately eight

years ago, in January 1996, while the author was in his fifth year of study at the Darul Uloom al-Atabiyya al-Islamiyya in Buty, Notth England. By the grace of Allah 😹, it met with great approval and acceptance; hence, a second edition (tevised and extended) was prepared and published in September of the same year along with three extra chapters. The second edition was also quickly exhausted off the shelves, after which it remained out of print for several years. By the mercy and grace of Allah Most High, this third edition of Figh al-Imam has been developed. Changes specific to this edition are as follows:

- (i) Each chapter has been thoroughly revised and many changes have been made in language and senience structure.
- (2) The page layout and formaiting of the chapters have been changed to facilitate easier reading and comprehension.
- (3) The transliteration of Arabic terms has been refined, as can be observed from the title itself, originally published as "Fighul Imaam," now "Figh al-Imam."
- (4) Several new scholarly points and arguments have been added throughout the discussions in the various chapters.
- (5) Many Arabic terms used in previous editions have been replaced with their English equivalents, with the Arabic in brackets where deemed necessary.
- (6) The Chicago Mannal of Style has been followed as closely as possible, though with some exceptions, in the presentation of this book. For instance, common Arabic terms such as hadith, salam, madhhab, and rak'a, have been pluralized in English simply by adding an "s," but in the case of rak'a, a "t" has also been inserted for clarity.

It would also be beneficial to mention at this point the primity sources of reference for this work. Most of the discussions in this book are based on the popular works of *figh* and Hadith, in Arabic and Urdii, of prominent Hanafi scholats. The following works constitute ilic primary source material for this book:

- 1. Ma'arif al-sunan [Knowledge of the Ways], a partial commentaty of Sunan al-Tirmidhi in Arabic, by the late Hanafi hadith scholat 'Allama Yusuf Binnoti of Pakistan.
- 2. Darse Tirmidhi [Lessons on Tirmidhi], an explanation of the chapters on worship ['ibadat] of Sunan al-Tirmidhi in Utdu by the renowned contemporary scholat Mufti Taqi 'Uthmani.
- 3. Tanzim al-ashtat [Atrangement of the Scattered], a complete and comprehensive (yet concise) Utdu commentary on the Mishkat al-Masabib [Niche of the Lamps] by Maulana Abu 'l-Hasan, a teachet of hadith and other religious sciences in Bangladesh.
- 4. Fath al-Mulhim [Victory of the Inspiret], a three volume commentary in Arabic of the first portion of Sahih Muslim by the great exegete and hadith scholar Maulana Shabbit Ahmad 'Uthmani, which was subsequently completed by Mufti Taqi 'Uthmani in a further five volumes known as the Takmila [Completion].
- 5. Aujaz al-masalik [Most Concise of Paihs], an expansive Aiabie commentary on the Mnuvatta [The Trodden Paih] of Imain Malik by the renowned Hailith scholar of the Indian subcontinent, Slraykh Zakariyya Khandelwi.
- 6. Ikhtilafe Ummat owr Sirate Mustagim [Differences in the Umma and the Straight Path], a work in Utdn by the late scholat of hadith, figh and tasawumf, Shaykh Yusuf Ludhyanwi of Pakistan.
- 7. Ashraf al-tawdih [The Most Noble Clarification], an explanation in Utdu of the Mishkat al-Masabih [Niche of the Lamps] by Maulana Nazit Ahmad, a seniot teachet of hadith in Pakistan.

Other works consulted have been provided in the Bibliography.

The majority of hadiths and quoted texts in the books listed

#### FIQH AL-IMAM

above have been verified from their original sources by the compiler of this book. Those that have not been verified (mainly due to the unavailability of the original source texts to the compiler) have been distinguished by a "U" in the reference.

Finally, in accordance with the hadith of the Messenger of Allah \$\overline{8}\text{,}\$, which states that "The one who is not grateful to people is not grateful to Allah," I end this introduction by fulfilling the pleasant task of expressing gratitude to all those who have assisted in anyway, shape, or form throughout the vations editions of this book. I wish especially to thank my teachers, who were great channels of inspiration, knowledge, and guidance for me, as well as my family, friends, and colleagues, without whom this work would have proved vety difficult. Allah is well aware of their contributions, however insignificant they may have seemed. May Allah teward them all abundantly in this world and the next, and accept this humble offering on behalf of myself, my family, teachers, and friends. Amin.

ABOUR-RAHMAN IBN YUSUF MANGERA May 11, 2003 | Rabiʻ al-Awwal 9, 1424

## PART ONE

- I. TAQLID
- 2. ABU HANIFA
- 3. 'ABDULLATE IBN MAS'UD &
- 4. THE TRUE POSITION

### Taqlid: Following a School in Islamic Law

THE MAIN OBJECTIVE of this book is to provide in depth discussions on those aspects of a Muslim's prayer which are subject to differences of opinion in the fout *madhhabs* or "schools of Islamic law," giving special attention to the Hanafi opinion on each issue. However, since even the concept of *taqlid* or "following a school in Islamic law" is unfamiliar to many Muslims, a discussion on this subject is necessary at the outset.

In this regard, taqlid will be discussed under the following three headings in this chapter: (1) What is Taqlid; (2) Taqlid: Following an Imam in the Matters of Shari'a; (3) Following One Patticulat Imam in Every Jutistic Issne. This will hopefully remove any confusion regarding the Issue of taqlid, and comfott those who seek clatification on the subject.

#### I. WHAT IS TAOLID?

#### Definition of Taqlid

Literal: *Taqlid* is the vetbal noun detived from the Atabic toot *q-l-d*, which means to place, gird, or adotn with a necklace.

Teclinical: The acceptance of another's statement without demanding proof or evidence, on the belief that the statement is being made in accordance with fact and proof.

#### Taqlid in General

The faculty of *taqlid* is inherently existent in us. If we had refrained from the *taqlid* of our patents and reachets, then roday we would be deprived of even the basic and preliminaty needs of humanity. By nature, man is endowed with the ability to imitate and follow others. If this was not the ease, we would not have been able to learn our mother rongue. If we had refused to accept unquestioningly (without demanding proof) every command, beek, and ealt of our reachers, we would have heen ignorant of even the alphabet of the languages we speak, let alone the study and writing of hooks in those languages. Our whole life—every facet of it; eating, drinking, wearing garments, walking, earning, and so forth—is connected with this very concept of *taqlid*. Our intellectual and cultural development is the result of *taqlid* of our parents, teachets, and others.

If the technical terminology of every hraneh of knowledge were not acquired on the basis of taqlid (i.e. without questioning the authority of that terminology), then proficiency in such knowledge could not have been attained. If the meanings of words and their idiomatic usages were not acquired through taqlid of linguists and the norms of our linguistic discoutses, we would not become conversant in any language.

Sometimes man learns the harmful effect of poison as well as the remedial effects of medicines by virtue of taqlid. In war, if an army does not accept unquestioningly every order of its enmandet, victory may not be attained. If the various agencies of government do not obey the laws ptomulgared by the law makets, then law and otder cannot be maintained in the land. In shott, the progress and perfection of our physical, spitimal, intellectual, academie, moral and social life is firmly tooted in taqlid—to accept and obey professional authority.

#### The Necessity of Inqlid

There are two types of wujub [the compulsory nature of something] in jurisprudence: wujub bi'l-dhat and wujub bi'l-ghayr.

While bi 'I dhat means "compulsory in itself"—in other words, and unlered or prohibited by Islamie law due to something in their lutriusic nature, like the command of prayer and the prohibition of pulytheism.

Wujub bi 'I-ghayr means "compulsory due to an external factor"—in influr words, acts that are not compulsory or prohibited in themselves, but constitute the basis for other actions that are specifically commanded or prohibited in the Qui'an and hadiths; or let us say that such facts which take on the obligatory nature of the actions that they found the basis of.

All example of this is the willing of the Holy Qut'an and hadiths. The Messenger of Aliah is reported by 'Abdullah ibn 'Umar & as saying, "We are a nation that neither writes not calculates" (Sabib al-Bukhari, Muslim). This hadith, by way of implication (since it was said concerning the observation of the moon), negates the transcribing of the Qut'an and hadiths. However, it has been found necessary to introd the Qut'an and hadith in writing to preserve their authenticity and make both more widely available. Therefore, such writing is not considered to be in conflict with the above hadith, and no one questions the necessiry of such writing not does anybody deniand puriof for it.

The preservation of the Qut'an and hadith is an act categorically commanded (thus wujub bi 'l-dhat) and emphasized by Shari'a. Experience tells us that such preservation is not normally possible without recording the Qut'an and hadith in wtiting. It is for this reason that the witting of the Qut'an and hadith has also been decreed its wajib. Consensus of the entire Umma [Muslim Community] regarding the recording of the Qur'an and hadith in writing has been reported through the ages in an unbtoken chain of transmission. The need for this recording is thus classified as wujub bi 'l-ghayr. In exactly the same way, taqlid or "following someone in matters of Islamie law," is also decreed as essential or wajib, falling within the classifications of

Taglid

wujub bi 'L-ghayr. We find ample evidence for the necessity of taqlid in light of the above explanation.

Taqlid is especially important in this age in which the vast majority of Muslims are ignorant of basic Islamic sciences. Thus, without taqlid, following the cleat and definite commandments of the Shari'a would be vittually impossible. For those who have not acquited even a basic knowledge of the sources of Shari'a and methods of deriving tulings [ijtihad] from the sacted texts, taqlid becomes both essential and compulsory.

#### Evidence from Hadishs

#### Aswad ibn Yazid narrates:

Mit'adh caine to us in Yeinen as a teachet (or as a leader). We asked him concerning a person who had died leaving [as his heirs] a daughter and sister. He decreed half the estate for the daughter and half for the sister (Sahih al-Bukhari 2:297).

This was iluring the lifetime of the Messenger <a>#</a>. From this hadith a number of points are established:

- (1) Taglid was in practice duting the time of the Messenget of Allah . The questionet (in the hadith) did not demand proof or a basis for the decree. He accepted the tuling, relying on the integrity, piety, and tighteousness of Miradh . This is a precise example of taglid in practice.
- (2) The Messenger & did not etiticize the people of his time who followed Mu'adh &, nor did the Messenger & have any objection on the issue.
- (3) This hadith furnishes proof for the validity of taqlid shakhsi or "following one pattienlat person in the affairs of Islamic law." The Messenget of Allah & had appointed Mu'adh & to provide teligious instruction to the people of Yemen. It is evident that the Messenger of Allah & granted the people of Yemen the tight and permission to

refer to Mu'adh so in all affaits of the *Din* [religion]. The permissibility and validity of *taqlid* is therefore evident from this, especially hecause of its prevalence in the glotious time of the Messenger ...

#### Evils of Discarding Taqlid

It is well known that many, if not a vast majority of people in this age, do not model their lives after the example of Allah's Messenger . As a tesult they are governed by selfishness, corrupt motives, list, insincerity, mischief, strife, anarchy, and opposition to the consensus of the tightly-guided scholars. This inevitably leads to the subjection of the Din to human desires. The hadiths on fitan [strife, trials, and tribulations] have forewarded us of the tise of these cottupt traits in man, and the scholars of this Din have been aware of this problem.

The absence of taqlid shakhsi will cause great hatm and corruption in the Din. One of the destructive evils which will raise its ugly head in the absence of taqlid shakhsi is the appearance of self-appointed mujtahids. Some people will consider themselves to be capable of inferring religious tulings, and embatk on the process of juristic [duar'i] analogical teasoning [qiyas]. They will consider themselves in be of equal or greater tank than the illustrious mujtahids of the early ages of Islam.

For example, the previous mujtahids have reliably stated that many laws are based on particular causes [mu'allal] and not definite causes. Ching this, some modernists might claim that even the command of windu' for prayet is based on a particular cause [mu'allal]. According to them, this command could have been for the eatly Arabs, whose inccupation of tending animals exposed them constantly to impurities, which could have called for titual putification in the form of mudu'. They might claim, on this basis, that since people of the present time live in conditions of greater hygiene, mudu' is no longer necessary for prayet. [From the opening chapters of Taqlid and Ijiihad by Shaykh Masihullah Jalalabadi]

## 2. TAQLID: FOLLOWING AN IMAM IN THE MATTERS OF SHARI'A Ouestion

Some people say that taglid [following the school of an Imam] is unlawful in Shari'a. They insist that a true Muslim should only follow the Holy Qui'an and Sunns, and they say it is equivalent to shirk [polytheism] to follow an Imam in the matters of Shari'a. They also claim that the Hanafi, Shafi'i, Maliki and Hanbali schools were formed some two hundred years after the Messenger's & death, and therefore, these schools are a reprehensible innovation [bid'a]. Some also stress that a Muslim should seek guidance directly from the Qur'an and Sunna and no intervention of an Imam is needed to practice upon the Shari'a. Please explain how far this view is correer.

#### Answer of Mufti Taqi 'Uthmani

This view is based on certain misunderstandings arising from innecessary treatment of the complicated issues involved. The full clarification of this mistaken view requires a detailed article. However, I will try to explain the basic points as briefly as possible.

It is nuc that obedience, in its irrie sense, belongs to Allah & alone. We do not obey anyone other than Him. This is the logical requirement of the doctrine of tawhid [belief in the otheness of Allah .]. The obedience of the Messenger of Allah has been ordered upon its, only because he is the Messenger of Allah who conveyed to its the divine commandments, otherwise he has no divine status deserving our obedience. By obeying and acting according to the teachings of the Messenger has we obtain the pleasure of Allah .

However, the crux of the matter is that the interpretation of the Qiii'an and the Siinna is not a simple one. It requires an intensive and extensive study of the sacred sources of *Shari'a*, which cannot be undertaken by a person unqualified in the field. If every Muslim was ohligated to consult the Holy Qur'an and Sunna on each and every problem arising before him, it would burden him with a

responsibility that would be almost impossible to fulfill. This is because the derivation of the tules of *Shari'a* from the Qur'an and Stimus requires a thorough knowledge of the Arabic language and all the relevant sciences—a combination which every person is nor known to have. The only solution to this problem is that a few people should equip themselves with the required knowledge of *Shari'a* and rulters should ask them about the rulings in their day-to-day affairs. This is exactly what Allah has ordained for the Muslims in the following words:

"Of every troop of them, a party only should go forth, that they [who are left behind] may get instructions in religion, and that they may warn their people when they return to them, so that they may beware [of evil]" (al. Qur'an 9:122).

This verse of the Holy Qur'an indicates in clear terms that a group of Muslims should devore themselves to acquiring the knowledge of Shari'a and all others should consult them for their rulings. Now, if a person asks a reliable scholar ['alim] about the juridical [shari'i] tuling in a specific matter and acts upon his advice, can any reasonable person accuse him of committing shirk on the ground that he has followed the advice of a human being instead of the Qur'an and Sunna? Certainly not.

The reason is obvious, because he has not abandoned obedience in Allah & and His Messengei & Rarher, he is in search of a way to othey them. However, being unaware of the *shar'i* commands, he has consulted a scholar in order to know what he is required to do by Allali. He has not taken that scholar as the subject of his obedience, hut rather as an interprete of the divine commands. Nobody can accuse him of committing *shirk*.

This is taqlid in essence: a person who is not able to muderstand the Holy Qur'an and Sunna, and so consults a Muslim jurist, often termed an Imam, and acts according to his interpretation of the Shari'a. The person never considers the Imam worthy of obedience, but seeks his guidance in order to know the requirements of Shari'a

due to not having direct access to the Holy Qur'an and Simna or nor having adequate knowledge for deriving the rules of *Shari'a* from these sources. This behavior is called *taqlid* of that jurist or Imam. Thus, how can it be said that *taqlid* is equivalent to *shirk*?

The qualified Muslim jurists or Imams, who have devoted their lives to *ijtihad*, have collected the rules of *Shari'a* according to their respective interpretations of its sources in an almost codified form. This collection of the rules of *Shari'a* according to the interpretation of a particular jurist is called the *madhhab* or "school" of that jurist.

Thus, the school of an Imam is not something parallel to the *Shari'a* or something alien to it. In fact, it is a particular interpretation of the *Shari'a* and a collection of the major *shari't* rules derived from the Holy Qui'an and Sunna by a reliable jurist, and arranged subject-wise for the convenience of the followers of the *Shari'a*. So, the one who follows a particular school actually follows the Holy Qui'an and Sunna according to the interpretation of a particular reliable jurist, whom he or she believes to be the most trustworthy and most well-versed in the matters of *Shari'a*.

As for the differences in the schools, they have emerged ilrough the different possible interpretations of the rules mentioned in or derived from the Holy Qur'an and Sunna. In order to understand this point properly, it will be relevant to know that the rules mentioned in the Holy Qur'an and Sunna are of two different types.

The first type of rules are those which are stated in these sacred sources in such clear words that they allow only one interpretation. No other interpretation is possible thereof, such as the obligation of prayer, zakat, fasting and pilgrimage; and the prohibition of pork and adultery. With regard to this set of rules, no difference of opinion has ever taken place. All the schools of jurists are unanimous in their interpretation; hence there is no room for *ijithad* or taglid in these matters. Also, since everyone can easily understand them from the Holy Qin'an and Sunna, there is no need for consulting an Imam or jurist.

On the other hand, there are some rules of *Shari'a* derived from the Floly Qur'an and Sunna where any of the following situations may arise:

(1) The wording used in the sacred sources may allow more than one interpretation. For example, while mentioning the duration of the waiting period [idda] for a divorced woman, the Holy Qur'an has used the following expression:

"And divorced women shall wait [as regards their marriage] for three periods of guru" (2:228).

The word *quru'* used in the above verse has two meanings. It stands both for the "period of menstruation" and the "period of cleanliness" [i.e. *tubr*]. Both meanings are possible in the verse and each of them has different legal consequences.

The question that requires jurisprudential efforts here is: "Which of the two meanings is intended here?" While answering the question, the juridical opinions may naturally differ, as is the case. Imam Shafi'i interprets the word quru'as the "period of cleanliness," while Imam Abii Hanifa interprets it as the "period of inenstruation." Both of them have a number of reasons in support of their respective views, and neither can be completely rejected. This example highlights one of the causes for differences of opinion among different schools.

(2) Somerimes disparity appears between two hadiths of Allah's Messenger &, and a jurisr has ro reconcile them or prefer one of them over the other. In this case also, the view points of the jurists may differ from one another. For example, there are two sers of traditions found in the books of leadiths narrating different behaviors of the Messenger & while bowing [ruku'] in prayer. The first set of hadiths mutitions that he used to taise his hands before bowing, while the other hadiths mention that he did not raise his hands except at the beginning of prayer. The jurists, while accepting that both ways are correct, have expressed different views regarding the question: "Which

of the two ways is more preferable?" Thus, simulions like these also cause differences of opinion between various schools.

(3) There are many issues which are not specifically addressed in the Holy Qur'an and Sunna. The solution to these issues is sought either through analogy or through examples, found in the sacred sources, that have an indirect beating on the subject. Here again, the jurists may have different approaches to extracting the required solution from the Holy Qur'an and Sunna.

Such are the basic causes of differences of opinion between the schools. These differences are in no way a defect in *Shari'a*, tather they are a source of flexibility composing a vasi field of academic tesearch governed by the principles of *Shari'a* and settled by means of the Holy Qur'an and Sunna fot all time to come.

A Muslim jurist who has all the necessary qualifications for *ijtihad* is supposed to attempt his attmost to extract the actual meaning of the Qut'an and Sunna. If he does this to the best of his ability and with sincerity, he will be rewarded for accomplishing his duty, and nobody can accuse him of distegarding the *Shari'a*, even though his view may seem to be weaket when compared to othets. This is a natural and logical circumstance, certain to be found in every legal system.

The established laws in every legal framework do not cover every minute detail and possible situation. Also, these laws are often open to more than one interpretation, and different courts of law, while attempting to understand them, often disagtee about their meanings. One court may interpret the law in a particular way while another court may inderstand it in quite a different sense. Thus, nobody can say that the jutists have distespected the laws of Islam by artiving at different opinions. And since every court of law intends to apply the established law to the best of its ability, its duty towards the Lawmaker (Allah 36) will be dischatged, and its jutists will be rewarded for it.

For example, if one of the courts mentioned earlier were a high court, all the lower courts and the people living under its authority

would be bound to follow judgements made by the high court, even though their personal opinion might not conform to the opinion of the high court. In such a case, if the lower courts follow the decision of the high court, nobody can say that they are not following the law or that they take the high court to be a legislator of the law. This is because, in actual fact, the lower courts are following the decision of the high court as a trustworthy interpreted of the law, and not as a legislator.

In exactly the same way, the school of a Muslim jurist provides nothing more than a teliable interpretation of the *Shari'a*. Another qualified jurist may disagree regarding the interpretation of that jurist, but neither can be be accused of disregarding the laws of *Shari'a*, nor can anyone accuse the followers of a patticular school of following something other than the *Shari'a* of of committing *shirk*. The teason for this is that these Muslims are following the school as a trustworthy interpretation of *Shari'a*.

The next question which may arise licre is: "What should a person the with regard to these different schools, and which one of them should be follow?" The answer to this question is very simple. All of these schools have been sincere in their efforts to infer the true meaning of the Shari'a; therefore they are all equally valid. A person should follow the school of any of the recognized Imams whom he liclieves to be most knowledgeable and most pious.

Although the Muslim jutists who have undertaken the exercise of *ijtihad* have been many in number, the schools of the four humans—Imam Abu Hanifa, Imam Malik, Imam Shafi'i and Imam Ahmad—are found to be more comprehensive, well-utranged, and well-preserved up to the present day. The Muslim *Umma* as a whole has taken these four Imams as having the most reliable interpretations of *Shari'a*.

The four schools are known as the Hanafi, Shafi'i, Maliki, and Hanafi schools. The rest of the schools [madhhabs] are either not comptchensive enough, in the sense that they do not comain all

aspects of *Shari'a*, or have not been preserved in a reliable form. For rhis reason, the majority of the Muslim *Umma* belongs to one of these four schools. If a person adopts a school of Islamic law as an interpretation of the *Shari'a*, his obligation to follow the *Shari'a* stands fulfilled.

This is the true picture of the term taglid with reference to the jurisprudential schools. I hope this explanation will be sufficient to show that taglid has nothing to do with shirk or "ascribing partners to Allah," but is in fact a simple and easy way of following the Shari'a.

## 3. Following one Particular Imam in Every Juristic Issue

#### Question

It is generally believed by Sunni Muslims that each one of the four schools (Hanafi, Shafi'i, Maliki and Hanbali)—all being possible interpretations of the Shari'a—are correct and none of them can be held as somerhing in contradiction with the Shari'a. But at the same rime, we can see that the followers of the Hanafi school do not depart from the Hanafi view and do not adopt the Shafi'i or Maliki view in juristic matters. Rather, they deem it impermissible to follow the view of another jurist in any particular issue. How can this approach be reconciled with the helief that all the four schools are considered correct? It would seem that if they are all correct then there should be no harm in the Hanafis following Shafi'i, Maliki, or Hanbali views in some matters.

#### Answer of Mufti Taqi Uthmani

It is true that all the four schools are on the rruth, and following any one of them is permissible in order to follow the *Shari'a*. However, a nonprofessional who lacks the ability to compare between the arguments of each school cannot pick and mix between different views to satisfy his personal desires. The reason for this approach is twofold.

Allali & has empathically ordered in a number of verses of the Holy Qur'an to follow the guidance of the Shari'a, and has made it strictly prohibited for one to follow one's desires vis-à-vis the rules of the Shari'a. The Muslim jurists, when interpreting the sources of the Shari'a, artempt never to satisfy their personal desires. They attempt to make their best effort to discover the spirit of Shari'a, and rhey base their opinions on the force of evidence and nor merely on the search for convenience. They do not choose an interpretation on the basis of its smitability to their personal fancies, they choose it only on the basis of the strength of the evidence hefore them.

Now, if someone who has not studied Islamic law is allowed to choose any juristic view without consulting the arguments pertaining in those views, lie will be at liberty to select only those views which seem to be more fulfilling to his personal requirements. This attitude will lead him to follow his own *desires* and not the *guidance*—a practice totally condemned in the Holy Qur'an.

For example, Imam Abu Hanifa is of the opinion that bleeding from any part of the body breaks the wudu', while Imam Shafi'i believes that bleeding does not break the wudu'. On the other hand, Imam Shafi'i says that if a man rouches a woman, his wudu' stands broken and he is obligated to make fresh wudu' before offering prayer, while Imam Abu Hanifa in sists that merely touching a woman does not break the wudu'.

Howean the practice of "pick-and-mix" be allowed? A layman may well choose the Hanafi opinion in the matter of fourthing a woman and the Shafi'i view in the marter of bleeding. Consequently, he will doem his wudu' unbroken even when experiencing both simutions together (i.e. he has bled and happened to touch a women) even though his wudu's rands broken now according to both Hanafi and Shafi'i opinions.

Similarly, according to the Shafi'i view, a rraveller can combine the two prayers of Zithr and 'Asr. However, at the same rime, if a traveller makes up his mind to sray in a town for four days, he is no

longer regarded as a traveller in the Shafi'i view. Hence, lie cannot avail himself of the concession of shortening the prayers [qasr] nor of combining two prayers. On the other hand, the period of travel, according to the Hanafi view, is fourteen days, and a person can continue to shorten his prayers as long as he does not resolve to stay in a town for more than fourteen days.

A traveler who has entered a city 10 stay there for five days, cannot combine two prayets, according 10 hoth Imam Shafi'i and Imam Abu Hunifa. This is because, by staying for five days, he cannot use the two concessions of *gast* and of combining two prayets according 10 Imani Shafi'i, and hecause combining two prayers is not allowed according 10 Imam Abii Hanifa. Nevertheless, the approach of "pick and mix" still leads some people 10 adopt the Shafi'i view in the matter of combining prayets and the Hanafi view in the inatter of the period of joittney.

It is evident from these examples that the selection of different views in different cases is not based on the force of arguments leading to them, but on the facility provided by each. Obviously this practice is tantamount to following one's desires, which is totally prohibited by the Holy Qur'an. If such an attitude is permitted, it will render the Shari'a a plaything in the hands of the ignorant, and no tule of Shari'a will temain immune to distortion. This is why the practice of "pick-and-mix" has been condemned by all the tenowned scholars of Shari'a. Imam Ibn Taymiya, the famous hadith scholat and juttist, says in his Fatawa:

Some people follow at one time an Imam who holds matriage invalid, and at another time they follow at Imam who holds it valid. They do so only to serve their individual purpose and satisfy their desires. Such a practice is impermissible according to the consensus of all the Imams (Fatawa Ibn Taymiya 2: 285–286).

This was the basic cause for the policy adopted by the later jurists, who made it necessary for the common people to adopt a particular school in its totality. If one prefers the *madhhab* of Imam Abu Hanifa, then

une should adopt it in all matters and with all its details. However, if our prefers another *madhhab* one should adopt that one in full. One should not pick and mix between the different views of the schools for one's own benefit.

The hencfit of the validity of the *madhhabs*, according to the jurists, is that a person can elect to follow any one of them. But once a person has adopted a particular *madhhab*, then he should not follow any inher *madhhab* in any matter, whether it be to seek convenience of the satisfy his personal choices, both of which are based on his desires and not on the force of argument. Thus, the policy of "allegiance to a particular school" was a preventive measure adopted by the jurists to preclude anatelry in the matter of the *Shari'a*.

However, this policy is meant for those who cannot carry our *ntitud* themselves or cannot evaluate the arguments advanced by all the *madhhabs* in support of their views. For such people, the best approach is to follow one particular school as a credible interpretation of the *Shari'a*.

Nevertheless, those equipped with the necessary qualifications of *ijithad* need not follow a particular school [madhhab]. They can derive the rules of Shari'a directly from the original sources. Similarly, those who are not fully qualified for the exercise of deriving rulings [ijithad], had are so well-versed in the Islamic disciplines that they can evaluate the different jutistic views on purely academic grounds (i.e. without being motivated by their personal desires), are not forbidden from preferring one school over the other in a particular matter. There are many Hanafi jutists who, despite their allegiance to Imam Abu Hanifa, have adopted the view of some other jutist in some juristic issues. Nevertheless, they are considered Hanafis.

This partial departure from the view of Iniani Abii Hanifa could be based on either of the following grounds: sometimes jurists, after in honest and comprehensive study of the relevant material, come to the conclusion that the view of another Imani is stronger. Jurists may also find that the view of Imam Abii Hanifa, although based

on analogy, does not conform to an authentic hadith, which is usually due to its not having been conveyed to the Imam; otherwise he most probably would have adopted a view in conformance with that hadith also.

Another case in which jurists have departed from the view of their Imam is when they have felt it a necessity for the collective good of the *Umma*. These jurists would follow another Imam not in pursuance of their personal desires, but to meet the collective needs of the *Umma* and in view of the changed citerimstances prevailing in their time.

These examples are sufficient to show that the followers of a patticular school do not take their school as a substitute for the *Shari'a* or as its sole version to the exclusion of every other *madhhab*. Followers of a *madhhab* do not give any *madhhab* a higher place than it actually deserves within the framework of *Shari'a*.

Before pairing with this question, I would like to elatify another point which is extremely important in this context. Some people who have no systematic knowledge of Islamic disciplines often become deluded by their superficial knowledge based on self-study (in many cases, it being only through the translation of the Holy Qur'an and hadiths). Following this kind of emsory study, they assume themselves in be masters of Islamic learning and begin efficizing the former Muslim junists. This attitude is hased on ignorance and has no justification.

The extraction of juridical rules from the Holy Qut'an and Sunna is a very meticulous process that eaunot be cartied out on the basis of sketchy study. While studying a particular juristic subject, one has to collect all the relevant material from the Qut'an and hadiths found in the various chapters and books and undertake a combined study of the scattered material. One must examine the veracity of the relevant hadiths in light of the well-established principles of the science of hadith [usul al-hadith]. One must study the historical background of the televant verses and traditions. In short, one has to first tesolve a number of complicated issues involved. This whole exercise tequires

The infensive and extensive knowledge which is seldom found in the infinite property scholars who have specialized themselves in the subject, for alone the common people who have no direct access to the original sources of *Shari'a*.

The conclusion of the above discussion is that since all the four bloods are based on solid grounds, it is permissible for a competent bloods are based on solid grounds, it is permissible for a competent bloods adopt another school's juristic view, if he has the required knowledge and ability to undetstand the ments of each madhhab on the basis of adequate academic teseatch, without being indulged in pursuing his persunal desires. The people who do not fulfill these conditions should not dare to do so, because it could lead to anarchy in the matter of Sharia.

## 2

#### Imam A'zam Abu Hanifa and Hadith

The year 80 a.m. witnessed the birth of a great personality—one who eugaged himself in the study of the religious sciences under the great scholars of his time; one who proceeded to process and codify this knowledge, especially in the field of jurisprudence [figh], for the henefit of the Umma of Muhammad . This person was none other than Abit Hanifa Numan ibn Thabit of Kufa. The intelligence, wisdom, prudence, piety, devotion, generosity, and good conduct he exemplified made him unique in his time. He attained a very high status in the various fields of sacred knowledge ['ilm] and was given the tirle al-Imani al-A'zam or "the Greatest Imam."

Since, this book pertains in particular to the Hanafi school of figh, it was only fitting that this chapter on the founder of the Hanafi school follow the chapter on taglid. We recount here the life of this great personality, who is renowned all over the world for his services in Islam and who is accepted by consensus of this Umma's scholars as a reliable interpreter of the sacred texts. His school of figh [madhhab] has continued to be adopted and followed by the vast majority of the People of the Sunna and Community [Ahl al-Sunna wa'l-Jama'a] in this day.

Unfortunately, there are some who have considered themselves at liberty to raise objections to the Imam and slander him. They attempt to lower his status and show him to be deficient in the field of hadith.

However, anyone who studies the pages of history objectively will surely be impressed by his scholarship in the various fields of Islamic learning, especially his insight in hadith—the knowledge of which is indispensable for any jurist, let alone for sometime regarded as "allmam al-A'zam."

An enrire biography of the great Imam is beyond the scope of this work, so this chapter will focus mainly on a few aspects of his life: that of his position as a Follower [tabi'i], the most knowledgeable person of his time, and a narrator and hadith master [hafiz]. Only the statements of scholars of hadith [muhaddithin], prominent jurists [fuqaha'], elucidators of the Qur'an [mufassirin], and other religious experts will be presented in this regard. May Allah allow an authentic picture to emerge of the Intam's true position and scholarship in the fields of sacred learning, especially in the field of hadith.

### IMAM ABU HANIFA: A FOLLOWER [ TABI'I]

According to the majority of hadith scholars, a tabi'i or "Follower" is someone who met a Companion of the Messenger and merely saw one while in the state of faith [iman]. It is not necessary for him to have remained in his company or to have narrated from him. Hafiz ibn Hajar al-'Asqalani has stated this definition to be the most preferred one (Ila' al-sunan 19:306). 'Allama 'Iraqi, Ibn al-Salah, Nawawi, and Hakini, among others, also agree on this definition.

According to this widely accepted opinion, Imam Abu Hauifa is considered to be a *tabi'i*, and this has been confirmed by many bingraphets and historians. This is a unique position held by him, since the same cannot be said regarding the other great Imams, Shafi'i, Malik, and Ahmad ibn Hanbal (may Allah be pleased with them all).

'Allama Dhahabi writes in his *Tadhkirat al-huffaz* that Abu Hanifa was born in 80 A.H. He saw Anas ibn Malik & more than once (every time Anas & visited Kufa). Hafiz 'Abd al-Ghani al-Maqdisi states:

Abn Hanifa saw Anas & (Tadhkirat al-Rashid 427).

#### Bur Hajar al-Makki writes:

h is true, as Dhahabi has stated, that Abu Hanifa saw Anas ihn Malik क when he was young (al-Khayrat al-hisan).

Mustili al-Baghdadi confirms in his Tarikh al-Baghdad:

Abu Hanifa saw Anas ibu Malik & (Tadhkirat al-Rashid 281).

#### Hamza al-Sahami states:

Heartl Daraqutni say, "Abri Hanifa did not meet any Companion of the Messenger & except Anas ibn Malik &" (Tabyid al-sahifa 502).

Therefore, as many scholars have confirmed, Imam Abii Hanifa was miny certainly a *tabi'i*.

#### IMAM ABU HANIFA NARRATED FROM THE COMPANIONS

#### lınını 'Abd al-Qadir al-Misri states:

The Companious from who, Abu Hauifu related [badiths] were 'Abdullah ibu Unays, 'Abdullah ibu Jaz'a al-Zabidi, Anas ibn Malik, Jahir ibu 'Ahdillah, Ma'qil ibu Yasar, Wathila ihn al-Asqa', and 'A'isha bint 'l-Ajrad \* (al-Fawa'id al-ba hiyya 42).

#### 'Abdullah ibn Ja'far al-Razi relates that Abu Yusuf said:

I heard Abn Hanifa say to us, "I performed Haj with my father in 93 A.H., when I was 16 years old. There was a teacher [shaykh] present with many people around him, and I asked my father who it was. He informed me that it was a Companion of the Messenger of Allah & known as 'Abdullah ibn al-Hatith ibn Jaz'a. 'What does he possess [that makes the people gather around him]!' I enquired from my father. He replied, 'Hadiths he bas heard from the Messenger &.' Hence, I requested my father to take me closer so I could listen [to him]. He led me through the masses until it was close enough to listen. I heard him report that the Messenger & said, 'Whoever acquires an understanding of the religion of Allah, Allah suffices him in his matters of concern and provides him with sustemance from sources which he eould not expect."

The great Maliki scholar Abii 'Umar Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, has also related

the same incident (al-Jawahir al-mudi'a 1:273). 'Allama Khwatizml states:

Among the merits and virtues that are not shared by anyone after him was that Abu Hanifa natrated [directly] from the Companions of the Messenger . Scholars are agreed upon this fact, although there is some dispute concerning the exact number of Companions (Jami' al-masanid 1:22).

The above statements make it clear that not only did Imam Ahu Hanifa see some of the Companions, he also narrated from them,

Imam Abu Hanifa: Most Learned Person of His Time

Hafiz al-Sam'ani writes:

Iniam Abn Hauifa engaged himself in the acquisition of knowledge and exerted himself muil he achieved what others did not. Once he visited Mansur [the Abbasid caliph] and found 'Isa ibn Musa with him. 'Isa said to Mansur, "This is the scholar of the world today" (al-Ausab 247).

Makki ibu Ibrahim once temembered Imam Abu Hanifa and said, He was the greatest scholar of his time (Fla' al-sunan 18:308).

Makki ibii Ibrahim was ihe Shaykh of Imam Bukhari ihrough whom Imam Bukhari has transmitted most of his narrations whose chains reach the Messenger of Allah & through only three transmitters [thulathiyyat]. Abdullah ibn al-Mubarak relates:

I entered Kufa and enquired from the scholars as to who was the most learned person in the city? They told me it was Abit Hauifa. Then I enquired from them as to who was the most devour worshipper and the one most occupied in acquiring sacred knowledge? Again they told me it was Abit Hauifa. Every good characteristic I enquired about, they answered, "We do not know of anyone who that characteristic could be attributed to except Abit Hauifa." (al-Mizau 58).

Muhammad ibn al-Biskr said.

I would visit Abu Hauifa and Sufyan al-Thawri. When visiting Sufyan

he would ask me where I had come from I would inform him from Abu Hamifa and he would remark, "You have just come from the greatest jurist in the world."

Alın Walıb Mirhammad ibir Mirzaliin said,

Husard Ibn al-Mubarak say, "The greatest jutist is Abn Hanifa. I have not seen anyone like Itim to the field of jurisprudence."

Imam Shafi'i reports that Imam Malik was asked if he had met Abu Hanifa? His reply was:

Yes, I have seen a person who, if he says he could turn this pillar into gold, winted be able to provide evidence for it (*Tabyid al-sahifa* 16).

Imami Shafi'i hiniself once said:

l'eople are dependent on Abit Hanifa in the field of jurisprudence (Tahdhib al-Tahdhib 10:1450).

'Allama Sha'rani writes:

Imam Shafi'i happened to visit Abu Hanifa's grave during the time of fair. He performed the prayer without reciring *quinut* [a special *du'a'*] and remarked, "How could I recite *quinut* in the presence of this Imam when it was his opinion not to recite it" (*al-Mizau*).

tman Abu Hauifa's opinion was to recire the *quanti* for forty days in Fajr at the time of calamines only.

When the news of Imam Abu Hanifa's death reached Shu'ba, he exclaimed: "Truly to Allah we belong and truly to Hint we shall return" [Inna lillahi wa inna ilayhi raji'un]. He then said,

The light of sacred knowledge has been extinguished from Kufa. They will never find anyone like him again (al-Khayrat al-bisan 71).

#### Imam Dhahabi writes:

Logic, debate, and wisdom acquited from the forbeaters wete not, by Allah, the areas of learning pursued by the Companions and the Followers [tabi'in]; Imam Awza'i, 'Thawri, Malik, and Abu Hanifa. Their fields of study were the Qur'an and hadiths (Tadhkirat al-huffae 192).

Hence, this establishes that it was the science of Qur'an and hadith that Imani Abu Hanifa excelled in, and not just other subjects.

#### Imam Abu Hanifa: A Hadith Master [Hafiz]

The great hadith scholat 'Ahdullah ibn al-Muhatak saiil;

If Allah haif not benefited methrough Abu Hanifa and Sufyan al-Thawri, I would have been just like any ordinary person (*Tabyid al-sabifa* 1617).

Ibii Ma'iii has been tepotted saying:

I would never place anyone above Waki', He would issue his legal rulings [futativa] according to the opinion of Abu Hauifa and would memorize all the hadirhs from him. He has heard a great deal of hadirhs from Abu Hauifa (I'la' al-sunan 19: 315).

The above two statements indicate that Imam Abit Hanifa was a natrator of many hadiths; not just a few, as some claim. Muhammad ihn Sama'a states:

The Imam has mentioned more than seventy rhousand hadiths in his books, and has selected the *Athar* from forty thousand hadiths.

The great hadith scholar Zafar 'Uthmani, after quoting this statement, writes that the trueness of it is indicated by what the Imam's students have narrated from him. For instance, Imam Muhammad natrated from him in his six books known as the Zahir at-riwaya and in the other books known as at-Nawadir; Abu Yusuf in his Amali and Kitab at-kharaj; 'Abdullah ibu al-Mubatak in his books; and Waki' and other students in their books.

These rulings [masait] are in such abundance that their numbers are uncountable and their limits unreachable. If those rulings which are either explicitly or implicitly in conformance with linked [marfit] or unlinked [maroquf] narrations are summarized, they would certainly reach this great number [i.e. forty thousand]. This is without taking into consideration the tulings the Intern detived through his own inference [ijtihad].

'Allumi Zafat 'Uthmani futthet states that all of these tulings [manth] are in actual fact "hadirhs," which the Imam natrated in the hum of legal tulings and not as "formal natrations." It is vittually impossible that his inference (effort to derive religious tulings—ijti-hiti) would conform so closely with such a large number of hadiths if he was said not to have any knowledge of them.

The 'Allama also states that there are many hadiths which Imam Abit Hauifa formally narrated through his petsonal chains. They are those which the hadith masters have compiled as his *Masanid*, and also those which his students have transmitted from him, like Imam Mulammad in his *Kitab al-athar*, *Munoatta*, *Hujaj* and other works; Abit Yusuf, Ibn al-Mubarak, Hasan ibn Ziyad in their works; Waki' ibn al-Jarrah in his *Musnad*; Ibn Abi Shayba and 'Abd al-Razzaq in their *Musnanafs*; Hakim in his *Musnadrak* and other works; Ibn Hihban in his *Sahih*, *Thiqat*, and other works; Bayhaqi in his *Sunan* and other works; Tabatani in his iltee *Mu'jams*; Dataqutui in his works; and other hadith scholars in their collections. If we were to compile all these flatrations together in one place, they would constitute a very large volume of hadiths [see I'la' al-sunan 18:316].

#### IMAM ABU HANIFA: AN AUTHORITY AND CRITIC OF HADITH

'Allama Dhahabi wtites in the introduction to his Tadhkirat alhuffaz:

This is a review of those personalities whom I have judged to be reliable and the possessors of prophetic knowledge [alc'ilm al-nabawi], and those who could be consulted for their expenses in determining the authenticity or weakness [of natronions] and the reliability of weakness [of natronions] (1:2).

Allanta Dhahabi includes Imam Ahu Hanifa among them, which makes it cleat that he was a beatet of prophetic knowledge, possessed many natrations, and was considered an authority in the field of hadith.

Suwayd ibn Sa'd tepotis iliat Sufyan ibn 'Uyayna said:

The first pietson to encourage me to relate hadiths was Abu Hanifa. When I attived in Kufa, he declared that this person possesses the largest number of natrations from 'Amr ibn Dinat. [On heating this] people began to gather around me, and I began to relate to them (I'ld al-sunan 19: 315).

In another report Sufyan ibn 'Uyayna said:

The first person to make the a liadith scholar was Aliu Hanifa (al-Jawahir al-mudi'a 1:30).

Imam Abii Yusiif said,

I have never found anyone with more insight into the interpretation of hadiths than Abn Hanifa (Jami' al-'ilm 1:29).

This statement of Abii Yusuf can be further understood by the following report of Mulla 'Ali al-Qari:

Imani Abu Hanifa was [once] with A'mash, who asked him about something. Inform Abu Hanifa replied, "My opinion in this matter is such and such." Upon hearing this, A'mash asked as 10 how he had formed this [opinion]. Imam Abu Hanifa said, "You reported to us from Abu Salih who reported from Abu Hurayra; you reported to us from Abu Wa'il who reported from 'Abdullah; and you reported to us from Ahu Ilyas who reported from Abu Mas'ud al-Ansari that the Messenger of Allah & said such and such. You also reported the same to his from Abu Mijlaz, who reported it from Hudhayfa, who from Ahu 'I-Zubayr, who from Jahir and Yazid al-Raqqashi, and they from Anas &."

A'niash exclaimed, "Enough! Enough! What took me a hundred days to nattate you repeated in me in just an instance. I was not awate that your practice was based on these hadiths." Then he exclaimed, "O group of jurists, you are the physicians, and we are merely the pharmacists; and you [addressing Abu Hanifa] are hoth" (Managib al-Imam 484).

Imam Abu Yusuf also said,

I have never opposed Abii Haiifa oii any issue, then went back and pondered over it, except to find his opinion more superior [to mine] and more benefiting in terms of the hereafter. At times, I would hold on to a particular hailith, but he would prove to possess more insight concerning its authenticity. There were times when he would strongly defend a

certain opinion, and I would visit the scholars of Kufa to see if I could find some [other] hadiths to support his opinion. Sometimes I would return with two or three hadiths, and he would remark concerning one of them, "This is not strong," or concerning another, "This one is not linked [ma'ruf]." I would exclaim in amazement, "How do you say this when they support your opinion?" He would reply, "I possess insight into the knowledge of Kufa" (al-Khayrat al-bisan 69).

Yaliya al-Himmani states:

l heard Abu Hanifa saying, "I have never seen a greater liar than Jabir al-Ju'fi or anybody more superiot 10 'Ata!" (*Tahdhib al-Tahdhib* 2:48, *Kitab al-'Ilal li 'l Tirmidhi* 13:309).

Abu Sa'id al-San'ani asked Abu Hanifa his opinion on narrating from Sufyan al-Thawri? He said,

Record his hadiths, for he is reliable [thiqa], except his natrations from Abu Ishaq from Harith; and [avoid] the narrations of Jabit al-Ju'fi (al-Jawahir al-mudi'a 1:30).

It is also reported that Imam Ahu Hanifa said regarding Zayd ibn 'Ayash that "he is utikuowi" [majhul] (Tahdhib at Tahdhib 3:424). Furthermore, the great Imam was it of only aware of 'Amr ilin Dinar's titime bitt was also aware of his agnomen [kunya]. Ibn al-Mahdi saitl,

I have never seen anyone possessing greater knowledge of the Sunna than Abu Hanifa. We only became aware of 'Aint ihn Dinar's agnomen through him.

These statements related from Imam Abu Hanifa concerning the status of various narrators make it clear that they could have only been stated by an expert in the scrutiny and criticism of narrators and hadiths.

The great historian and sociologist of the Muslim world Ibn Khaldun writes a conclusive report on the status of jurists in the field of Hadith. He says,

Same people who are of a resentful disposition fratefully claim that there

are jurists who know only a few hadiths, and they argue that this is the reason why so few hadiths have been [narrated] from them. This cannot be possible, especially in the case of the great Intams, because Islamic law [Shari'a] can only be derived from the Qur'an and Sunna. If one were to possess only superficial knowledge in this field, it would become necessary for him to occupy himself in learning it, for only then would be be able to acquire the religion [its rufnigs] from the correct source, i.e. from the one [Muhammad [8]] who had been appointed to propagate it (Muqaddimu Ibn Khaldum 371).

Hence, this proves that it is impossible that someone whom a vast majority of this *Umma* has accepted as a competent jurist possess only a superficial knowledge of hadiths. The reliance and trust placed on himam Abu Hanifa's school by the People of the Sunna throughout the majority of Muslim history, and the high regard with which his opinions are held concerning the acceptance or rejection of hadiths and their natratots, all establish his greatness in the field of Hadith.

#### CONCLUSION

A number of points have come to light from the above discussion. We have learned that it is not possible to be a jurist and not possess sound knowledge of the Sunna. Imam Abit Hanifa possessed deep insight into the knowledge of hadith, and was tanked as an authority in the field. 'Alluma Dhahabi listed him among the hadith masters [huffaz] in his book Tadhkirat al-huffaz, and many referred to him as the greatest scholar of his time.

Many jurisis would nattate their hadiths in the form of "teligious rulings," which meant that they had fewer "formal natrations." However, this cannot be used as a reason for criticism, since the task of the jurist is to process the hadiths and detive rulings from them, as was learned from the linam's conversation with the great hadith scholar, A'mash. It is therefore incorrect to criticize any great jurist on the basis of his not being aware of hadiths, especially someone of linam Abu Hanifa's caliber. We now end this chapter by mentioning some of the noteworthy aspects of Imam Abu Hanifa's gatherings and how his school of jurisprindence was formulated:

Kharib al-Baglidadi relates through his chain that Ibn Karatia sakl, "We were once in the company of Waki' ihn al-Jarrah when someone made a remark that Abn Hauifa has erred. Waki' said, 'How can Abn Hauifa err when he has in his company the likes of Abu Yusuf, Zufar, and Muhammad with their power of analogy [qiyas] and inference [jithad]; the likes of Yahya ibn Zakariyya ibn Abi Za'ida, Hafs ibn Ghiyath, and Ilibban and Mandal, sons of 'Ali with their memorization and understanding of hadiths; Qasim ibn Ma'n with his understanding of the Arabic language; and Dawnd ibn Nudayr al-Ta'i and Findayl ibn 'lyad with their alistinence [zuhd] and piety [wana']. How is anyone who has such people as his companions and sitting partners able to make a mistake? Even if he was 10 make one, they would surely guide him to the truth' (Tarikh al-Baghdad 14:247).

Furthermore, Iniam Taliawi related that Asad ibit al-Furnt said,

The companious of Abu Hanifa who compiled and recorded the works [of his school] were forty. Those in the forefrom were Abu Yusuf, Zufar, Dawnd al-Ta'i, Asad ibn 'Arm, Yusuf ibn Khalid al-Samii, Yahya ibn Abi Zakariyya ibn Ahi Za'ida, who was their scribe for thirty yeats....

After quoting the above two statements, the great hadith scholar Zafar Alimad 'Uthmani comments:

Whoever has hadirh masters [huffaz] of this caliber as his main students, to whom the hadirh scholars have bowed their heads in recognition of their memorization [of hadiths] and extensive knowledge, then how is it possible for that person to have narrated only a few hadiths? (I'la' alsunan 19:331)

May Allah & iemove the veils of ignorance and deceit which distort and obscute the truth, and may He teveal it in its true form and grant us the ability to follow it, amin.

## 3

#### 'Abdullah Ibn Mas'ud 🧆

Sayyidina 'Abdullaetibn Mas'ud sis one of the many Companions [subaba] from whom the great Imams of jurisptudence have related hadiths and after whom modelled their juridical opinions. He is one of the most revered Companions and is known for his deep understanding of the Holy Qur'an and jurisptudence [figh]. Many of his narrations form the basis of numerous opinions in the Hanafi school. Therefore, in an attempt to discredit the Hanafi school, some have attacked this great Companion of Allah's Messenger and harded a great amount of criticism at him.

'Ilis chaptet has been included to highlight the metits and vittines of this great Companion in the words of the Messenget . It is hoped that the words of the Messenger & will provide an effective means of correcting misconceptions and establishing the true status of this great Companion.

#### THE COMPANIONS OF ALLAH'S MESSENGER &

The Companions of Allah's Messenget are considered to be the most superior and exalted people after the Messenget and the other Envoys of Allah (upon them be peace). The consensus among the People of the Simna [Ahl al-sunna] is that no one after them can attain their status. Their closeness to Allah's Messenger —in

fact their having merely seen him while they were in a state of *iman* [faith]—elevated them to stages that would be impossible for anyone else to teach.

Many narrations have been reported in the elevated rank of the Companions. The Messenget of Allah & issued grave warnings against criticizing them in any way. He said,

Fear Allah in every matter concerting my Companions. Do not make them the targets [of your criticism] after me. Whoever loves them loves them our of love for me, and whoever hates them hates them out of hate for me. Whoever troubles them has troubled me and whoever troubles me has troubled Allah; and whoever troubles Allah, it is imminent that Allah seize him (Mishkat al-Masabih from Sunau al-Tumidhi, 554).

In another hadith the Messenger of Allah 🐉 said:

The hest of my *Unima* are the people of my em [the Companions], then those who are after them [the Fullowers], then those who are after them [Followers of the Followers]. Thereafter, will be such people who will hear restimony where their testimony will not be needed; they will be deceptive and untrustworthy; and they will make vows but will never fulfill them (*Mishkat al-Masabih* from *Sahih al-Bukhari* and *Muslim*, 553).

#### He also said:

I asked Allah about the conflicts [that are 10 occur] between my Companious afret my departure. Allah revealed to me, "O Muhammad! In My sight, your Companions are like the stars in the skies. Some are stronger than others but each possesses a light. Whoever adopts any opinion from among the various opinions they differ in will be considered guided in My sight" (Mishkat al-Masabih from Razin al-Abdarl, 554).

Furthermore, Allah & has clearly expressed his satisfaction and pleasure with all the Companions in the Qur'an:

"Allah is well pleased with them and rhey pleased with Him. He has prepared for them Gardens under which rivers flow, to dwell therein forever. That is the supreme success" (9:100).

From the above, the elevated status of the Companions becomes very

that the *Umma* is warned not to criticize them in any way. In hit the Messenger of Allah #8 instructed:

If you came across those who curse my Companions, say, "May Allah's cause be upon you for your evil" (Mishkat al-Masabih from Sunan al-l'amidhi, 554).

Here were the Companions, the group Allah & had selected for the humpanionship [subba] of His beloved Envoy & They fulfilled their promises to Allah & and conveyed the reachings and practices of His Privoy & to the Umma, and Allah expressed His pleasure with them. Annung these great Companions was 'Abdullah ibn Mas'ud &.

#### HADITHS ON THE VIRTUES OF 'ABOULLAH IBN MAS'UD 45

Mirst of the narrations quoted here have been taken from 'Allama Shawkani's Durr al-Sababa.

It is related that 'Abdullah ibn Mas'tid was very close to the Messenger &. He was permitted to enter his house frequently and was also his companion on many journeys. He benefited immensely from the Messenger &. Abd al-Rahman ibn Zayd relates:

l asked Hudhayfa & th inform me about someone who closely resembled the Messenger & in manner, conduct, and behavior, so that I could learn from him. He replied, "We are not aware of anyone who possesses a closer resemblance to the Messenger & in manner, conduct, and habit than Ibn Ummi 'Ahd. This is until he enters into his house [after which we are not aware]" (Sahih al-Bukhari, Sunan al-Tirmidhi).

Hin Ummi 'Abd was the agnomen of 'Abdullah ibn Mas'ud &, since Ummi 'Abd was his mother's name, Hudhayfa & meant that although he was not aware of the life of 'Abdullah ibn Mas'ud & at home, his siteial conduct surely resembled that of the Messenger of Allah &. Ahu Musa & natrates:

My brother and I arrived from Yemen and remained [in Madina] for some rime. We were led to believe that 'Abdullah ibn Mas'ud & and his mother

were part of the Messenger's & household due to their [frequenr] visits to his home and his attachment to them (Sahih al-Bukhari, Muslim),

This shows the closeness of 'Abdullah ibn Mas'ud to the Messenger Therefore, the claim that 'Abdullah ibn Mas'ud was ignorant of the way and manner of the Messenger is totally unfounded. Alqama reports:

When I arrived in Syria [Sham], I performed two rak'ass and then prayed, "O Allah, facilitate for me a pious companion." I met a group of people and sat down with them. One of them came along and sat down by my side. I enquired as to who he was, and he replied that he was Abu'l. Darda'. I informed him that I had asked Allah to provide for me a pious sitting-companion, and [it seemed as if] He had fulfilled this request. He asked where I had arrived from so I told him I was from Kufa. Upon this he remarked, "Do you not have Ibn Ummi 'Ahd among you, the keeper of the slippers and pillow of the Messenger & and the one in charge of his ablution water? Among you is also the one who Allah has protected from Satan, as revealed upon the tongue of His Envoy !!!; and there is also among you the keeper of the Messenger's !!! secrets, those which nobody besides him has any knowledge of "(al-Mustadrak).

Later on 'Alquina came to be recognized as one of the greatesi students and successors of 'Abdullah ibn Mas'ud &s.

#### Knowledge of the Qur'an

'Abdullah ihn Mas'ud possessed deep insight into the meaning of the Qur'an, its method of recitation, and the causes of revelation of its verses. He himself stated:

By the One besides Whom there is no Lord, there is no chapter revealed in the Book of Allah, except that I am the most knowledgeable one regarding where it was revealed. There is no verse from the Book of Allah that has been revealed, except that I am the most knowledgeable one regarding the circumstances of its revelation. If I were to learn of anyone possessing more knowledge than me of the Book of Allah who was within reach of a cautel's journey, I would mount it [to visit him] (Sahih al-Bukhari, Muslim).

Thuir ibn al-Khartab 🕸 relates that once the Messenger 🕸 said,

Whoever gains satisfaction from reciting the Qnr'an as though it were healthy revealed should recite it according to the recitation of Ibn Mas'ut.

#### Thur & then says,

I went to convey the glad tidings of this to him and found that Abii Bake to him and reached him before me and had conveyed the glad tidings to him. I have never been able to ontdo Abii Bake to ill any good deed; he has always surpassed me (Musuad Abii Ya'la, Ahuad, Bazzar).

At another point 'Umar ihn al-Khattab 🕸 said regarding Ibn Mas'ııd,

A small person brimming with [the knowledge of] jurisprudence (Mu'jam al-Tabarani).

Uniar ibn al-Khattab & is known for his scrupulousness in matters of religion. Therefore, his statements regarding 'Abdullah ihn Mas'ud & are ample evidence that Ibn Mas'ud & held a very high position in the science of jutisprudence. 'Ali & narrates that the Messenger of Allah & said:

If I were to appoint someone as a leader without consulting [anyone], I would appoint Ibn Ummi 'Abd (al-Mustadrak).

For the Messenger to be able to place so much trust in a person and appoint him to manage the affairs of the Muslims surely indicates that the person had to be of high character, knowledge, and insight into the religion. Concerning him the Messenger tales said:

I am pleased for my *Umma* with wharever Ibn Ummi 'Abd & is pleased with (al-Mustadrak).

#### It is further related that

once the Messenger so ordered 'Abdullah ibn Mas'ud & 10 deliver a sermon. He stood up and said, "O People! Allah Most Glorified and Exalted is our Lord, Islam is our religion [Din], the Qur'an is our guide

FIQH AL-IMAM

Abdullah Ibn Mas'ud &

[imani], the House of Allah [Ka'ba] is the direction we face in prayer [qibla], and this is the Envoy [of Allah] sent to its (gestirting towards the Messenger \$\mathre{\omega}\$)." Fle then concluded, "We are satisfied with what Allah and His Envoy are satisfied with for its," The Messenger \$\mathre{\omega}\$ remarked, "Ibn Ummi 'Abd has spoken the truth, Ibn Ummi 'Abd has spoken the truth, and I am satisfied with what Allah is satisfied with for me, for my Umma, and for Ibn Ummi 'Abd" (Mu'jam al-Tabarani).

Abdullah ibn Mas'ud & was well known for delivering brief but very comprehensive sermons. He was also known for his piety among the Companions. He relates:

When the verse was revealed: "On those who believe and do righteous good deeds, there is no sin for what they are [in the past], if they fear Allah [by keeping away from His forbidden things] and believe and do righteous gond deeds, and again fear Allah and believe, and once again fear Allah and do good deeds with perfection [ibsan]. And Allah loves the good-doers" (al-Qur'an 5:93), the Messenger informed me, "You are from among them" (Sahih Muslim, Sunan al-Tirmidhi).

#### 'Ali ibn Abi Talib & narrates:

Once the Messenger & ordered 'Abdullah ibn Mas'nd & to climb a tree to bring something [a toothstick] down for him. Some of the Companions of the Messenger & caught sight of his ealves while he was elimbing and began to laugh at their thinness. The Messenger & remarketl, "What are you langhing at? One leg of 'Abdullah will be heavier in the scale [on the Day of Indgement] than monnt Uhud" (Musnad Ahmad, Abi Ya'la, Mui jam al-Tabarani).

#### 'Amr ibii al-'As & relates:

The Messenger of Allah & passed away in the state that he loved 'Abdullah ibn Mas'ınd and 'Ammat ibn Yasit & (Min'jam al-Tabaranı').

#### OTHER HADITH'S REGARDING 'ABDULLAH IBN MAS'UD &.

Hudhayfa 🧆 narrates that the Messenger of Allah 🕸 said.

Whatever 'Abdullah ibu Mas'ud & narrates 10 you, aecepi it (Sunan al-Tirmidhi).

This proves that 'Abdullah ibn Mas'ud & was considered (as all sumpanions are) a competent and reliable narrator of hadiths. It is impuried that when Mu'adh ibn Jabal & was on his deathbed he alybed:

Eder knowledge from four people: 'Uwaymir Abu 'l-Darda'; Salman the Persian; 'Abdullah ibu Mas'url; and 'Abdullah ihn Salam, who was once a few but later embraced Islant (Sunan al-Tirmidhi).

#### Similarly Hudhayfa 🦚 relates:

We asked the Messenger of Allah &, "O Messenger of Allah! If only you sould appoint a caliph." He replied, "If I appoint a caliph over you and you disobey him then you would be punished, but whatever Hudhayfa tellues to you, accept it, and however 'Abdullah ibu Mas'ud reaches you to recite, recite in that way" (Sunan al-Tirnaidhi).

#### It is repaired that the Messenger of Allah & also said,

Fram the from four people: 'Abdullah ibu Mas'ud, Salim die slave of Abu Hudhayfa, Ubay ibu Ka'b, and Mn'adh ibu Jahal & (Sahih al-Bukhari, Sanan al-Tirmidhi).

Hafiz ibn Hajar al-'Asqalani states that the mention of someone's time before others (as in the case of the above narration where Ibn Mas'ind's & name is mentioned first) indicates the superiority of that person. Hence, the status of Ibn Mas'ind & in the knowledge of the Qur'an can also be gauged from the above hadith. In this regard, the narration of 'Umat & has already been mentioned previously, in which the Messenger of Allah & said that whoever intended to recite the Qur'an as though it were fieshly revealed should recite it according to the recitation of 'Abdullah ibn Mas'ind &.

#### OTHER STATEMENTS

#### linam Sha'bi states:

No Companion of the Messenger & entered Kufa whose knowledge was more beneficial [for the people] or who was a greater jurist than 'Abdullah ibn Mas'ud &.

'Allama Dhahabi, describing the status of the great Companion, writes:

'Abdullah ibn Mas'ud , rhe learned leader [al-imam al-rabbani], Abu 'Abd al-Rahman 'Abdullah ibn Ummi 'Abd al-Hudhali; Companion aud personal servant of the Messenger &; among the first to embrace Islam; among the veterans of the battle of Badt; among the expert jurists and reachers of the Qur'an; among those who strove to convey [the words of the Messenger &] very accurately; extremely scrupulous in [his] natrations; and one who would admonish his students upon their negligence in recording the exact words [of the Messenger &].... [Due to extreme caution] he would matrate very little [himself].... His students would not give preference to any Companion over him.... Surely he was from among the leading Companions, the bearers of stered knowledge, and the exemplars [a'imma] of guidauce (Tadhkirat al-huffaz 1:13–16).

#### CONCLUSION

The above is some of what has been related concerning the excellence and virtues of 'Abdullah ibn Mas'ud . There is no dombt that every Companion is descriving of high praise, especially those who have been complimented by the Messenger . As we have learned, 'Abdullah ibn Mas'nd was one of the elect Companions, renowned and praised for his learning aud deep insight into the religion.

Criticizing any person close to Allah & means incurring the wrath of Allah (may Allah protect us from it). In a divine [qudsi] hadith, the Messenger & relates that Allah & says, "Whoever harbors enmity towards a Friend [wali] of Mine, I declare war against him" (Sahih al-Bukhari). Therefore, it is considered a very serious crime to belittle the position of a Companion in any way, especially one who possessed so many virtues. The Companions are among those whom Allah & has expressed His pleasure with:

"Allah is well pleased with them and they pleased with Him. He has prepared for them Gardens under which rivers flow, to dwell therein for ever. That is the supreme success" (al-Qur'an 9:100).

4

## The True Position According to Allah 🐉

SCHOLARS OF ISLAMIC LAW have paid close attention to the question of which opinion on a particular issue in Islamic law would be the true and accurate opinion in the sight of Allah . It is believed that the reliable Imams of ijtibad [qualified scholarly analysis to derive legal inlings] and jurisprudence (Imam Abu Hanifa, Malik, Shafi'i, Ahmad, rn.) are all dependable and trustwotthy, and that their opinions can be adopted by those who follow their schools of thought. It is also asserted that their rulings concerning the various issues of Islamic law are correct and accurate in rhemselves.

The question we face is: are conflicting views between the Imams simultaneously correct and in agreement with what Allah has decreed as the truth [haq], or is there only one view from among them that is the truth according to Allah? If there is only one truly correct position on a given issue in Islamic law, then we must admir that we do not know which position, according to Allah &, is the truth [haq].

The following—an excerpt from Imam Muhammad ibn al-Hasan al-Shaybani's work *Bulugh al-amani*—sheds light on this issue and explains how only one ruling can be the truth [haq] in the sight of Allah \$\mathbb{B}\$:

Ibn Abi 'I-'Awam narrares from Imam Tahawi and Sulayman ibn Shu'ayb thar Shu'ayb al-Kasani said, "Imam Muhammad dictated to us that whenever people are in conflict with one another regarding a particular issue (i.e. when one jurist among them judges a thing to be unlawful [baram] and another judges it to be lawful [balat])—and the situation is such that both jurists possess the compercice to undertake ijrihad—even then, the opinion which is the trith according to Allah & is still one, whether it be the one judging the thing to be unlawful or the one judging it to be lawful. It is not possible that one thing be lawful as well as unlawful at the same time according to Allah &.

It is the responsibility of the mujtahid to attempt his utmost in exercising his jurisprudential capabilities to infer the ruling which he deems to he the truth according to Allah . If a jurist arrains the truth [i.e. the true ruling according to Allah .], he has the right to act according to his judgement and has also fulfilled his responsibility. On the other hand, if a jurist does not attain the truth [the true ruling according to Allah .], he has still fulfilled his responsibility [of endeavoring to nucover the truth] and is therefore also rewarded.

It is not correct for a person to conclude from two conflicting opinions that hoth can be the truth [haq] according to Allah. For instance, one Intam may judge a certain woman to be unlawful [in marriage] for a particular person whereas another Imam may judge her to be lawful for him. In this case, only one of these rulings can be the truth according to Allah .

However, since both Imams have fulfilled their responsibility, in making a sincere attempt to arrive at the correct ruling, both will be permitted to act according to their individual judgements, even though, in reality, one of them has certainly erred in his judgement. The reason for this [as mentioned earlier] is that, according to Allah 36, there can only be one true answer for any particular issue in Islamic law."

[Jurani Muhammad then concluded:] "This is the opinion of linarn Abn Hanifa and Abn Yusuf and rhis is our understanding of the issue" (Mufri Muhammad Shafi' in his Kashkol 101).

## PART TWO

- 1. THE DISTANCE BETWEEN THE FLET
- 2. THE POSITION OF THE HANDS
- 3. RECITING BEILING THE IMAM
- 4. THE ISSUE OF AMIN
- S. RAISING THE HANDS FOR RUKU'
- 6. TAWARRUK OR IFTIRASH
- 7. THE SUNNA PRAYER OF FAJR
- 8. How Many Rak'ats in Wite?
- 9. Prayer After 'Ask
- 10. PRAYER DURING THE SERMON
- II. Tete RAKATS IN TARAWUL
- 12. COMBINING PRAYERS

#### The Distance to be Kept Between the Feet

The Question that is probably in the minds of many people is: How should I stand in prayer [salat]? Should I stand with my legs with apart so that my feet touch those of the next person? Should I stand at my own comfort and not touch the feet of the next person? Or Should I stand with a gap of four fingers between them as some people do? Questions of this nature have confused the minds of many people, and they would like to discover the precise sunna merhod of standing in prayer.

This chapter attempts to answer these questions and offers the reader at clear view of the correct *sunna* positive. It should be uniterstood at the ourset that discussions on this point by the scholars of Islam are very few in comparison to the detailed discussions found on other key batters of prayer. Thus, very limited information is found in the many banks of jurisprudence regarding this issue. In fact, the precise views of even the four Imams are quire difficult to determine.

There are a number of hadiths on the issue which emphasize the importance of maintaining orderly rows during the prayer. These nurrations are usually accompanied by a warning from the Messenger of Allah & on the consequence of not straightening the rows for salat. One such narration states:

Straighten your rows, or else Allah will create discord between your hearts (Sunan Abi Dawud 1:97).

There are also other narrations which contain similar admonitious.

When a musalli [person praying] observes others spreading their feer apart and roughing them to the feer of the next person, he cannot help but wonder from where such a method was derived. The upholders of this view present a hallith in which the Companions roughed their feet together (i.e. each one joined his feer with those of the person next to him) after receiving admonition from the Messenger of Allah for straighten the rows. This hadith, though quoted as being a proof in support of this view, does not in any way make the joining of the feet a unjib [necessary] act as the supporters of this opinion so claim. The following sections of this chapter will work to clarify this point by first discussing the different opinions on the issue of positioning the feet in prayer. Thereafter, the above hadith will be independently analyzed in-depth in an attempt to explain its true implications.

To form an orderly row, whether by joining the feet together or not, is undoubtedly a very important requirement for the congregational prayer. It is also the *imam's* responsibility to custure that this is done correctly before he initiates the prayer. Although, rechnically speaking, having the rows in perfect order cannot be classified as an integral or *fard* of the prayer, it is definitely an important *sunna* due to the strict instructions that have been related about it from the Messenger of Allah \$\mathscr{B}\$.

#### THE VARIOUS OPINIONS

We will begin by starting some of the opinions of the Hanafi school on the issue of feet position in prayer. In all, there seems to be two dominater opinions found in the Hanafi texts. The first of these calls for a gap of four fungers to be left between the feet of a person when he is praying. This opinion is found in Imam Ibn 'Abidiu's authoritative cummentary on 'Allama Haskafi's al-Durr al-mukhtar, where it states:

The gap to be left between a person's feet should be equal to that of four

fingers of the hand, because this [amount] is very effective in creating [the positive of] submission and humility [sought in prayer] (Radd almuhur 1:299).

Leaving a gap equal to four fingers has been described by the jurists [finqaba] as being the superior method, as it sometimes proves quite inteomfortable to stand with the legs spread wide apart for an extended period of time. This discumfort makes concentration difficult and often results in the loss of focus and devotion in the prayer.

The second method according to the Hanafi school can be understood from the following. In *Ma'arif al-sunan*, a commentary of *Sunan al-Tirmidhi* by the lare hadith scholar 'Allama Yusuf Binnori, it is stared that there is no mention, among authentic hadith narrations, of a stipulated amount of space to be left between one's own feet during the prayer, For this reason, it could be concluded that the *sunna* method of positioning the feer in prayer is whatever distance it person finds convenient and conifortable while praying (*Ma'arif al-sunan* 2:298).

A hadith is reported in Sunan al-Nasa'i which states that

Abdullah ibu Mas'ıııl saw a person stauding in prayer with his two feer together [i.e. touching each other] and judged it to be against the *sanna*. He advised the person that if he had practised *muramaha* it would have been more preferable (*Sunan al-Nasa'i* 1:142).

The Arabic world murawaha usually means to sraud on one foot and then the other, alternating between them as one becomes tired. I however, another meaning of murawaha is to leave a slight gap between the feet, and this seems to be the most probable meaning of this word in reference to the above narrarion, since the person had been standing with his feet together. If we take this latter meaning of the term murawaha, the hadirh means that 'Abdullah ibit Mas'nd instructed the person to maintain a small gap between his feet, since the suma was not to completely join the feet together (not to keep them so far apart).

From the above, we learn of the flexibility of the Hanafi school

on this issue. It would rherefore be permitted for a person to stand with a gap between his feet equal to or greater than the width of four fingers.

In determining the opinion of the Shafi'is on this issue, a careful study of their literature reveals that their most popular view is that a person should maintain a gap equal ru one hand span between his feer (*Nihayat al-muhtaj* 1:347 U). However, it is recommended in *al-Anwar*, another rext on Shafi'i *figh*, that the gap should only be four fingers—as is one view of the Hanafis. Furthermore, the great Shafi'i scholar linam Nawawi concludes:

It is undesirable [makruh] ro join the feet together, it is preferable [mustahab] to keep some distance between them. (Sharh al-Muhadhdhab 3:266 U).

In total, we have three opinions of the Shafi'i school: (1) a gap equivalent to one hand span; (2) a gap of four fingers; and (3) as much a gap as the person deems necessary. The first opinion is particular to the Shafi'i school, whereas the latter two opinions are common to both the Shafi'i and Hanafi schools.

One has probably noticed by now that not a single opinion mentions that a person's feet must be joined together with the feet of the adjacent person(s). If indeed this was the correct and sunna way of standing in prayer, it would have undoubtedly been accepted as such.

#### THE HADITH ON JOINING THE FEET

There is a hadill in Sunan Abi Dawud which describes the Companions joining their feet with each other to form orderly rows. Abit 'l-Qasim al-Jadali reports:

I heard Nu'man ihn Bashir & relate that the Messenger & faced the people and instructed, "Straighten your rows. By Allah, you should straighten your rows or else Allah will create disagreement between your heatts." Nu'man ibn Bashir & rhen said, "I saw each person join lis shoulders

with those of the next person and his knees and ankles with those of the next person" (Sunan Abi Dawud 1:104).

This is one of the hadillis pur forward as evidence by those who assert that each person's feet should be joined with the next person's during congregational prayer. Some of them are overly particular about this, so much so that if someone standing next to them happens to draw in their feet, these people would adjust their legs even further just to maintain foot contact with their neighbot. They continuously etiricize those who do not leave a wide gap between their feet, as though the *sunna* method is only what they claim.

In vain, however, are their attempts to use the above hadith and other similar hadiths to establish that joining the feet in *salat* is necessary [wajib]. This is true for a number of simple reasons:

- (i) The words which aerually describe the joining of the feet are not the words of the Messenger of Allah &, but are rather the words of the natrator. Hence, this portion of the hadith is not a direct statement from the Messenger & himself [marfu], but rather the narraror's description of the reaction of the Companions to the Messenger's & warning. In fact, this observation added by the narraror cannot be found in the majority of narrations that emphasize having orderly tows. Hence, it becomes quite clear that the Messenger & did not command the joining of the feet together, but merely commanded that the lines be straightened. In order to fulfill this command, the Companions employed this method of joining the feet and.
- (2) The hadith of Nu'man ibn Bashi structure rells us about the behavior of the Companions before the prayer began. In other words, the observed behavior of the Companions was to join their ankles, knees, and shoulders together prior to the prayer's commencement. Nowhere in the hadith does it indicate that this posture was maintained throughout the prayer.
- (3) If, for the sake of argument, we were to accept that the joining

of the feet was maintained throughout the prayer, a number of questions atise. One such question is whether the feet should be joined together in all postutes of the prayer of only during the standing postute [qiyam]. If the answer is that it is required only during the standing postute, then the next questions are: "What is the evidence for that?" and "Why is this attangement confined to the standing posture only and not required in any other postute?" If the answer is that it is necessary in all postutes of prayer, then the question is: "How will people in each row go about joining their feet and shoulders together while in prostration or in the sitting postute?" Clearly it would be quite impossible to achieve this.

Moreover, if the counter-argument is that it is only necessary to have the feet rogethet while in *qiyam* because of its difficulty in the other postities of prayer, then the teply is that it is also very difficult for a tow of people to ensure that this *joining* arrangement is maintained between them during the standing postute as well.

- (4) Based on the above-mentioned hadith, if it is deemed necessary to join the shoulders and feet together, then why have the knees been excluded from this tuling? In the above narration of *Sunan Abi Dawud*, the Companions joined their knees together as well. It should therefore follow that the joining of the knees also be treated as an obligatory act throughout the prayer. However, one must be warned that standing even for a short while with one's knees joined to the next petson's knees can be quite painful. This is even impossible in some cases, when there is a significant size difference between two people standing besides one another.
- (5) Another interpretation of the above hadith offered by some scholats is that the nattatot Nu'man ibn Bashii only intended to show how the Companions attempted to form extremely straight tows at the instructions of the Messenget of Allah 184, and not that they actually joined their feet, shouldets, and ankles togethet. It is for this teason that the ritle of this chaptet in Sabih al-Bukhari, "Chaptet iin the

Joining of the Shoulders and Feet Togethet While Forming the Rows, "has been classified by Hafiz Ibn Hajat as an exaggeration. He writes in his commentary, Fath al-Bari, that

[Imam Bukhari's] reason for choosing this specific title is to exaggerate (mubalagha) the importance of straightering the rows and filling the gaps in between (Fath al-Bari 2:247).

It is deduced from this statement that the above-mentioned narration is not to be taken literally. Imani Shawkani, who is constantly refetted in by those who prefet not to follow a school of thought in Islamic jurisptudence, also does not take the hadith's literal interpretation. He writes in his *Nayl al-awar*:

[The statement] means: place the parts of the body [shouldets, etc.] in line with each offict, so that the shoulder of each person performing prayet is in level with the shoulder of the next person. This way everyone's shoulders, knees, and feer will be in a single straight line (*Nayl al-awtar* 3:65 U).

In clear words, he judicates that the actual teason for joining the shuttlets and other body parts, was to straighten the rows and not because the joining itself was an obligatory act.

(6) Anas sha also stated in a narration of Ma'mat, which Ibn Hajat has recorded in his Fath al-Bari, that

if I were to attempt this [joining the shoulders and feet together] with anybody today, they would scurry away like restive mules (Fath al-Bari 2:247).

It is apparent from Anas's & statement that even the Companions did not continue this practice after the death of the Messenger of Allah M. If it had been a continuous action of the Messenger & [sunna mustamirra], the Companions would never have abandoned it, let alone speak of it in such a mannet.

(7) Once it is established that the primary reason for the Companions juining their feet together was to achieve perfect order in their tows, it can be easily understood that this joining of the feet is not required

any longer, since, in most of the *masjids* and places of worship roday, the lines are well marked on the catpers, matble, and floot coverings. By standing togethet with their heels on the markings, the worshippets will automatically come rogethet in perfectly straight tows. Hence, there is no need to be overly critical and go around ensuring that everyone's feet have been joined togethet.

#### Other Points to be Considered

A noteworthy point to mention now is that many of those who assent that the feet be joined together are normally observed widening their feet even during their individual prayers. In fact, on many occasions, they widen them beyond shouldet width. Even if they consider the joining of the feet in congregational prayer to be necessary, it does not mean they must also widen their feet beyond shouldet width. The teason for this is that if every body stood shouldet to shouldet and joined their feet together, the gap between the two feet would only be as wide as the shoulders. It would be quite impossible to spread them any more and still maintain shoulder contact.

Another teason why one should not overspread his feet during individual prayer is that the above-mentioned hadith only describes the Companious joining their feet while in congregation. Hence, this hadith cannot be used as evidence for widening the feet during individual salat.

#### CONCLUSION

In the end, we can conclude, without feat of contradiction, that those who insist on joining the feet togethet have failed to comptehend the true meaning of the hadith, and, as such, do not have any strong evidence to support their position. It is not possible to follow the Qur'an and hadiths by always employing verbatim translations, which is the methodology of the Literalists [Zahiriyya], whose many views majority of scholats have not accepted. The grave consequences of

following this type of methodology is quite apparent.

Indeed, it is important to come together during prayer, but this is notmally achieved by joining the shoulders together (which has been ordered in the hadiths) and standing with the heels on the lines. It is vittually impossible not to leave any gaps at all as some people insist. Is it too difficult to understand that when someone attempts to fill in the gap hetween his and the next person's feet, he opens a gap between his own feet?

Therefore, the true *sunna* method would be to either leave a space of approximately four fingers between one's feet or any such gap through which one can achieve a comfortable and humble posture. During the congregational prayer, each person must ensure that he is close enough to the next person as to touch shoulders and that his feet are on the marked lines so that the whole congregation is ordeted and comprised of straightened rows.

# 2

## The Position of the Hands in Prayer

UPON ENTERING SOME *masjids*, a person finds a multitude of different people. He observes some standing in prayet with their hands clasped togethet beneath the navel, some with their hands folded on the chest, and some with their hands just beneath the chest. He also sees a few praying with their hands at their sides.

After observing such a scene, the question that very often atises in the mind of these observers is: "What is the correct method of placing the hands while in prayet?" or "Where did the Messenger of Allah place his hands?" The following discussion will seek to answer these questions and determine the sunna (and most preferred) method of positioning the hands while standing in prayer.

The first point that needs to be clarified here is that all the positions mentioned in the hadillistate permissible, and the difference of opinion is only concerning which is the most prefetable method but of them.

The second point is that there are very few rigorously authenticated [whih] hadiths concerning this issue, and most of the reports which explain the different ways of positioning the hands in salat have been classified as either extremely weak or slightly defective. This makes the issue a bit more complicated than others. Nonetheless, it is hoped than by the end of this chapter, the sunna and more preferable method of positioning the hands in salat will become evident.

#### THE VARIOUS OPINIONS

## The First Difference of Opinion

The first difference of opinion is concerning whether the hands should be clasped together of not. Imam Malik's more popular opinion, as related by Ibn al-Qasim, is that the hands should be left hanging at the sides. A second view of his, telated by Ibn al-Mundhir, is that the hands should be brought together and placed on the body.

Imam Ahii Hanifa, Imam Shafi'i, and Imam Ahmad, as well as the majority of scholars, are of the opinion that the hands should be clasped together and not left to hang at the side. The great Maliki scholat Ibn 'Abd al-Batt states tegarding this:

There is nothing reported from the Messenger shahili contradicts this [majority opinion], and this is the manimous view of all the Companions and Followers [tabi in] (Awjaz al-masalik 2:116).

## The Second Difference of Opinion

Now, among those of the latter view, there is a difference of opinion as to exactly where on the body the hands should be positioned after clasping them togethet. Imain Abu Hanifa and Abu Ishaq al-Marwazi from the Shafi'i school assert that the hands should be positioned below the navel. Imam Shafi'is view, according to al-Wasir and Kitab al-Unum, is that they should be positioned beneath the chest. This is his most populat opinion. A second opinion of his, as mentioned in al-Hawi, is that the hands should be placed directly on the chest.

There are three opinions related from Imam Ahmad ibn Hanbal, the first of which is similar to that of Imam Abri Hanifa. Ibn Hiibayta said this was his more populat opinion. Imam Ahmad's second opinion is similar to that of Imam Shafi'i, and the third opinion is that a person has the choice of either placing his hands beneath his navel or on his cliest, since both of these methods are derived from the Sunna.

## THE HADITHS ON THIS ISSUE

The scholars state that there are no authentic hadiths that substantiate Imam Malik's opinion of leaving the hands at the sides. Some have mentioned the reason for it to be profound feat, awe, and reverence for Almighty Allah; that once a person is standing before Him, he furgets to bring his hands together and they are left to hang at the sides. Whatever the case maybe, there are teports to be found of some Companions praying with their hands on their sides [see Musannaf Ibn Abi Shayba 2:391].

On the other hand, there are numerous narrations which establish that the Messenger & placed his hands on his body while standing in prayer and did not leave them hanging on his side. These natrations however very greatly as to where exactly on his body he placed his hands. One very pupular narration on this issue is that of Wa'il ibn Hujt &, which is found in numerous hadith collections. The scholars however have labelled this narration as being problematic [mudtarib] and inconsistent. In one version of this narration, which is found in Salih Ibn Khuzayma, Wa'il ibn Hujt says,

I petformed prayer with the Messenger of Allah 🕸. He placed his tight hand прои the left one on his chest.

The vetsion of *Musnad al-Bazzar* states "near his chest" instead of "upon his chest," and the vetsion of *Musnanaf Ibn Abi Shayba* states, "hencath the navel." The first two versions support the view of those white claim it is more preferable to place the hands on the chest of just believe it, and the third version supports the Hanafi view. It should be much, however, that all three versions contain some type of a weak-uces. Each vetsion will be analyzed in the following sections along with other narrations to determine their status and the teasons for their weakness.

## The First Version

1. The version of Wa'il ibn Hujr's 🦚 nattation, transmitted by Ibn

Khuzayına in his Sahih, contains the words "upon his chesi" and is probably the weakesi of them all. There are a number of reasons for rhis:

- (a) Mu'ammat ibn Isma'il is one of the narrators of this version. He has been called a weak narrator, ever since he erred in his narrations after his books were buried and he was subsequently forced to narrate from memory. Imam Bukhari states, "His narrations are rejected" [munkar al-hadith]. 'Allama Dhahabi states, "He makes many errors" [kathir al-khata']. Ahn Znr'a states, "His narrations contain many errors." (Fath al-Mathim 2:40)
- (b) This marration, although found in many other books through various chains, does not contain the words "on the chest" in any other version. Versions of it are found in *Struan Abi Dawud*, *Nasa'i, Ibn Maja*, and in the *Musnad* of Abu Dawud al-Tayalisi. None of them, however, contain the addition "on the chest." 'Allama Nimawi states that this is only found in the version of Mu'ammal ibn Isma'il (and transmitted by Ibn Khuzayma). Hence, it is a weak and unauthenticated version.
- (e) Another point is that Mu'ammal ibu Isma'il relates this hadith from Sufyan al-Thawri. Hafiz ibn Hajar al-Asqalani states that the little between Mu'ammal ibn Isma'il and Sufyan al-Thawri is weak (Fath al-Bari 206 U). This is another weakness of this version.
- (d) Sufyan al-Thawri himself was of the opinion that the hands should be positioned beneath the navel. So when his narration is found to be in contradiction with his personal opinion, this narration of his will not be accepted according to the principles of hadith study [ustil al-hadith].
- (c) Some have stated that all the narrations of Sahih Ibn Khuzayma are authentic. However, this is not true. 'Allama Suymi states in his Tadrib al-rawi that Sahih Ibn Khuzayma contains some weak and munkar [rejected] reports. Furthermore, Ibn Khuzayma, like Imam

Tirmidhi, routinely comments after every marration stating its level of authenticity. However, following this marration of Wa'il thn Hujr, he does not make any comments whatsoever regarding its anthenticity. This narration therefore cannot be classified as authentic just because it is part of his collection. It is clear that if it had been a rigorously authenticated hadith, he would have surely designated it as such.

(f) Some have said even if this hadith was accepted to be authentic, the placing of the hands on the chest would definitely be considered an isolated [shadh] practice—something Allah's Messenger & did a few times solely to inform his Companions of its permissibility [hayanan li 4-jawaz]. In no way can it be proven from this narration that placing the hands on the chest in salat was a permanent practice of the Messenger .

## The Second Version

2. The second version of Wa'il ibit Hitji's narrarion, found in Musnad al-Bazzar, contains the words "near the chest" and is also weak. One of its narrators is Muhammad ibn Hitji regarding whom Imam Bukhari states, "His matter is unsettled." 'Allama Dhahabi states, "Some of his narrations are rejected." (Majma' al-zawa'id 2:135) Hence, this version is also weak and must be rejected.

## Other Narrations

3. Another narration which mentions the placing of the hands on the cliest is the narration of Hulb & transmitted by linant Ahmad:

The Messenger of Allah & would turn from his right and left side, and would place this [hand] upon his chest (Mamad Ahmad).

Allama Nimawi has established with convincing evidence that there is an error in the wording of this hadith. In place of the words 'ala hadithi ["upon the other hand"] the copyist has mistakenly written 'ala sodxibi ["on his chest"] (Athar al-sunan 87). This narration cannot stand as evidence either.

## 4. Another similar natration found in Sunan al-Bayhaqi states:

'Ali &, [in order 10] explain the meaning of the verse, "Therefore rum in prayer to your Lord and Sacrifice" (al-Qn 'an 108:2), placed his tight hand over the center of his left one and positioned them on his chest, as though indicating that the meaning [rafsir] of this verse was to position the hands in this manner (Snnan al-Baybaqi 2:30).

However, 'Allama Ibn al-Tirkumani al-Mardini, in his book al-Jawhar al-naqi, establishes that both the chain [isnad] and text [main] in this narration are inconsistent. Imam Bayhaqi has mentioned a similar nartation from Ibn 'Abbas & in which there is the narrator Rawh ibn al-Musayyib. About him, Ibn Hibban states:

He narrates fabrications. It is not permissible to narrate from him.

## 'Allama Sa'ati writes:

It is not correct to attribute this exegesis [taßir] to 'Ali or Ibn 'Abhas &... The correct meaning of the verse, as Ibn Kathir states, is that it is regarding the Sacrifice [Qurbani] (al-Fath al-Rabbani 3:174 U).

Of the four natrations that have been analyzed so fat, each one has heen found to be defective. There are some other matrations similar to these which state that the Messenger & did not position his hands beneath his navel. The explanation of the Hanafis for them is that the Messenger & did, at one time or another, place his hands on his chest or just below it. However, he did this only to demonstrate the permissibility of such a positic [bayanan li 1-jawax], whereas the normal and routine practice of the Messenger & was to place his hands below his navel. The following matrations will establish this point more clearly.

## EVIDENCE OF THE HANARIS

## 1. Wa'il ibn Huji 🕸 narrates:

I saw Allah's Messenger in placing his right hand upon the left one helow his navel in prayer (Misannaf Ibn Abi Shayba, Athar al-Sunan 90).

This is the third version of Wa'il ibn Hujt's marration, mentioned at the beginning of the chapter, containing the words "below the navel." Some Hanafi scholars have stated that this version cannot be used as conclusive evidence for the Hanafi opinion, because the words "below his navel" are only to be found in some editions of *Musannaf Ibn Abi Shayba*, and not in them all. This is aside from the fact that, as we mentioned, it has an inconsistent text.

However, it is quoted in *Fath al-Mulhim* that 'Allama Qasim ibn Qutlingah has judged this version to be of sound transmission. 'Allama Muhammad Abu 'I-Tayyib al-Madani writes in his commentary on *Sunun al-Tirmidhi* that this nattation has a strong chain, and Shaykh 'Abid Sindhi states, "Its nartators are trustworthy." Also, a number of scholats have verified that the addition, "below the navel," is to be found in many manuscripts of *Musannaf Ibn Abi Shayba*, even if it is not found in the recently published editions [see Athar al-sunan 148].

Therefore, despite the problematic nature of Wa'il ibn Hujt's narration, this version of it cannot be tendeted totally unacceptable, since there are many other reliable reports that strengthen it.

#### 2. 'Ali de states:

To place one palm over the other beneath the navel is from the sunua acts of prayer (Sunua al-Bayhaqi 312 U, Musannaf Ibn Abi Shayba 1:391).

It is a known fact that whenever a Companion utters the words, "It is from the Sunna," tegatding any action, it means it is something acquired from the Messenger of Allah & himself. Hence, 'Ali & could have only reported this practice as *sunna* after observing Allah's Messenger & do it.

The problem with this narration is that it contains 'Abd al-Rahman ibn Ishaq in its chain, who has been classified as weak. The Hanafis have not fully relied on this narration as a basis for their opinion, but since there are many other narrations which reinforce it, it could still stand as supplementary evidence.

## 3. Hajjaj ibn al-Hasan relates:

Either I heard Abu Mijlaz saying it on I enquired from him, "How should one position his hands [during prayer]?" He replied, "He should place the inner portion of his right hand upon the back of the left one beneath the nave!" (Musannaf Ibn Abi Shayba 1:390).

The transmission of this hadith is sound [hasan], as 'Allama Ibn al-Turkumani al-Mandini states in his book al-Jawhar al-nagi.

## 4. Ibrahint al-Nakh'ay relates:

One should place his right hand upon the left one beneath the navel while in prayer (Musantaf Ibn Abi Shayba 1:390).

The transmission of this hadith is also sound [hasan].

## 5. Abn Hurayra 🐗 natrates:

The placing of one hand over the other in prayer should be beneath the navel (al-Jawhar al-naqi 2:31 U).

## 6. Anas 45 reports that

there are three aspects from the characteristics of propherhood [nubunwa]: 10 open fast early; 10 delay the predawn meal [nuhnr]; and 10 position the right hand over the left one beneath the taxel while in prayer (al-fawhar al-naqi 2:31 U).

## OTHER EVIDENCES FOR THE HANAFI OPINION

The scholars have provided various other reasons as 10 why the hands are best placed beneath the navel and why this method has been classified as nost preferable.

- (t) Although most of the hadiths on this issue are weak in one way or another, the nartations presented by the Hanalis have been judged to be more sound than the rest.
- (2) The great Haitafi jurist Ibit al-Humam states:

Due to the inconsistency and contradictions found between the various narrations, it is best to resort to analogy and reasoning. Standing before

the Loid demands a positive which expresses respect and reverence. Since positioning the hands beneath the navel is probably the most respectful way of standing, it will be considered most superior. On the other hand, the reason for women being instructed to position their hands on their elests, is so that greater concealitent [and modesty] can be achieved by this (Fath al-Qadir).

(3) 'Allama Badr al-Din 'Ayni, the author of the great commentary on Sahih al-Bukhuri, 'Umdat al-Qari, writes:

To position the hands beneath the navel holds great virtue. It is a posture which signifies great respect. It displays greater contrast to the postures of the disbelievers.

#### He also writes:

This is the same posture in which one stands before the inlets [of this world].

#### He then writes:

Placing the hands on the chest creates a similarity with women, hence, that cannot be classified as the *suma* for men ('Umdat al-gari 3:16 U).

## Conclusion

It could be concluded that although the hadiths presented by the various schools of thought contain some form of weakness of other, the hadiths presented by the Hanafis have received less criticism, and they have many stronger reports to supplement the weaker ones. Therefore, the hadiths presented as evidence for placing the hands on of below the chest cannot be taken to denote the normal practice of Allah's Messenger . The Hanafis do agree, Itowever, that the Messenger sometimes placed his hands upon his chest and below it to express permissibility of such a posture [bayanan li 'l-jawaz].

Placing the hands beneath the navel exhibits a greater amount of respect and humility, and just as natury postures of prayer for a male differ from that of a female, the method of positioning the hands also differs between them.

# 3

## Reciting Behind the Imam

WHETHER OR NOT ONE SHOULD RECITE Sural al-Fatiha behind the *imam* has been a topic of great controversy and dispute since early times. The controversy is not just regarding which is superior and more virtuous, but rather it is a debate concerning the actual permissibility of reciting Surar al-Fatiha when praying behind the *imam*. For this teason, it holds a very important position among the various issues of prayer, and scholars have written lengthy discussions on the subject.

This issue differs from that of raf al-yadayn, which is only about determining whether or not it is more superior to raise the hands at the time of rukul. The issue of gira'a khalf al-imam or "recting behind the imam" is far more serious. It is about whether the recitation is unjib [necessary] or totally forbidden.

The following study deals with the verses and hadiths on this issue, and the rulings of reciring Fatiha for the *muqtadi* or "one following an *imam*" in the silent [*sirri*] and audible [*jahri*] prayers.

#### THE VARIOUS OPINIONS

Firstly, there is no difference of opinion concerning whether or not the *imam* or the person praying by himself [munfarid] have to recite Surat al-Fatiha. All scholars agree that it is obligatory on both of them to recite Streat al-Fatiha. They also agree that the muqtadi is exempted from reciting anything beyond Strat al-Fatiha, whereas the *imam* 

and the *munfarid* have to tecite at least a few short vetses or a small chapter in the first two *rak'ras* [units] of the *fard* [obligatory] prayer and in all *rak'ats* of non-*fard* prayers.

The difference is regarding whether or not the *muqtadi* should tecire Surar al-Fatiha when praying behind the *imam*,

Imam Malik and Imam Abmad are of the view that the follower is not required to recite Surar al-Fatiha in the andible prayer, hur is required to do so in the silem prayers. Imam Malik has said it is undesirable [makrnh] for the follower to tecite in the andible prayers. (Fath al-Mulhim 2:20)

Imam Shafi'is popular view is that it is necessary for the follower to recite Surar al-Fatiha in both types of prayers—andible as well as silent. This view, although being the popular one, is not necessarily his final opinion. A careful study of his works reveals this opinion to be his former view, as Ibn Qudama states in his book al-Mughni (1:601). The words of Imam Shafi'i, as relayed in his book al-Umm, inform us that it is not necessary for the mugtadi to recite Sturar al-Fatiha in the audible prayers; however, it should be recited in the silent prayers. He writes:

And we say that the follower should recite in every prayer performed behind an *imam* in which the *imam* recites in a non-audible tone (*Kitab al-Umm* 7:153 U).

Al-Umm is one of Imam Shafi'i's later works, as affirmed by Hafiz Ibn Kathit in his al-Biduya wa I-nihaya (10:252) and 'Allama Suyuti in his Husn al-muhadhara. This indicates that what is understood from al-Umm is his later opinion, which in most cases is the mote correct one.

There is another group of people who elaim it is *fard* [obligatory] for the *muqtadi* to recite the Fariha even in the audible prayers. This is a very isolated and unique position, since even Dawnd al-Zahiti and Imam Ibu Taymiya were of the view that the Fatiha should not be recited in the audible prayers.

Imam Abii Hanifa, Abu Yusiif, and Miihammad are iinanimoiis

in their opinions regarding this issue. They state that it is forhidden [haram] (though does not nullify the prayet) for the follower to recite any portion of the Qur'an, whether it be the Fatiha or any other verse, in both the silent and audible prayers behind the imam. Whatever has been related about Imam Muhammad saying that it is more preferable for the follower to recite in the silent prayers is a weak report. Ibn al-Humam states this opinion to be etroneously attributed to Imam Muhammad. He says,

The truth is that Imani Muhamurad's opinion is the same as that of Imani Abu Hanifa and Imani Abu Yusuf. Imani Muhamurad has clearly stated his view to be the same as that of Imani Abu Hanifa and Abu Yusuf in his Muwatta and Kitab al-Athar (Fath al-Mulbim 2:20).

A few points are derived from the above review of opinious concerning the recital of the *muqtadi*:

- (i) No Imam considers the teciting of Fatiha to be furd, or necessary, for him in the audible prayers,
- (2) Some say it is necessary for him only in the silent prayers.
- (3) The opinion of the Hanafi school is simple, and that is no recitation should be undertaken by the follower, as his *imam's* recitation is sufficient for bim.

Now we will look at the various verses and hadiths on this issue, and determine the closeness of the Hanafi opinion to the Holy Qur'an and Sunna.

## THE HOLY QUR'AN ON THIS ISSUE

1. Allah 🐉 says in the Qur'an,

"So, when the Qur'an is recited, listen to it, and remain silent, that you may receive mercy" [i.e. thring the compulsory congregational prayers when the *imam* is reciting] (al-Qur'an 7:204).

Illis verse is sufficient proof that no recitation wharsoever should be

undertaken by the follower, and that it is obligatory for him to temain silent and listen attentively while the *imam* is techning.

It is stated in *Tanzim al-ashiat*, a commentary of *Mishkat al-Masabih*, that this verse issues two commands to the follower: the first, to remain completely silent—which relates to both the silent and audible prayers—and the second, to listen with concentration—which telates only to the audible prayers. This means that the follower should maintain total silence in order to listen attentively to the recitation of his *imam* during the audible prayers; and he should also remain silent in the silent prayers because of the command in the above verse to remain silent, even though he is unable to hear the recitation.

The above-mentioned Qui'anic verse is very general and encompassing in its command. It states that one must remain silent and, if possible, also listen "when the Qui'an is recited," i.e. whether and bly of silently. It does not confine it to any particular state such as "temain silent when you can *hear* the Qui'an being recited," or "...when the Qui'an is being tectifed *alond*, "Hence, it becomes clear from this verse that it is necessary for the *muqtadi* to remain silent in the silent and audible prayers while the *imam* is tecting. The *muqtadi* should also listen attentively in the audible prayers.

Some claim that this vetse was revealed concerning the Friday sermon [khutha] only, and not concerning maintaining silence in prayer. This is an incorrect claim since a number of factors prove otherwise. Hafiz Ibn Taymiya writes in his Fatawa:

It comes to be understood from the pions predecessors [salaf salib] that the verse was revealed concerning teciting in prayer, and some have said [it was revealed] concerning the sermon, Imam Almail has reported a consensus [among the scholars] that it was revealed concerning prayer (Majmn' al-fatawa 23;269).

## Ibu Qudama writes in his book al-Mughni:

Imam Ahmad states after a report of Abu Dawird. "The people are imanimous that this verse was revealed concerning the prayer" (1:601).

## Iliu Taymiya wtires:

Imam Ahuad has reported a consensus that reciting is not necessary for the *mnqtadi* when the *imam* is reciting audibly (*Majmu' al-Fasama* 23:269).

It is reported in al-Mughni that Imam Ahmad explicitly said:

We have never heard any Muslim scholar state that if a follower observes silence when his *imam* recites aloud, his prayer will not be valid. He further scares, "This was (the practice of) the Messenger of Allah B, the Companions [Sahaha], and the Followers [Tahi'm]. This is [the opinion of] Malik from Hijaz, Thawri from Itaq, Awza'i from Syria, and Layth from Egypt, None of them have said that a mnqaadi's prayer will be invalid if he does not recite while his *imam* is reciting" (al-Mughni 1:602).

Both Ibn Jarir and Ibn Abi Hatim in their commentaties [tafasir], and Imam Bayliaqi in his Kitab al-qira'a have related a hadith from the great exceede Mujahid:

This verse was reveiled concenting some Companions of the Messenger & reciting behind the *imam*.

Although this report is *mursal* (i.e. one in which a Follower reports directly from the Messenger without mentioning a Companion in hetween), it will still stand as strong evidence since it is teported by Mujahid, who is known as one of the greatest exegetes of the Holy Qin'an [a'lam al-uas bi 'l-tafsir]. Hence, his *mursal* teports ate accepted by the scholars.

Ibn Jarii al-Tabaii relates another hadith from Yasir ibn Jabii regarding Ibn Mas'ud &:

Ibit Mas'itd & was performing prayer when he heard a few people reciting with the imam. Upon completing his prayer he remarked, "Has the time not come for you to understand? Has the time not come for you to realize that when the Qur'an is being recited, you must listen to it attentively and remain silent just as Allah has ordered you to?" (I'la' al-sunan 4:43, Tifsir al-Tabari 11:378)

Hence, all the aforementioned points and statements justify that the

verse was indeed tevealed concerning prayer in general and not just for the Friday sermon. It is also worth knowing that this is a Makkan verse, whereas the Friday prayer (during which the setmon is delivered) only became obligatory later on in Madina.

## 2. Allah & says,

"So recire as much ["ma"] of the Qur'an as may be easy [for you]" (al-Qur'an 73:20).

This verse commands that some portion of the Qur'an, regardless of its length, should be teched duting the prayer. It does not confine the obligation to Surat al-Fatiha but tather indicates that any portion of the Qur'an can be teched to meet the obligation [fardityta]. However, those who hold the view that it is obligatory to recite Surat al-Fatiha in prayer have used this verse with the hadith, "There is no prayer except with Surat al-Fatiha," as proof to substantiate their claim. They state that the atticle "ma" in the above verse is an "unexplained" or majmal term and that the above hadith serves as its explanation. Hence, according to them, the Qur'anic verse means: "So recite Surat al-Fatiha from the Qur'an [during prayer]."

The problem with this explanation is that the article "ma" is not an "unexplained" or mujmal term, as they propose, but a "general" of 'am term. According to the principles of jurisprudence [usud al-fiqh], the article "ma" is normally used in this context, and the verse should tead, "Recite whatever is possible for you to recite from the Qur'an." This means that any portion of the Qur'an could be recited to fulfill the obligation laid down by this verse, since its general tone encompasses the whole Qur'an. By confining it to Surat al-Fatiha only, it would abrogate the general nature of the verse.

This does not mean that the Hanafis have disregarded the hadith altogether. Through the hadith, they have tendered the tectiation of Stirat al-Fatiha to be wajib [necessary]. According to Hanafi jirrisprudence, there is a difference between wajib and fard. Fard is an obligation that is established through decisive proof [dalit qat'i],

and wajib is an obligation that is established through speculative proof [dalil zanni]. Although it is important and necessary to fulfill both types of obligations, there is a difference in the tuling of one who does not fulfill them. For instance, neglecting a fard act in the salat will render the entite prayer invalid, wheteas neglecting a wajib will render the deficient but not entitely invalid. A wajib act that is neglected can be compensated through the "prostrations of forget-littless" [sajdat al-sahu]; however, neglecting a fard act cannot be compensated in this manner. There are many other tulings concetning these two types of obligations that can be found in other works of jurisprudence [figh].

The Hanafis thus conclude that reciting any portion of the Qur'an in prayer is *fard* based on the above-mentioned verse. And based on the above-mentioned hadith, they conclude that the recital of Surai al-Faitha in prayer is *wajib*. In summary, the *imam* and the petson praying alone have to recite Surat al-Faitha along with some other verses, but the *muqtadi* does not have to recite at all because he has been commanded to temain silent and because his *imam's* recitation is sufficient for him (as will be further discussed under hadith 5).

## 1. Allah De says,

"And say your prayer acither aloud nor in a low voice, but follow a way between" (al-Qur'an 17:110).

Ilin Abbas & relates the circumstances of revelation for this verse:

Illis verse was revealed when the Messenger & was still in the stage of disercetly inviting [mutawarin] people to Islam in Makka. He would lead the Companions in prayer and would recite aloud. When the polythesis [mushrikin] would hear his recitation, they would revile the Holy Qur'an, the One Who revealed it [Allah], and the one who conveyed it [Muhammad &]. Thus, Allah instructed His Messenger &, "And say your prayer neither aloud," that the polytheist heat your recitation, "nor in tout low a tone," hut make it so that the believers can hear you (al-Ta'liq al-aabih 1:366, Sahih Muslim).

In this verse, Allah & commanded His Proplier & 10 tecite loud enough for his Companions behind him to heat, which would only be possible if they temained silent duting the prayer. Hence, this proves that the *muqtadi* needs to temain silent, and that the recitation is the responsibility of the *imam* only.

#### THE HADITHS ON THIS ISSUE

#### 1. Abn Sa'id al-Khudti & relates:

The Messenger & delivered a sermon in which he outlined our Way [Sunna] for us and taught us our prayer. He instructed, "When you prepare for prayer, straighten your rows; then one of you [should become the *imain* to] lead the others in prayer. When he proclaims the *takbir* you also proclaim it; when he recites remain silent; and when he teaches "ghayr al-maghdubi 'alayhim wulu 't-dallin," say "awin," and Allah will answer yout prayet (Sahih Muslim 1174).

## 2. Abu Hiitayta 🐟 natrates that the Messenger of Allah 🕸 said;

The *imani* has been assigned to be followed. When he proclaims the *takbir* you also proclaim it; when he recites remain silent; and when he says "sami" Allahu liman hamidah," say "Rabbana laka 'I-hamd" (Sunan Abi Dawud 1:96, Sunan al-Nasa'i 46).

These two hadiths give a better explanation of verse 1 above. They clearly distinguish between the duty of the *imam* and the follower. Where the Messenger of Allah & commanded the follower to follow the *imam* in proclaiming the *takbir* and other prayers, he did not command him to tecite Surat al-Fatiha with the *imam*, but rather instructed him to temain silent. This proves that if reciting the Fatiha had been necessary for the follower, the Messenger & would never have ordered the contrary. Therefore, it becomes clear that the *imam's* duty is to tecite and the follower's duty is to remain silent and listen to the *imam's* recitation.

It is also understood from hadith 1 that the only time the follower is permitted to say anything is when the *imam* reaches "wala 'I-dallin," when he should say amin. The teason why the follower says amin—which means "O Allah, aeeept"—is to strengthen and endotse the du'a' [invocation] the imam made to Allah in the Fatiha.

Sutat al-Fatiha begins with ptaises to Allah &, then follows up with a du'a' to Him, in which the servant humbly asks:

Guide us to the straight path, the path of those on whom You have bestowed Your grace, not [the path] of those who carned Your anger, not of those who well astray (al-Qur'au 1:5-7).

If it had been necessary for every follower to recite Sutat al-Fatiha, they would have been ordered to say *amin* at the end of their own recitations; which is not the case since the Messenger & ordered it in be said collectively upon completion of the *imam's* tecital of the latiha.

Another important point, which is derived from hallith 2, is in the statement, "The *imam* has been assigned to be followed." Here the Messenger sexplains that the main teason for the *muquadi* to remain silent duting the prayer is so that he can follow his *imam* by listening to his tecitation. It would be very tude for the follower to begin teciting on his own while the *imam* is reciting, as it is virtually impossible to listen attentively to someone else while absorbed in one's own recitation.

 The next hadith fitthets explain why the muqtadi has been exempted from reciting and how his obligation stands absolved by the man;

Jabir & narrates that whoever ptays behind an *imam*, his *imam's* recitation is sufficient for him (al-Jawhar al-nagi 2:159, I'la' al-sunan 4:61, Musannaf Ibn Abi Shayba 1:377).

## 4. The Messenget 🦝 said:

Whoevet prays behind the iman, his iman's recitation is sufficient for him ('Umdat al-qari 3:12, Muwatta Muhammad 96, I'la' al-sunan 4:61).

\(\) The following hadith of 'Abdullah ibn Shaddad explains this in more detail:

The Messenger of Allah & led the 'Ası prayer, A person began reciring behind him, so the person next to him gave him a nudge. After finishing his prayer the person asked, "Why did you nudge me?" The other person replied, "The Messenger of Allah & was in front of you, and I did not approve of you reciting behind him." The Messenger & heard this and said, "Whoever has an imain, the recitation of the imam is sufficient for him" (Muwatta Iniam Muhammad 98, I'la' al-sunan 4:70).

## 6. Sourcone asked the Messenger \$\&;

O Messenger of Allah! Is ritere rectation in every prayer? The Messenger & said yes. Sonicbody from amongst the people asked, "[Does that mean] it is necessary?" The Messenger & replied, "I consider the intens recitation to be sufficient [for the muqtadi]" (Majma' al-zawa'id 2:110).

The above hadiths have made it clear that "the imain's recitation is sufficient for the follower," and that the follower does not have to recite behind the imam. If he were to recite, how would be fulfill the obligation of listening and remaining silent? Ibu Taymiya writes in his Fatava:

The recitation of the imain is sufficient for the migradi. The consensus of the Companions and the Followers proves this. The hadirlis from which this [rule] is established are narrared without any Companion being omitted from the transmission [mistadan], as well as some with the Companion being omitted from the transmission [mistadan]. The legal rulings [fatawa] sunong the Followers were also that the [imains] recitation is sufficient. Above all, it is in total accordance with the Qur'an and Sunna (Majmu'al-fatawa 23:271).

The Messenger \$\mathbb{B}\$ turned towards [us] after completing a salat in which he had recited aloud and asked, "Did one of you recire with me?" A person replied, "Yes, O Messenger of Allah." The Messenger \$\mathbb{B}\$ remarked, "I was wondering why I felt as if the words of the Qur'an were being taken from my tongne."

Ahu Hurayia iclaics that when the people heard him say this, they discontinued reciting behind him during the audible prayers (Sunan al-Tinnidhi 1:71, Muwatta Imam Malik 51, Sunan al-Nasa'i 1:146, Sunan Abi Dawud 1:146, Sunan Ibn Maja 61, Sunan al-Bayhaqi 2:157).

## 8. There is another similar matration from Immat ibit Husayn 🕸:

The Messenger so was performing the Zuhr prayer when a person behind him began to recise "Sabbih isma rabbih al-a'la" [Surar al-A'la]. Upon completing his prayers, the Messenger so asked who it had been. The person identified himself, so the Messenger so remarked, "I thought one of you was taking ir [the verses] from my tongue" (Sahih Mushim 1:172, I'ld al-sunan 4:56).

 There is yet another hadith of this nature in which 'Abdullah ibn Mas'nd & says,

The Companions would recite behind the Messenger \$5. [Once] he said to then, "You have caused me confusion in my recitation of the Qur'an" (Majma' al-zawa'id 2:110, al-Jawhar al-naqi 1:162).

These hadiths are concient evidence that the Messenger & was not too pleased with people reciting behind him. It is also clear that the Companions would not have been reciting very loudly either, as that would constitute gross disrespect on their behalf, which is unthinkable regarding the Companions. Therefore, even though they were reciting in subdued rougs, the Messenger of Allah & admonished them, as it was distribing his recitation.

The same type of disturbance can occur if the *muqtadi* recites Strat al-Fatilia of some other verses with it while praying behind the *imam*, in either case, it is possible that the *imam* may be led to confusion. This proves that the command of the Qur'an, for the *muqtadi* to remain silent, is indeed concerning both silent and audible prayers.

## THE COMPANIONS AND FOLLOWERS ON THIS ISSUE

'Allama 'Ayni wiites in his commentary on Sahih al-Bukhari, 'Umdat al-qan', that it was the opinion of approximately eighty Companions

Reciting Behind the Imam

that the muqtadi should not recite behind the imam. Some of them very strictly implemented and enforced their opinion. A few of their reports and comments are mentioned here so as to gauge the setiousness of this issue.

1. 'Ata' ibn Yasat enquired from Zayd ibn Thabit 48 tegarding recitation behind the *imam*. He said,

There is no recitation behind the imam (Sahih Muslim 1:215).

## 2. Malik teports from Nafi' that

Abdullah ibn 'Umar & was asked whether anything should be recited behind the iman. He replied, "Whenever one of you prays behind the iman, the recitation of the iman is sufficient for him; but when you pray alone, you should recite for yourself." The narrator reports that Abdullah ibn 'Umar & would not recite behind the imam (Muwatta Iman Makk 51, 18a'al-sunan 4:76).

## 3. 'Ubaydullah ihn Muqsim narrates that

he enquired from 'Ahdullah ihn 'Umar, Zayd ibn Thubit, and Jabir ibn 'Abdillah & [concerning this issue]. 'They informed him that there should be no recitation behind the *imam* in any prayet (Athar al-sunan 1:116, I'la' al-sunan 4:81).

4. In the following report, 'Abdullah ibn Mas'ııd & expresses grear disapproval aı reciting behind ilie *imam.* He says,

Would that the mouth of the person reciting behind the tinam he filled with dust (Athar al-sunan 1:116, 12a' al-sunan 4:81).

## 5. Abu Janita teports:

I asked 'Abdullah ibn 'Abbas &, "Should I recire when the inam is in front of me?" He said no (Athar al-sunan 1:116, I'la' al-sunan 4:81).

- 6. Ibn 'Abbas & narrates from the Messenger & that the tecitation of the *imam* is sufficient for the *muqtadi*, whether he recites silently or aloud (*Daraqutni* 1:33t, *Fla' al-sunan* 4:82).
- 7. Musa ihn Uqba tepotts that the Messenger of Allah 🔅, Abu Bakt,

Thuar, and 'Uthman & would forbid [people from] tecining behind the imam ('Umdat al-gari 3:67 U, I'la' al-sunan 4:84).

8. Musa ibn Sa'd ibn Zayd ibn Thabit natrates from his grandfather [Zayd bin Thahit 46];

Whoever recites behind the ilmam, there is no prayet for him (Muwatta huam Muhammad 100, Fla' ab suuan 4:87).

## 9. Ibrahim al-Nakh'ay states:

The first thing the people innovated [in religion] was recitation behind the inam—the Companions did not recite behind the imam (al-Jawhar al-nagi 4:169).

10. This statement is further strengthened by the following one; in which he states:

The first person to recite behind the *imam* was a person accused [of innovation] (Munatta Inam Muhammad 100, Ila' al-sunan 4:89).

## 11. Muhammad ibn Sirin informs 11s:

I do not consider reciting behind the imam to be from the Siiiiiia (Musamiaf Ibn Abi Shayba 1:377, l'la' al-sunan 4:90).

12. 'Abdullah ibn Zayd ibn Aslam reports from his father that

ren Companions of the Messenger & strongly prohibited reciting behind the timan: Abu Bakr al-Siddiq. Umar al-Fariq. 'Uthman ihn 'Affan, 'Ali ibn Abi Talib. 'Abd al-Rahman ibn 'Awf. Sa'd ibn Abi Waqqas. 'Abdullah ibn Mas'nd, Zayd ibn Thabit, 'Abdullah ibn 'Umar. and 'Abdullah ibn 'Abbas & (Qala'id al-azbar 2:42 U).

## 13. 'Ali ibn Abi Talib 46 said:

Whoever recites behind the imam, his prayer is not valid,

and in another narration he said:

- [...] such a petson has deviated from the natural path [fitra] (al-Jawhar al-nagi 2:218, Musannaf Ibn Abi Shayba 1:376).
- 14. Sa'd is says,

I desire that a burning ember be placed in the mouth of one who recites behind the *imam* (Musannaf Abd al-Razzaq 2:138, Musannaf Ibn Abi Shayba 2:376).

15. A similar statement has been related from 'Umar 40:

Would that there be a stone in the month of one who recites behind the *iman (Musannaf Abd al-Razzaq* 2:128).

It becomes very clear from the above topoits that the Hanafis are not isolated in their position, since it was the view of many of the Companions and Followers.

## OTHER REASONS FOR NOT RECITING BEHIND THE IMAM

- (1) The *imam* has been ordered to tecite aloud in the audible prayers so that the followers can listen to him. In order for that to happen, they have to remain silent. If the follower is commanded to recite as well, he will not be able to concentrate on his *imam's* recitation. This in turn would mean that the *imam* has been ordered to recite aloud to a congregation which does not need to pay attention to his recitation. It is quite clear that the *Shari'a* would not encourage such a practice.
- (2) As mentioned eatlier, part of Sutat al-Fatiha constitutes a du'a' [invocation] for guidance to Allah; and all those who recite this suna [chaptet] make the du'a' for themselves. In the case of the follower, his du'a' is made by the imam since the imam's technation is sufficient for the entite congregation.

In a typical everyday simution, a group of people who intend to submit a proposal or make a request to someone of authority, would normally not do so on an individual basis; rather, they would appoint someone to teptesent them. The representative would then act in the interest of the group and would do so without any interference from other group members. Anyone who does not adhere to this arrangement would be frowned upon.

The same is the situation with the *imam* in prayet. He besetches Allah & on behalf of the whole congregation, while they stand by in an orderly manner listening to him. Once he completes his du'a', they endoise it by proclaiming amin, just as the aforementioned group would do so with their signatures. Hence, the hadiths clarify this by staring that the recitation of the *imam* is sufficient for all the members of the congregation.

(3) If a person arrives late for the congregational prayet and finds the *imam* in the bowing posture [ruku'], the correct procedute for him to follow would be to first take his hands and say "Allahn akbar" [takbir] while standing, and then to join the *imam* in ruku'. Although this musalli has missed the standing posture [qiyam], he is still considered to have acquired that whole rak'n with the *imam*, and therefore does not have to make up that rak'n latet on.

Everyone agrees that if the petson did not say the *takbir* while standing, but went directly into the bowing posture instead, his *mk'a* is not valid since he has missed the *takbir* and the standing pusture. However, no scholat has stated that his *tak'a* will be invalid because he was not able to tecire the Fatiha. This not only proves that Surai al-Fatiha is not *fard* on the *muqtadi*, as the opening *takbir* and standing posture are; but it also proves that his *imam's* recitation is sufficient for him.

(4) When the *imam* makes a mistake in his prayet, the whole congregation is obligated to perform the "prostrations of forgetfulness" [snind al-sahw] with him; and when he tecites a "verse of prostration" [ayat al-sajda], the whole congregation is also obliged to perform the "prostration of Qur'an recital" [sajdat al-tilawa] with him, even if the imam tecited it silently. Likewise, a single bartier [sutra] in front of the imam is sufficient for the whole congregation. In light of these commonalities, would it be a stretch of the imagination to take the imam's recitation as being sufficient for the entire congregation?

#### Analyzing the Seemingly Contradictory Hadiths

There are a number of liadiths, authentic as well as weak, which apparently contradier the verses and hadiths that were meritioned earlier in this chapter. These seemingly contradictory hadiths have been used to establish the claim that it is obligatory to recite behind the *imam*. However, in teality, there is no contradiction between these hadiths and those previously mentioned proof texts, as the scholars have reconciled the apparent contradictions between them and have brought their meanings to be in complete hattmony with one another. We will now take a look at some of these hadiths.

1. 'Ubada ibn al-Samii do narrates that the Messenget & said:

There is no prayer for the one who does not recite Surar al-Fatiha (Sabih Muslim),

and in another nattation he says:

There is no prayer for the one who does not recite Surat al-Fatiha and [some] more [verses] (Sahih Muslim).

This liadith has been classified as tigorously authenticated [sahih] and is notinally presented as evidence for the tecitation of Sutai al-Fatiha being fard on the muqtadi. It seems to be in apparent conflict with the Hanafi opinion. However, the scholats have provided many explanations to temove the conflict between it and the previously quoted proof texts of the Hanafis. The following are some explanations which should assist in understanding the true implications of the hadith:

(a) The *imam* and the *muqtadi* are both obligated to tecite Surat al-Fatiha according to this hadith, as it seems to entail a general command that also includes the *muqtadi*. The Hanafis do not reject this, but instead state that the obligation upon the follower to recite the Entiha will be fulfilled through his *imam's* recitation. This is because the Messenget has said that the *imam's* recitation is sufficient for the *muqtadi*.

- (b) This hadith will be interpreted as concerning the *imam* and the petson praying by himself only and will not relate to the follower, since he has been commanded in the Holy Qur'an to ternain silent and listen. Hence, the follower is excluded from the obligation of this hadith.
- (c) There are rigotously authenticated hadiths (as presented above) that totally prohibit the follower from reciting behind the *imam*. Hence, in putview of those hadiths, he is exempt from the obligation of this hadith, and it becomes clear that this hadith is actually directed at the *imam* and the petson praying by himself only.
- (d) The first nattation only mentions Stata al-Fatiha as being necessity; whereas the second natration also includes the word "fasa'idan" which means "and mote." What is difficult to undetstand here is that even though the second nattation mentions both the Stata al-Fatiha and "some mote vetses" as being necessaty, only teciting the Fatiha has been considered to be fard and not reciting anything beyond it. Hence, whatevet explanation is offered for not considering the extra vetses as being equally obligatory upon the followet, will also be out explanation for not making even the Fatiha obligatory upon him. The only difference will be that we would have considered the full hadith by declaring the same ruling for both Stata al-Fatiha and the extra verses—that they are both absolved by the *imam's* tecitation—and according to the other view, only one half of the hadith would have been considered (i.e. by making only the recital of the Fatiha necessary and not the extra verses).

On the other hand, if the explanation is that the *imam's* recitation of the extra verses is sufficient for the follower, as is sometimes suggested by the proponents of the other view, then that is exactly what the Hanafis state about the Fatiha also.

(e) The obligation of Sutai al-Fatiha, as understood from this hadith, is not directed at the *muqtadi* but rather is directed at the *imam* and the person praying alone only. Imam Titmidhi has narrated the

#### FIQH AL-IMAM

following statement of Jabir & with a reliable transmission:

Whoever performed a rak'a in which he did not recite Strat al-Fatilia, it is as though he has not performed it, tinless he was [praying] behind the iman (Sunan al-Tirmidhi 1:71).

This clearly proves that the command in the above hadith is not for the follower. Imam Tirmidhi further mentions the comments of Imam Ahmad concerning the above statement:

This [Jabit &] is a Companion of the Messenger & who has interpreted the statement of the Messenger &, "There is no prayer for the one who ilid not tecite Sutar al-Fatiha," to mean that this is the case only when the petson is praying by himself (Ila al sunan 4:75).

We ask: Who can explain the meaning of a hadith better than a close Companion of the Messenger of Allah &?

#### 2. 'Ubada ibn al-Samit i nattates:

We were performing the Fajt prayer behind the Messenget of Allah .

He began reciting but experienced difficulty in doing so. Upon finishing he said, "Perhaps you were teciting behind your imam?" We replied, "Yes, O Messenger of Allah." So he said, "Do not recite anything besides Surat al-Pajiha, for there is no prayet for the one who does not recite it."

Imam Abu Dawud, Titmidhi, and Nasa'i have transmitted similar reports to this one in their *Sunans*. A natration from *Sunan Abi Dawud* states:

The Messenget of Allah & exclaimed, "I was wondering why the words of the Qur'an were being taken from my rongue. Do not recite any portion of the Qur'an while I am reciting aloud, except Surat al-Fatiha" (Mishkat al-Masabih 1:81 from Sunan Abi Dawud, al-Tirmidhi, al-Nasa'i).

In another narration from Sunan al-Tirmidhi, 'Ubada ibn al-Samir reports:

The Messenger of Allah & performed the Fajr prayer but experienced difficulty in reciting, so upon finishing he remarked, "I noticed you reciting behind your *imam:*"We said, "Yes, by Allah." So he instructed, "Do not

recite anything besides the Umm al-Qur'an {Surat al-Farilia}, for there is no prayer for the one who does not recite it."

The apparent wording of the above narration in its vations forms indicates that a *muqtadi* is obligated to tecite Sutat al-Fatiha. The scholars have mentioned a number of reasons why this hadith cannot be taken for its literal meaning. They have either interpreted it in light of the above mentioned hadiths, or they have completely waived it due to its weakness. Some of these interpretations are presented below.

- (a) First, present in the chain [isnad] of this hadith is a Muhammad ibn Ishaq. Although some have called him a trustworthy natratot, most hadith scholars have criticized him in vety hatsh terms. Sulayman al-Taymi and Hisham have called him a "flagrant liar" [kadhdhab], and Imam Malik has labelled him a "flagrant liat from among the flagrant liars" [daijalun min al-dajajila]. Ibn Zahit, Wahb ibn Khalid, Jatit ibn 'Abd al-Hamid, Daraqutni, and others also have made grave statements about him. Therefore, it will be completely unfair to accept such a transmission as evidence.
- (h) Second, its transmission is full of confusion. Makhul sometimes relates the hadith from Muhammad ibn Rabi', sometimes from Nafi' ibn Mahmud, and sometimes from othets. With regatds to Nafi' ibn Mahmud, hadirh experts, such as Ibn 'Abd al-Batt, Tahawi, and Ibn Qudama, state that he is "unknown" [majhul]. Since there is a multitude of other rigorously authenticated hadiths regatding this issue, which are not defective in their transmission, there remains no need to employ such hadiths (like the one under discussion), especially when it contradicts the other rigorously authenticated ones.
- (c) Third, some hadith experts have classified this hadith as being defective [ma'lul] since its transmission has been said to have only reached 'Ubada ibn al-Samit & [mawquf] and not the Messenget of Allah & [marfu]. Ibn Tayuriya explains in mote detail:

This hadith is defective [mu'allal], according to the hadith expetts [muhaddithin], for a number of reasons. Imam Ahmad and others have

judged it to be weak. A discussion on its weaknesses has already been detailed at another place [in the book], where it was clarified that the actual authenticated [sahih] natiation of the Messenger of Allah & [in this regard] is, "There is no prayer without the Umm al-Qur'an." This hadill has been transmitted by Imam Bukhari and Muslim its their collectious [Sahihaya], and Zuhri has related it from 'Ubada & through Muhammad ibu Rabi'. As for this hadilh, some narrators of Shain [the Levant] have erred in its transmission. The reality of this is that 'Ubada bib nal-Samit & was the Imam of Bayt al-Maqdis [Jetusalem] when he related this hadith. They confused his narration, which was meant to end with him [manquif], as having been related directly from the Messenger & [marfit']." (Sunan al-Tirmidhi 1:71)

Hence, this liadith is inadmissible as evidence as it is not a direct report from the Messenget of Allah 3.

(d) Fourth, if we were for a moment to accept the hadith as tigotously authenticated and unblemished, even then, statements like, "Pethaps you were reciting behind yout *imam*," indicate that the Messenger so had not instructed them to teche anything. He would not have asked such a question otherwise.

## 3. Abii Hutayra 🕸 nattates

that the Messenget said: "Whoever performs a prayer in which he does not recite the Ummal-Qua'an, his prayer is incomplere and deficient." A narrator of the hadirh enquired from Abu Hurayra &, "I am sometimes behind the *imam* [so what am I to do]?" Abu Hurayra & instructed, "Recite it in your mind" [fi nafsik] (Majmu' al-fatawa 23:287).

If we look at this narration carefully, we find that it actually consists of two segments: the fitst is the pottion in which Allah's Messenget himself emphasizes the importance of Surat al-Fatiha (hence, marful); and the second is a statement of Abu Hutayta & (hence, mawquf) and not of Allah's Messenget . It is from the second segment that some attempt to attribute the obligation of teciting Surat al-Fatiha to the muqtadi, by taking it to mean, "recite it yourself," and not "tecite ir in your mind."

Since the first segment of this narration is quite similar to the first hadith analyzed in this section, the explanations mentioned there will also be in effect here. The conclusion is: "The recitation of the *imam* is sufficient for the follower," and hence, the follower will auromatically have his obligation of teciting Sumu al-Fatiha fulfilled by his *imam*.

The second segment of the hadith is explained as follows:

- (a) It is a mawquf narration, which in this case is a statement of Abu Hutayra & not telated directly from the Messenger & Since the second portion (if taken as some interpret it) also contradicts many other tigorously authenticated hadiths that are natiated directly from the Messenger & [marfu'], it cannot be used as evidence.
- (h) As mentioned earlier, the Hanafis have taken the words, "igra'ha fi nafsik," in the nattation to mean: "recite it in your mind and pondet over it, and do not inter it with your tongue." No doubt, if the muqtadi concentrates on his imam's recitation, he would be fulfilling this requirement. The Hanafis have not interpreted these words to mean that the muqtadi is obligated to recite Surai al-Fatiha.
- (c) The words, "iqra'ha fi nafsik," could also be translated as, "Recite it when you are performing prayer individually." The following hadith, which the Messenger & nattated directly from Allah & [hadith qudsi], contains a similar expression and supports this reanslation. Allah & says,

If the servant remembers Me while he is alone [finafsibi], I remember him similarly [finafsi]; and if he remembers Me in a gathering, then I remember him in a gathering more superior to his.

The opposite of being in a gathering with a group of people is being alkine. Hence, the incaning of Abu Hurayra's statement will be, "Recite Smai al-Fuilia when you are performing prayer alone," i.e. when not in congregation.

#### Conclusion

After reaching the end of this discussion, one can quite easily conclude that there is overwhelming evidence in favor of the Hanafi opinion on whether or not one should recite behind the *imam*. The understanding acquired from the verses of the Holy Qur'an and the many hadiths is that the *imaqtadi* has two obligations to fulfill: one is to remain silent, and the other to listen carefully. According to the hadiths, the *imam's* recitation is considered sufficient for the follower. The recitation undertaken by the *imam* is considered by the hadiths to be totally sufficient for the *muqtadi*. Since the Qur'an actually prohibits that any word be urrered while the recitation of the Qur'an is taking place, it will be accepted as such; and the *muqtadi* will be required to maintain perfect silence, in both silent and audible prayers.

There should now remain no doubt as to why the follower should remain silent when praying behind rhe *imam*, even in a silent prayer when he is unable to hear his *imam's* recitation. It has been explained that verse t above contains two commands: one of them being the observance of silence, which relates to the silent prayers, and the other of listening attentively, which relates to the audible prayers.

The Hanafis have taken all of these points into consideration and formed an opinion that encompasses all the various aspects of the hadiths. Hence, it could be concluded that their opinion is probably the closest to the Qur'an and Sunna.

# 4

# The Issue of Amin—Explained

Sayting AMIN (pronounced aameen) after completing the recitation of Surar al-Faitha holds great virtue and is a sunna of the Messenger of Allah . The Messenger of Allah . The Messenger of Allah . The Messenger of Allah .

When the imam says "ghayr al-maghdubi 'alayhim wala 'l-dallin," say amin, because the angels say amin. And whoever's amin coincides with the amin of the angels, all his past sius are forgiven (Sahih al-Bukhari 1:108).

There is no controversy whatsoever regarding the virtue of saying *amin* in the completion of Surai al-Fatiha. All scholars are imanimous that it is *suma* to say *amin* at that time. The difference of opinion, however, is regarding whether it should be intered audibly or silently.

It is established that the Messenger & said amin audibly as well as silently during his lifetime; therefore, it should not be made an issue of great debate. At times, it is taken so seriously that some of those who choose to say it aloud criticize the practice of those who say it silently by labelling them ignorant and even deviant; and some from the latter group criticize the practice of the former group as well.

It must be realized that the difference of opinion is only concerning which method is superior, i.e. is it more virtuous to say *amin* alund or silently? Ibn al-Qayyim, explaining the nature of this issue, writes:

This issue is from among the valid differences of opinion in which no criticism should be directed at those who do it [i.e. say *amin* alond] nor at those who do not [i.e. who say it silently]. This issue is similar to

that of raising of nor raising the hands [raf' al-yadayn] in prayer (Zad al-ma'ad 1:70).

Thus, the following discussion will constitute a combined study of verses of the Holy Qui'an and hadiths of the Messenger & that are relevant to the issue of amin, in order to ascertain the more preferred procedure. As mentioned earlier, it is clearly established that the Messenger & did say amin aloud as well as silently. The Hanafis and many others accept this.

However, the question is: for how long did the Messenger & say amin aloud? Since there seems to be no evidence to establish that amin was said aloud on a permanent basis, it is necessary to take a closer look at the various evidences on this issue that have been utilized by the different schools of figh.

## THE VARIOUS OPINIONS

The Hanash opinion is that amin should be said inaudibly at all times during the prayer. They uphold that it was said aloud by the Messenger is a few times, in order to familiarize the Companions with saying amin after the Fatiha; after which he would say it silently just like all other invocations and supplications of prayer. Others state that amin should be said aloud in all the audible prayers (i.e. Fajr, Maghrib, and Isha) and silently in the silent prayers (i.e. Zuhr and 'Asr).

The following points detail how the *imam* and the follower [mnqtadi] should say amin:

- (a) All scholars agree that the *imam* should say *amin* silently during the silent prayers. As for the audible prayers, Imam Malik and Imam Abir Hanifa are of the opinion that *amin* should be said silently in them, and another group of scholars says it should be said audibly.
- (h) Imam Malik (according to al-Mudawwanat al-kubra) and Imam Abu Hanifa are of the opinion that the follower should always say amin silently in both the audible and silent prayers. This is also one opinion of Imam Shafi'i. Another group is of the opinion that the

followers should say *amin* audibly during the audible prayers and silently during the silent prayers.

As mentioned earlier, the difference of opinion is only concerning which of the two is more virtuous. Technically speaking, saying *amin* aloud or silently is regarded by all the scholars as being a *sunna* act of the prayer and not a *fard*, or integral part of it.

## THE QUR'AN ON THIS ISSUE

According to the most accurate definition, *amin* is a verbal noun meaning "accept [out] prayer." Hence, it is a *du'a'* [invocation]. This is clearly indicated in Sura Yunns, where, after mentioning the *du'a'* of Musa 1888, Allali 1888 says,

"Accepted is your prayer (O Musa and Harun)!" (al-Qur'an 10:89)

Allah & uses the dual rense in this verse and says "da'watukuma," meaning "the prayer of you hoth." Since only Musa & is mentioned to have made the du'a' and not Harun &, the use of this dual tense has been explained as implying that Musa & was making the du'a' while Harun & was endorsing it with amin. Since amin is a du'a', Allah referred to them both as invoking Him and said He had accepted the du'as of both.

In the "Chapter on the *Imam* Proclaiming *Amin* Aloud" [*Bahu jahr al-imum bi '1-ta'nin*], Imam Bukhati quores the words of 'Ata ibn Abi Rabah, "*Amin* is a *du'a*" (*Sahih al-Bukhati* 1:102). Hafiz Ibn Hajar (unther clatifies this in his commentary, where he states:

The one saying *amin* is considered a da'' [or "invocanr"] as mentioned in the words of Allah, "Accepted is your prayer (O Musa and Harun)!" Musa was making the du' and Harun 22 was saying *amin*, as related by Ibn Mardawayh through the narration of Anas & (Fath al-Bari).

Thus, once it is established that *amin* is a form of *du'a'*, we must observe the ctiquette which Allah & has raught us:

"Invoke your Lord with humility and in secret. He likes nor the aggressors" (al-Qur'an 7:55).

Allah & commands that prayers and du'as be made to Him with humility, sincetity, and in silence [khufya]. Many examples are provided in the Qur'an of how the various Envoys [anbiya'] of Allah (upon them be peace) would invoke Him. Allah & says, speaking of the calmness of Zakariyya & when he hesceehed his Lord:

"When he called out his Lord (Allah)—a call in secret" (al-Qar'au 13:3).

The description of the du'as of other Envoys is also mentioned by Allah 36:

"Verily, they used to hasten in performing good deeds; and thry used to call on Us with hope and feat; and they used to humble themselves before Us" (al-Qur'an 21:90).

At another point, the Qut'an provides a glimpse of the Last Day when the Trumpet will be blown. Allah 🐉 says,

"And all voices will be humbled for the Mosi Beneficent, and you shall hear nothing but the low sound of their foorsteps" (al-Qui'an 20:108).

This establishes that since *amin* is a *du'a'*, it should be said silently just like other *du'as*. The various Envoys of Allah preferred to make their invocations silently when they would beseech the All-Fleating [*al-Sami'*] and the Nigh [*al-Qarib*].

In many hadiths, the Messenger  $\gg$  advised the Companions to invoke Allah  $\gg$  silently. He informed them that Allah is the Nigh and All-Hearing, and that there was no need for them to invoke Him too loudly. Therefore, since *amin* is also a du'a', it would be more preferable to titter it silently just as other invocations and prayers.

#### THE HADITHS ON THIS ISSUE

It may have been misconceived from the above analysis that the Hanafis seem to have based their view on mere teasoning and analogy. Therefore, in this section, we will present authentic hadiths to, Godwilling, dispel such misundetstandings and to provide concrete proof of the Hanafi opinion being in total accordance with the Sunna.

1. In a narration of Samura ibn Jundub and 'Imran ibn Husayn 🚲 it is mentioned that

they had a conversation. [during which] Samura & related two occasions when the Messenger & would observe a short silence [saktal [in prayet]—one following the initial takbir and the second when completing wala 4 dullin. Intran ibn Husayn & could not acknowledge this, so they wrote to Ubay ibn Ka'b &. His reply stated that Samura & has remembered [correctly] (Summ Abi Dawnd 1:120).

'Allama Nimawi, commenting on this natration, states:

The first silence was observed in order to recite the *thana* silently, and the second to say the *amin* silently. It is possible that Thiran ibn Husayn initially refuted Samura in regards to the second silence, because it was so brief and he did not think it worthly of mention; and therefore acknowledged the first silence hecause it was longer. It is quite clear that the *amin* was recited during the second silence, because there was no other reason to discontinue the recitation for a brief montent at that instance (*Athar al-sana*n 382).

2. Abu Hurayra 45 natrates that the Messenget of Allah 45 said:

When the imain recites "ghayr al-maghdabi 'alayhim wala 'l-dallin," say amin, because the angels say it and so does the imam (Sunun al-Nasa'i 1:147).

This hadith proves that the *imam* should say *amin* silently. The reason for this is that the Messenget of Allah & ordered the Companions to say *amin* and informed them that the angels and the *imam* also say it. If it had been mote preferable for the *imam* to say it aloud, the Messenger & would have lead no reason to inform the Companions of the *imam's* saying *amin*, because they would have heard it themselves. Since the Messenger & informed them that the *imam* also said *amin*, it means that *amin* was normally said in a subdued tone.

1. Shu'ba teports from 'Alqama ibn Wa'il, who narrates from his futher, Wa'il, that

lie [Wa'il] performed prayer with the Messenger &. When the Messenget

\* reached "ghayr al-maghdubi 'alayhim wala 'I-dallin," he said amin and kepr his voice subdued (Musuad Ahmad, Daragumi, al-Musuadrak U. Nash al-raya 1:494).

This hadith has been narrared from Wa'il ibn Hnjr & by Sufyan al-Thawri and Shu'ba. The two reports differ however in that Shu'ba, whose narration is above, relates that the Messenger of Allah & said amin silently; whereas Sufyan relates from Wa'il that the Messenger & prolonged his voice [madda biha sautuhu] while saying amin.

Sufyan's report has been used as evidence by those who claim that amin was said aloud by the Messenger . They have criticized Shu'ba's report in a number of ways and, in doing so, have attempted to show Sufyan's report as being the superior narration. On the other hand, the Hanafis have taken Sufyan's report to mean that the initial "alif" of amin was prolonged and not that the volume of the Messenger's voice was raised. The Hanafi scholars have answered all the criticism levelled against Shu'ba's report and have firmly established it to be the more acceptable one regarding this issue [see Athar al-sunan, Fath al-Mulhim, Darse Tirmidhi, etc.].

4. Abii Hiirayra 🕸 narrates that the Messenger of Allah 🐉 said:

When the imam says wala 4-dallin, say amin (Sahih al-Bukhari 1:108).

Had ir been more preferable for the follower to say amin aloud, the wording of this hadith could have read, "When the imacu says amin, you say it," as the imam's amin would have been the signal to the follower to say amin. However, the Messenger instructed them to say amin after the imam recited "wala I dallin," since the amin was pronounced silently by the imam.

There are in fact some narrations which contain the words, "When the *imam* says *amin*, you say it;" however, this is interpreted as, "When the rinte comes for the *imam* to say *amin*, you say it." It is not taken lirerally since the normal practice of the Messenger was ro say *amin* silently.

#### THE COMPANIONS AND FOLLOWERS ON THIS ISSUE

- 1. Abu Wa'il narraies rhai 'Ali and 'Abdullah ibn Mas'ud & did not recite bismi'llah, a'udhu bi'llah, or amin aloud [during the prayer] (Maima' al-zawa'id 2:108).
- 2. Abu Wa'il narrates that 'Umar and 'Ali & would not recite bismi'llah or amin aloud (I'la' al-sunan 2:215).
- 3. Imam 'Abd al-Razzaq in his *Musannaf* and Imam Muhammad in his *Kitab al-Athar* have related that the prominent Follower [tabi's] Ibrahim al-Nakh'ay said:

There are five things the *iman* should say silently: *subhanaka 'llahunma* [thana], ta'awwudh, bismi'llah, amiu, and Allahumma rabbaua laka 'lhand (Musaunaf Abd al-Ruzzaa 2:87).

## OTHER REASONS FOR SAYING AMIN SILENTLY

- (1) We know it is necessary [wajib] to recite the Qur'an aloud in the audible prayers. By saying amin aloud, someone could be misled into assuming that it is part of the Qur'an along with the Fatiha; whereas all scholars agree that amin is not part of the Qur'an.
- (2) Some scholars consider *bismi'llah* ro be a verse of Surai al-Farilia yer do nor recite it aloud during the prayer. This proves that invocations, like *amin*—which no scholar considers to be part of the Qur'an—should not be said aloud.

## Analyzing the Seemingly Contradictory Hadiths

1. Wa'il ibn Hujr 🗞 says,

The Messenger # recircd "glayri 'l-maghdubi 'alayhim wala 'l-dalliu" and followed ir wirh amin, prolonging his voice while saying ir [madda biha sawiahu] (Suuan al-Tirmidhi 1:57, Abi Dawud 1:142).

This is Sufyan's report from Wa'il ibn Hujr, which was previously

diseussed. It was stated above that the Hanafis prefet Shu'ba's report over Stifyan's in this issue.

The word "madda" used in this narrarion literally means "he stretched." Hence, the hadith means that the Messenger is stretched the initial alif of the amin and prolonged it, not that he said it aloud. Shu'ba's version of Wa'il ibn Hujr's is report (hadith 3 above), which supports this interpretation, clearly mentions that the Messenger is subdued his voice while saying amin.

## 2. Abu Hnrayra 🐇 says,

When the Messenger \$\mathbb{B}\ recited wala 'L-dallin, he said amin after it, which could be heard in the first row (Sunan Abi Dawnd).

The version of Sunan Ibn Maja contains the additional phrase, "The masjid cehoed with the sound" (Sunan Ibn Maja 1:61).

The answer to this hadith is that it is weak and cannot be accepted as evidence, as one of its narrators, Bishr ibn Rafi', has been strongly criticized by a number of hadith experts. Imam Brikhari states, "He is not consistent in his narrations;" Imam Ahmarl calls him weak; Imam Nasa'i states, "He is nor strong;" and Ibn Hibban states, "He relates spurious narrations." (Mizan al-i'tidal U)

The second point to consider here is that if the sound of the *amin* only reached the first row (as the main portion of the natration mentions), then how did the whole *masjid* echo with it (as is added in *Ibn Maja's* version)? Had *amin* echoed throughout the *masjid*, everyone would have heard it. It is not clear how one version states it was heard from the first row only, while the other states it was so lond that the whole *masjid* echoed with its sound. Thus, this hadith is self-contradictory and, as a result, cannot be accepted as evidence in proving that *amin* was said alond permanently.

#### A GENERAL EXPLANATION AND CONCLUSION

There are other apparently contradicting natrations which state that amin was said aloud during the prayer. However, many of these have

been judged to be extremely weak and inadmissible as evidence. These narrations have not been discussed here but can be found in larger works such as *Athar al-sunan* and *I'la' al-sunan*.

A general answer for all such narrations is that even the Hanafis accept that the Messenger of Allah said amin aloud; however, they say it was only said aloud for a short period of time and that there is no evidence to establish it was said aloud on a permanent basis. The few times the Messenger said amin audibly was to emphasize its importance to his Companions, 'Umar did the same with thana. He recited it aloud for a few days to teach the Companions, after which he continued to recite it silently. This is further confirmed by a report from Wa'il ibn Hujr than, transmitted by Hafiz Abu Bishr al-Dulabi in his Kitab al-asma' wa I-kuna, which states:

I do nor think the Messenger & said it [amin] aloud except to teach us (Athar al sunan 93, Fath al-Mulhim 2:50-52, l'la al-sunan 2:186).

Ibn al-Qayyim, concluding on the nature of this issue, writes in Zad al-ma'ad under the discussion of qunut:

If the *imam* recites ir [qunut] aloud a few times to teach the followers, there is no harm in that. 'Umar the recited thana aloud to teach the followers, and Ibn 'Abbas & recited Surar al-Fatiha during the funeral prayer to reach them it was sunna. Likewise, the issue of the *imam* saying amin aloud is from the same caregory (Zod al-ma'ad 1:70).

## Ibn Jarir al-Tabari states:

Borh types of reports [i.e. those which state the *amin* was said aloud and rhose which state that it was said silently] have been transmitted from the Messenger 🗞 and both are reliable [sahih] (Fath al-Mulhim 2:50).

Hence, both types of reports are authentic, but refer to different occasions. The narrarions that mention that the Messenger said amin silently, refer to the normal practice of the Messenger said amin aloud to reach the Companions.

Had it been the permanent practice of the Messenger # and the

Companions to say *amin* aloud, it would sutely have been narrated from mote than just a few Companions. There are five prayets in a day. If *amin* were said aloud in three of them, it would certainly have been widely reported as such.

Besides the narrations of Wa'll ibn Hujt, Abu Hutayta, and a few others (of which most are extremely weak and cannot stand as evidence anyway), few Companions reported that the *amin* was said aloud during the ptayet. Even Wa'll himself, who was a tesident of Yemen, visited the illuminated city of Madina just a few times, so it is possible that the Messenger & said *amin* aloud in his presence in otdet 10 teach him. Wa'll also mentions something to this effect, as transmitted by Hafiz al-Dulabi:

I do not think the Messenger & said it [amin] aloud except to reach us (Darse Tirmidhi 11523).

This is not the only report from Wa'il in this regard. Another nartation of his, mentioned in *Sunan al-Nasa'i*, states:

When the Messenget & recited "ghayr al-maghdubi 'alayhim wala 'l-dal-lin," he said amin. I heatd him [say it] since I was behind him (Sunan al-Nasa'i 1:147 U).

This indicates that he only heard the Messenger & say it because he was behind him, and not because it was pronounced loudly.

Hence, even the narrations of Wa'il, which are considered as strong evidence for those who say *amin* aloud, are surrounded by confusion. On the other hand, the evidence of the Hanafi school is from great Companions like 'Abdullah ihn Mas'ud, 'Umat, and 'Ali &, who have plainly teported that one must say *amin* silently.

Therefore, since it is established that amin was said silently by the Messenger of Allah & for the most part of his life, and that many of the Companions and others gave priority to this method, it is the preferred way.

# 5

## Raising the Hands for Ruku'

Similar to the issue of *anuin*, the question of whether or not to raise the hands anywhere in the prayet after the opening *takbin*, is not as serious a difference of opinion as has been made out to be. Whether one should taise his hands of not, before and after the bowing [ruku], is merely a difference in ascertaining the better of two ways. Sometimes it is taken so seriously that some proponents of taising the hands at these instances, label those who do not raise them as ignorant, deviant, or guilty of teprehensible innovation. These are setious allegations. Likewise, the latter group has also been known to sometimes criticize the former group in a similar way.

It must be temembered that just as *not* raising the hands at any instance beyond the opening *takbir* [*tahrima*] is detived from the hadiths, so is the practice of taising them when bowing. Hence, both methods are permissible according to most scholats. The only difference is that according to some scholats, not taising the hands is more vittuous than raising them, whereas the others assert the contrary view. In the terminology of the jutists [*fuqaha*], this issue is referred to as the issue of *raf* al-yadayn or "raising of the hands."

The following sections discuss the hadiths and evidences petraining to this issue. They also seek to demonstrate the strength of the Hanafi position in this issue.

## THE VARIOUS OPINIONS

Let us first take a look at the different opiniums regarding the raising of the hands at vatious points in the prayer:

- (1) Raising the hands while saying the opening takbir—all scholars are unanimous that the hands should be raised at this point.
- (2) Raising the hands before bowing [ruku'] and after returning from it—one group (who will be referred to as "group one" in this chapter) states that it is sunna and more vittious to take the hands at these instances. Another group, which includes Imam Malik and Imam Abu Hanifa, is of the opinion that it is sunna and more prefetable nor to raise the hands at these instances.
- (3) Raising the hands at any other point in the prayer, for instance, when moving into prostration [sajda] of returning to the third standing [aiyam]—there is no difference of opinion regarding these instances. All the scholars of the Ahl al-Sunna are manimous that it is no longer sunna to taise the hands at these instances, since the practice was abrogated.

It should be remembered, however, that since this is not a debate about something being obligatory [fard] or unlawful [haram], the scholars state that it is permissible for a person following the opinion of group one not to raise his hands, just as it is permissible for a Hanah or Maliki to raise them. However, it is preferable to follow the preferred practice of one's own school of frah, since that entails greater reward.

## Some History Regarding the Issue

The whole debate concerning the "raising of the hands" tevolves atomid two points. The first is tegatiding the differences found in the hadiths pertaining to this issue, and the second is tegarding the differences found in the practice of the people of the three great cities of Islam duting the first century A.H.—Makka, Madina, and Kufa.

Imam Malik based his opinion on the conduct [ta'amul] of the people of Madina, who did not observe the practice of raising the hands. Hence, he was of the opinion that one should not raise the hands anywhere after the opening takbir. It states in al-Mudanywana:

Imam Malik said, "I do not consider the raising of the hands to be part of any takbir of the prayer, neither of any descending or ascending motion, except at the beginning of prayer." Ibn al-Qasim states, "Raising the hands [ar any other point] was considered a weak practice according to Imam Malik" (al-Mudawwanat al-kubra 1:71).

This is In am Malik sitting in the capital of Islam of the time, the city of Madina, where the Messenger of Allah and the rightly guided Caliphs [Khulafá rashidun] had resided, stating that raf al-yadayn was a week practice.

The opinions of Imam Shafit, on the other hand, were usually based on the fiqh of Makka. Hence, he prefetred the raising of the liands, as it was the practice of most of the inhabitants of Makka in Jecotdance with the teachings of 'Abdullah ibn al-Zubayr &.

Other than these two cities, the must significant center of Islamic learning was Kufa. 'Umat & had sent 'Abdullah ibn Mas'url & to Kufa as its reachet, in addition to approximately fifteen hundred other Companions who had previously taken up tesidence there. 'Ali & had transferred the center of the Islamic caliphate to Kufa as well, where he also took up residence. Hence, the people of Kufa, based on the teachings of Ibn Mas'ud and 'Ali &, rlid not practice the raising uf the hands. The great hadith master 'Allama 'Iraqi reports in his book Sharh al-Taqrib that Muhammad ibn Nast al-Marwazi said regarding the inhabitants of Kufa:

We are not aware of any city, in which all its inhabitants had completely abandoned the practice of raising the hands at all instances of ascending or descending in prayer, hesides the people of Kufa. None frum among them would raise their hands except at the initial takbir (Ithaf al-sadat al-muttagin bi sharh Ihya' ulum al-din 3:54).

Hence, only one city from among the three great centers of Islam gave preference to raising the hands. The practice in the other cities was the contrary. This is very strong evidence in favot of the Hanafi opinion, because many of the people of Kufa must have travelled to Makka but still chose not to adopt the practice of the people of Makka in raising the hands.

In am Tirmidlii, in his Sunan, composed two chaptets concerning this issue: one containing the hadiths of taising the hauds, and the other containing the hadiths of not taising them. At the end of the first chaptet, he tematks concerning the taising of the hands, "This is the opinion of a few [ba'd] Companions." At the end of the second chaptet, on not raising the hands, he rematks, "This is the opinion of more than one Companion." The expression used—ghayru wahidin, "mote than one"—indicates a greater number than the term ba'd "few." These rematks of Imam Tirmidhi indicate that the practice of not raising the hands was a very widespread one.

## The Differences Found in the Narrations

The hadiths tegatding raf al-yadayu are of three types:

- (1) There are those which clearly mention that the Messenger of Allah ## 141sed his hands at the time of ruku!
- (2) There are those which mention that Messenget of Allah & never taised his hands except when unteting the opening takbir.
- (3) There are those which describe the complete prayer of the Messenger of Allah , but do not mention whether or not he raised his hands after the opening takbir.

The badiths of the first category stand as evidence for group one, whose opinion is of taising the hands; whereas the second category of hadiths stand as evidence for those whose opinion is not to taise the hands. Although the hadiths of the first category seem to outnumber those of the second, this does not mean anything, because

the hadiths of the third category could also be used in conjunction with the second as evidence for not raising the hands. The teason for this is that not mentioning something only evidences that it was not a populat practice. It is also very difficult to accept that while demonstrating the prayer of the Messenger as a natrator could have failed to mention something as significant as taising the hands, had it been an important aspect of the prayer. Hence, along with the hadiths of the third category, which are supplementary evidence for those of the second category, the hadiths in support of not raising the hands would actually outnumber those in support of it.

To elaborate futthet, it must be understood that the Messenget's not taising his hands is a "nonexistent" action, and people do not mention nonexistent actions in their conversations. For instance, if an individual teturning home from the masjid, happened to fall down and hutt himself, the teport would state, "He fell down," since his falling down became an existent action (something that actually took place). On the other hand, if this same petson attived home without any accident, nobody would remark, "He did not fall," since this is a nonexistent action. It is just another one of several hundred other such incidents that did not occur.

The case of these hadiths is similar because, since the Messenger of Allah & did not raise his hands at all, the nattators did not report it. If it had been a tegular practice of the Messenger & that he failed to do sometimes, the nattatot would ectiainly have mentioned it.

This can be likened to the example of a petson who has a fixed time for eating. If, for some icason, he failed to ear at that time, someone could remark that he did not eat, since eating at that time should have been an existent action for him but did not occur. Nobody would comment on his not eating at any other time, since eating at other times is notmally a nonexistent action for this person, and nonexistent actions are notmally not mentioned.

Now, the hadiths of the third category do not mention anything about the raising of the hands being a habitual action of Allah's

Messenget . As a result, these hadiths can also be used as evidence, along with those of the second category, for the Hanafi point of view. This would significantly increase the number of hadiths in favor of the Hanafi opinion, causing them to outnumber the hadiths of the first category.

## Another Complication

Another complicating aspect of this issue is that there are other hadiths which inform of the Messenget staising his hands at various other instances within the prayet. More specifically, there are seven instances in the salat where the Messenget stais is reported to have raised his hands at one time or another: (1) at the initial takbir; (2) before and after bowing [ruku']; (3) before descending into prostration [sajda]; (4) between the two prostrations [sujud]; (5) when heginning the second rak'a; (6) when beginning the third rak'a; (7) in fact, some narrations mention that he raised his hands at the change of every new posture in the prayet.

The opinion of group one is that one should raise his hands at the fitst and second instances mentioned above, while the opinion of Imam Abu Hanifa and Imam Malik is that one should take his hands at the fitst instance only. The question that atises here is: "Why has group one adopted the fitst two instances only and not the others?" Whatevet their reason is for adopting only two instances and abandoning the rest will be the teason for Imam Abu Hanifa and Imam Malik adopting the fitst instance only and abandoning the others.

Undoubtedly, all of the Imams have their teasons for not classifying the raising of the hands as being *summa* in all seven instances, in spite of the hadiths which mention that the Messenger strequently raised his hands during *salat*. By the end of this chapter, it should become clear why such a practice was discatcled, and why the raising of the hands was restricted to the opening *takbir* only.

## THE HADITHS ON RAISING THE HANDS

Group one normally presents the natrations of Ibn 'Umat & and Malik ibn al-Huwayrith & as their primary sources of evidence, since both of these Companions have reported the taising of the hands at the time of bowing. However, borh of these Companions have also reported the raising of the hands regarding all seven instances mentioned above. Group one has only accepted those narrations of the two Companions which mention that the Messenget of Allah staised his hands at the opening takbir and when bowing, and have distegarded the other natrations.

The Hanafi scholats did not base their opinion on these natrations but on those reports whose natrators are consistent. Their primary source are the natrations of 'Abdullah ibn Mas'ııd 🐇, who states that the hands were taised at the initial takbir only, and not repeated at any other time in the prayer. All reports from him explain the same practice.

## Weakness of 'Abdullah ibn 'Umar's & Narrations

Now we come to the issue of the narrations of 'Abdullah ihn 'Umai, which are normally quoted by those who claim that the Messenget in frequently taised his hands in salat. It is well known that Imam Malik received many narrations from 'Abdullah ibn 'Umai in fact, his lamous chain of transmission, which runs through Nafi' to 'Abdullah ihn 'Umai is known as "the gulden chain" [silsilat al-dhahab]. However, in this issue, Imam Malik did not base his opinion on these nattations, but tathet adopted the narrations of Ibn Mas'ud in instead, and gave preference to the practice [ta'amul] of the people of Madina, which was to raise the hands at the initial takbir only.

Second, Ihn Abi Shayba and Imam Tahawi have related another liadith of Ibn 'Umat & through Mujahid, in which there is also no mention of raising the hands. If this was a constant practice of the Messenget , then why is it not mentioned in this natration?

Furthermore, although there are many hadiths of Ibn 'Umar & legarding the raising of the hands, there are many inconsistencies found in them. Such confusion in the reports of a marrator will not allow his narrations to be adopted in the presence of other reports that are more precise and consistent. For example, in one of his marrations, which is mentioned in Imam Tahawi's Mushkil al-athar, it states that the hands were taised at every movement of the prayer, whereas in his other narrations, this is not mentioned.

#### THE HADITHS ON NOT RAISING THE HANDS

We will now present the narrarions of various Companious, including rhose of Ibn 'Umar 🛵, which state that the Messenger 🕸 raised his hands for the opening *takbir* only.

The Hadiths of Abdullah ibn Mas'ud &

## Alqama repoirs that

'Abdullah ibn Mas'ud is said: "Should I not demoustrate the prayer of the Messenger of Allah is for you?" He performed the prayer, and did not raise his hands except at the initial takbir (Siman al-Tirnidhi 1:59, Sunan al-Nasa'i 1:161, Sunan Abi Dawud 1:116).

Imam Tirmidhi elassifies this hadirh as sound [basan]. 'Allama ibn Hazm classifies it as rigorously authenticated [sahih] (al-Muhalla 4:88), and 'Allama Ahmad Muhammad Shakir, rejecting the criticism of some scholars, writes in his commentary of Sunan al-Tirmidhi:

This hadith has been authenticated by Ibn Hazin and other hadith masters [huffaz], and whatever has been stared about it containing defects is incorrect.

It is mentioned in the al-Jawhar al-naqi that its narrators are those of Sahih Muslim (I'la' al-sunan 3:45),

## 2. Algama teports that

'Abdullah ibn Mas'ud 🕸 asked: "Should I not juform you of Allah's

Messenger's ## prayer?" He stood up and raised his hands at the outset and did not do so again (Sunan al-Nasa'i 11158, I'la' al-sunan 3148),

## 3. 'Alqama narrares from 'Abdullah ibn Mas'ud 🐠

The Messenger of Allah & would raise his hands at the opening takbir, then would not raise them again (Shath Ma'aut Lathar 224).

#### 4. 'Abdullah ibn Mas'ud 🚓 relates:

I prayed with the Messenger of Allah &, Abu Bakr, and Umar &. They did not raise their hands except at the beginning of prayer (Nash al-raya 1:526, Majma' al-zawa'id 2:101).

Judging from the above hadiths, it can be concluded quite easily that the Messenger & did nor raise his hands regularly during the course of prayer. Ibn Mas'ud, 'Ali &, and other Companions would never have narrated such reports had they observed the Messenger of Allah & and the Caliphs [Kbulafa] regularly raising their hands? It has also been observed that all the narrations of Ibn Mas'ud & are consistent in that they relate the hands being raised only at the lieginning of prayer and not at any other instance.

'the Hadiths of Abdullah ibn 'Umar &

The following narrations of Ibu 'Uniar & speak of the hands being ruised at the opening takbir only,

## 5. Salim reports that his father (Ibn 'Umar 🚓) said:

I observed rhat when the Messenger of Allah & would begin his prayer, he would taise his hands while levelling them: some say at shoulder level. Thereafter, he would not taise them again before the bowing of after it. Some have added that he would not raise them between the two prostrations [suited] either (Sahih Ibn Awana 2:90 U).

In this narration, Ibn 'Umar & acrually confirms rhat the Messenger of Allah & did not raise his hands at the time of ruku'. Imam Humaydi, the shaykh [reacher] of Imam Bukhati, has also reported this very hadith through his own chain, which is one of the most reliable

chains (*Musnad al-Humaydi* 2:277). It is an agreed upon fact that all of the hadiths of *Sahih Ibn 'Awana*, where this liadith is found, are rigorously authenricated [*sahih*].

- 6. Salim reports from his farher that the Messenger of Allah so would raise his hands to shoulder level when beginning the prayer (al-Mudawwanat al-kubra 2:71 U). Imam Malik rejected raf al-yadayn at the time of bowing lue to this haldith,
- 7. 'Abdullah ibu 'Abhas and Ibn 'Umar 🕹 report that the Messenger of Allah 🏚 said:

The hands are ro be raised at seven instances: at the beginning of prayer, when setting sight on the House of Allah, at Safa, Marwa, 'Arafat, Muzdalifa, and when saluring the [black] stone (Nash al-naya 1:521).

In this hadith, there is no mention of the hands being raised at the time of bowing [ruku'].

The Hadiths of Jabir ibn Samura &

8. Jabir ibn Samura 🐇 narrates:

The Messenger of Allali 🕏 approached us and remarked, "Why is it that I see you raising your hands as rhough they are the rails of restive horses? Remain calm in prayer" (Sabib Muslim 1:181, Sunan al-Nasa'i 1:176, Sunan Abi Dawud 1:150),

It this hadith, the Messenger & prohibits the raising of the hands while performing prayer. This could only mean at the time of bowing, prostration, and the like. It cannot be considered prohibited to raise them when proclaiming the opening takbir, since the raising of the hands at that time is not considered to be inside the prayer and, as such, does not interfere with the calmness recommended in the salat.

Some scholars however assert that this hadith is regarding the prohibition of raising the hands while making salam at the end of salat. This is a misconception that has probably risen from another similar hadith regarding salam, which states:

Whenever we prayed with rhe Messenger of Allah &, we would say, "al-salamu 'alaykum wa rahmatullah, al-salamu 'alaykum wa rahmatullah," and we would gesture wirh our hands rowards our sides. The Messenger saked, "What are you gesturing towards with your hands, as though they are the tails of restive horses? It is sufficient for you to leave your hands on your laps and make salam to your brother on your right and left" (Sabih Muslim 1:181).

This misconception may have occurred because of a statement in both matrixinous mentioning raising the hands "as rhough they were the tails of restive horses." This may have lead some scholars to conclude that both narrations are concerning one and the same incident [i.e. the raising of the hands while saying salam]. However, if both narrations are analyzed and the circumstances of each investigated, it is evident, tusha Allah, that both are concerning two different and separare incidents. Some of these differences are highlighted below:

- (a) In the first hallich (Jabir ibn Samura's & narrarion), ir states that the Companions were engrossed in their own prayers when the Messenger & addressed them. The second hadith mentions that they were performing prayer behind Allah's Messenger &, after which he authressed them.
- (b) The first hadith states that the Messenger & prohibited them from "raising their hands during prayer," and in the second hadith he prohibited them from "gesturing to the right and left with their hands when making salam."
- (c) In the first hadith, the Messenger of Allah & also instructed them to exercise calmness in prayer after prohibiting them from raising their hands, whereas in the second one he only instructed them on how to properly perform the salam.
- (ii) In the first hadith, the Messenger & uses the words "in prayer" whereas salam is made at the end of prayer. This means the hadirh is tomeerning observing ealmness throughout the prayer, and nor just in the time of making salam.

(e) If the first hadith were taken for a moment to be tefetting to calmness duting salam, it would then mean that remaining calm in the prayer itself, when bowing for example, would be even more important. If raising of the hands duting salam is prohibited, it would more conclusively be prohibited throughout the prayer.

## The Hadiths of 'Abdullah ibn 'Abbas 🐎

9. 'Abdullah ibn 'Abbas & reports that the Messenger of Allah & said:

The hands should not be taised except at seven instances: at the beginning of prayer, when entering the Masjid al-Haram ("the Sanctified Masjid") and setting sight on the House of Allah, when standing on Safa, Marwa, and when standing [yaqifit] with the pilgrims in 'Arafat, and at Muzdalifa (Nash al-raya 1:290 U, Mu'jam al-Tabarani 1:389 U).

10. 'Abdullalı ibn 'Abbas & also narrates:

The hands should not be raised except at seven instances: when beginning the prayet, when setting sight on the House of Allah, at Safa, Marwa, 'Arafat, Mizzdalifa, and when pelting the jamarat [stone pillars representing the Satan at Mina] (Musannaf Ibn Abi Shayba 1:237).

The Hadiths of Bara' ibn 'Azib 45

11. Ibn Ahi Layla tepotts that he heard Bata' & nattate 10 a group of people, among whom was Ka'h ibn 'Ujta &:

I observed the Messenger of Allah & raise his hands at the initial takbir when beginning the prayer (Daraqutni 1:293).

It is mentioned in the *Musannaf* of Ibn Abi Shayba ihat Ibn Abi Layla was also known not to taise his hands [except at the initial *takbir*] (1:237).

12. Bata' ibn 'Azib 46 nattates that

when the Messenger of Allah & would begin the prayer, he would raise his hands up to his ears, then not do so again.

One version of this natration adds: "only once" (i.e. he would taise them only once), and another adds: "then he would not raise them again until completing the prayer" (Musannaf Ibn Abi Shayba 1:236, Sunan Abi Dawud 1:109 U).

This further clatifies that the Messenger of Allah & only taised his hands at the beginning of the salat. Like these nattations, there are countless others which inform us that the hands were not regularly raised beyond the first takbir. For those seeking further clatification, additional natrations and commentary can be found in the following books: Nash al-raya of 'Allama Zayla'i, 2:389-416, Awjaz al-masalik of Shaykh Zakatiyya Khandelwi 1:202-210, and I'la' al-sunan of Shaykh Zafa1 'Uthmani 3:43-72.

## THE COMPANIONS AND FOLLOWERS ON THIS ISSUE

1. Aswad tepotis:

I performed prayer with 'Umar &, and he raised his hands only when beginning the prayer" (Musannaf Ibn Abi Shayba 1:237).

2. 'Abd al-Malik states:

I observed that Sha'bi, Ibrahim al-Nakh'ay, and Abu Ishaq did not raise their hands except at the beginning of the prayer" (Musannaf Ibn Abi Shayba t:237).

3. 'Asim ibn Kulayb reports from his failter, who was a companion of 'Ali ibn Abi Talib ... that

'Ali & would raise his hands only at the initial takbir when beginning his prayer; theteafter, he would not raise them again at any other place in the prayer (Muwatta Imam Muhammad 94, Musannaf Ibn Abi Shayba 1:236).

4. Ibrahim al-Nakh'ay reports that

Abdullah ihn Mas'ud & would raise his hands at the beginning of the player, then would not laise them again (Musannaf Ibn Abi Shayba 1:236).

## 5. Mujahid reports:

I did not see 'Umar & raise It is hands except at the beginning of prayer (Musannaf Ibn Abi Shayba 1:236).

The narratots of this hadith are those from whom Imam Bukhati has related in his Kitab al-tafsir [see Sahih al-Bukhari 2:725].

## 6. Imam Malik reports that

Na'im ibu 'Abdillah al-Mujmir and Abu Ja'far al-Qari informed him that Abu Hurayia & would lead them in prayer. He would say the takbir every time he moved from one posture to another, and would raise his hands when saying the takbir at the beginning of the prayer (Muwatta Imam Muhammad 90).

## 7. Abu Ishaq teporis thar

the companions of 'Abdullah ibn Mas'nd and 'Ali & would not raise their hands except at the beginning of prayer. Waki' confirms that they [due companions] would not taise them thereafter (Musanuaf Ibn Abi Shayba 1:236).

## 8. Isma'il reports that

Qays would raise his hands when entering into prayer, after which he would not raise them [again] (Musannaf Ibn Abi Shayba 1:236).

The nattarot Qays has the honot of transmitting from all ten of the Companions who were given glad tidings of Paradise by the Messenger of Allah & in one sitting ['ashara mubashshara].

- It is reported from Aswad and 'Alqama that
  they would raise their hands when beginning the prayer, after which they
  would not take them again (Mwannaf Ibn Abi Shayba 1:237).
- 10. Sufyan ibn Muslim al-Juhani reports that Ibn Abi Layla would raise his hands at the beginning [of prayer] when saying the takbir.
- II. It is reported from Khaythama and Ibrahim al-Nakh'ay that they

would only raise their hands at the beginning of prayer (Musannaf Ibn Abi Shayba 1:236).

- 12. It is reported tegatding Sha'bi that he would raise his hands at the initial takbir [only], then would not do so again (Musannaf Ibn Abi Shayba 1:236).
- 13. Abii Baki ibn 'Ayash teporis;

I have never seen a jutist do such a thing, i.e. raising the hands at any point other than at the initial takbir (Sharh Ma'ani 'l-athar 1:228).

Here are the likes of Abu Bakı, 'Umaı, 'Ali, Ibn Mas'ııd, 'Ibn 'Umar, Abu Hirayra, and many other Companions &, followed by Sha'bi, Ibrahim al-Nakh'ay, Abu Ishaq, Qays, Aswad, 'Alqama, and Ibn Abi Layla, all from the Followets—they were reported to have not raised their hands except at the initial takbir. It is quite clear that they would not have omitted the "taising of the hands" at the time of bowing, had it been the regular practice of the Messenger of Allah &.

## OTHER REASONS FOR NOT RAISING THE HANDS

(1) Not raising the hands beyond the opening takbir is most in conformance with the Holy Qur'an. Allali & says,

"Successful indeed are the believers, those who littinble themselves [khashi'un] in their prayers" (al-Qur'an 23:2).

The word khushu' means humility and humbleness. Similarly, in another verse Allah 🐉 says,

"Stand before Allah in a devour frame of mind [qanitin]" (al-Qur'au 2:238).

From these verses, it is understood that both humility and calmness are tequired in *salat*. The Messenger & prohibited the raising of the hands during prayet (as in hadith 8, p. 106) because it interfered with the maintenance of humility and calmness in it. Not raising the hands

so frequently will help achieve the peace, tranquillity, and devotion encouraged by Allah & in the Qur'an.

Furthermore, according to the principles of hadith [usul al-hadith], when some hadiths are in apparent conflict with others—as in this case—those most in conformance with the Qur'an will be regarded as more superior.

(2) Raising the hands at the opening takbir is a sunna by consensus, and raising them before and after bowing is where the difference of opinion lies. Raising the hands beyond these two instances is manimously viewed as nor being sunna. Now let us determine whether the takbir at the time of descending into ruku' and the tasmi [sami'allahu liman hamidah] when returning from it, are similar to the opening takbir or to the takbirs at other instances in the prayer.

They are not similar to the opening takbir because the opening takbir is an integral [rukn] of salat, whereas the takbir and the tasmi for ruku' are sunna. The takbirs at all other instances in the prayer, however, are also sunna and the hands are not raised when saying them. Since the takbir and tasmi when bowing resemble these other takbirs in their being sunna, it should follow that the hands should not be raised at the time of bowing either, as they are not raised for these other takbirs.

(3) Since there are two types of hadiths found—those which state the hands were raised when bowing and those which state on the contrary—it is important to find out which practice abrogated the other. Whenever an abrogation [naskh] occurred tegarding any particular action of prayer, it was always regarding an action that was initially commanded and practised. Nonexistent practices were not abrogated. For instance, in the earlier period of Islam, it was permissible to talk and move around during prayer. Both of these actions were later prohibited and no longer remain valid. This is what abrogation is; when a practice is cancelled after having been existent.

We cannot say that something which was never practised to begin

with, became abrogated by an injunction commanding its performance. That would just be considered a new command. Similarly, it should be understood here that taising the hands at the time of howing, while being initially allowed, was later abrogated, just as the Hanafis have said.

(4) The narrations on this issue are of two types. There are those which outline the method of the Messenger's & prayer and whether he raised his hands or not. Many differences are found in these naturions. Some state that the Messenger & raised his hands at every takbir, whereas others state that he raised them for the initial takbir only and so forth.

The second type of narrations are those in which the Messenger issues direct commands about raising the hands in prayer. Unlike the first category, there is no confusion or inconsistency found in these narrations. They all mention that the Messenger & prohibited the raising of the hands in prayer. For instance, hadith 8 above (p. 106) clearly prohibits the raising of the liands while engrossed in prayer. According to the principles of hadith [usul al-hadith], the narrations which contradict each other will be rejected, and those which are consistent will be accepted. Hence, since the hadiths of the second category are very consistent in their prohibition of raising the hands, they will be preferred over the first category, which are inconsistent.

(5) The narrators of the hadiths who, like 'Abdullah ibu 'Umai &, mention the Messenger arising his hands at the time of bowing, have themselves been reported to have not raised their hands. However, the primary narrator of the liadiths which mention the Messenger has having not taised his liands, is 'Abdullah ibn Mas'ud . He has not been reported to have adopted any method besides what is mentioned in his natuations. This means that Ibn Mas'ud's hadiths hold a stronger position in this issue, since, according to the principles of liadith [usul at-hadith], the narrations of a narrator whose personal practice contradicts his narrations are usually not accepted.

- (6) Those who nattated that the hands were not raised, were higher ranking jutists [fuqaha'] than those who nattated that it was a constant practice. For instance, it is well known that 'Abdullah ibn Mas'ud & was a greater jutist than 'Abdullah ibn 'Umat &; and Ibn Mas'ud's students, 'Alqama and Aswad, were greater jutists than Nafi', who reported from Ibn 'Umar &. Hence, according to the principles of hadith [usul al-hadith], the narrations of Ibn Mas'ud &, 'Alqama, and Aswad on this issue are preferred over the narrations of Ibn 'Umar and his students, due to their status in jurisptudence [fiqh].
- (7) Since Ibn Mas'ud & was oldet than Ibn 'Umai &, he had more opportunity to stand in the first row closer to the Messenger B, giving him a closet view of the Messenger's prayer. Ibn 'Umai &, due to his young age, would not stand in the front tows. Hence, Ibn Mas'ud's & narrations will be tegatded as stronget than Ibn 'Umat's in this issue.

Besides this, Ibn Mas'iid & enjoyed a very close relationship with the Messenget . 'Allama Dhahahi, rlescribing the status of Ibn Mas'iid &, wtires:

'Abdullah ibn Mas'ud &, the learned leader [al-imam al-mbbani], Abn 'Abd al-Rahman 'Abdullah ibn Ummi 'Abd al-Hudhali; Companion and personal servant of the Messenger B; among the first to embrace Islani; among the vererans of the hartle of Badt; among the expert jurists and teachers of the Qnr'an; among those who strove to convey [the words of the Messenger B] very accurately; extremely scripplons in [his] narrations; and one who would admonish his stufents upon their negligence in recording the exact words [of the Messenger B].... [Due to extreme caution] he would narrate very little [himself].... His students would not give preference to any Companion over him.... Strely he was from among the leading Companions, the hearers of sacted knowledge, and the exemplars [a'imma] of guidance (Tadhkirat al-huffaz).

Imam Tahawi relates a very interesting incident:

Mughira ibn Muqsim reports, "I mentioned to Ibrahim al-Nakh'ay the hadith of Wa'il ibn Hnjr & tegarding the Messenget of Allah # raising

his hands before and after bowing." Ibiahim said, "If Wa'il lias seen the Messenger & taising his hands once, then Ibn Mas'ud & has seen him fifty times not raising them" (Sharh Ma'ani 'Lathar).

#### 'Utwa ibn Muita siated:

When I entered the masjid [mosque] of Hadhramani, I heard 'Alqama ibn Wa'il nairaie from his father that the Messenger & would raise his hands before and after the bowing posture. I mentioned this to Ibrahim al-Nakh'ay, who responded angrily, "Is Wa'il ibn Hujr the only one to have seen the Messenger &? Did not Ibn Mas'nd & and his companions also see him?" (Muwatta Imam Muhammad 92).

(8) One other reason for nor raising the hands at the time of bowing is that we find all of the vatious invocations of prayer accompanied by a specific body motion. For instance, there is *takbir* before bowing and *tasmi* when returning from it, and likewise, when descending into the prostration there is a *takbir*. Since there was no accompanying body motion for the beginning and ending of prayer, raising the hands was allocated for the opening *takbir*, and the turning of the head for *taslim* [salams]. Now, if the hands are also to be taised at the time of bowing, then the *takbir* and *tasmi* at that time will be accompanied by two actions (i.e. bowing down and raising the hands) and in turn contradict the standard of having only one motion for every invocation.

#### Conclusion

The hadiths, which mention that the hands were taised at the time of bowing, do not constitute sufficient evidence to establish that the raising of the hands temained a permanent practice of the Messenger. Therefore, taising the hands before and after bowing cannot be rulled a sanna mustamirra, or "a permanent of continuous practice of the Messenger.", due to the many authentic natrations which state that the hands were never raised after the opening takbir. The practice of the rightly guided Caliphs [Khulafa' rashidum] and many

of the prominent Companions was also to not raise them, and hadith 8 (p. 106) actually prohibits taising them. All of these points indicate that raising the hands when bowing is a *sunna matruka*, or "an earlier practice of Allah's Messenget & which he later abandoned;" hence, it would be *sunna* and more prefetable not to taise the hands before and after bowing.

To expound further, the Hanafis do acknowledge that the Messenger praised his hands at the various instances in the salat that are outlined in the hadiths; however, they tecognize this as a temporary practice. It was only at the time of the opening takbir that he raised them tegulatly. Not a single nattation is found from those presented by group one which establishes that the hands were taised by the Messenger on a permanent basis before and after bowing.

One narration of Ibn 'Umat &, which is sometimes mentioned, ends with the words, "Thus, this temained the ptactice of Allah's Messenger in prayet until he met with Allah ." This natration however is either extremely weak of fabricated due to it containing Isma ibn Muhammad in its chain of natratots. This narrator has been described as follows: (a) Yahya ibn Ma'in calls him a 'flagrant liat [kadhdhab] who fabricates hadiths;" (b) 'Uqayli states, "He narrates nonsense from teliable natratots" (Mizan al-i'tidal 3:68); (c) Ibn 'Adi states, "None of his natrations are free from defect" (Mizan al-i'tidal 2:582).

It also contains another narrator, 'Abd al-Rahman ibn Quraysh, who has also been criticized and called a fabricator (*Mizan al-i'ridal* 2:582).

Hence, all the hadiths which have been brought forth as evidence by group one, only mention that the Messenger a taised his hands at the time of bowing, just as some hadiths also explain that he raised them at various other instances as well. None of these hadiths, however, state that these additional taises were a constant and lifelong practice of the Messenger .



## Sitting in Prayer; Tawarruk or Iftirash?

ONE OTHER ISSUE that has become quite popular today is that of determining the exact way one should sit in the qa'da ot "sitting postute" of prayer. The abundant treasures of hadiths outline two different methods the Messenger of Allah & used for his sitting postute. Some hadiths indicate that the Messenger & sat in the tawarruk position, and other hadiths indicate that he sat in the iftirash position. Hence, we could gauge from this that the Messenger of Allah & at one time or another during his blessed life sat in both of these positions.

The tawarruk position is when a person sits with the left postetiot on the ground; his right foot placed vertically with toes pointing towards the qibla; and the left foot on its side emerging from under the tight foot.

Slightly different is the *iffirnsh* position, which is to place the left foot on its side and to sit on it; and to keep the right foot vertical, while resting on the bottom of the toes, turning them toward the *qibla*.

## THE VARIOUS OPINIONS

According to the Hanafis, the mote superior and preferred method is that a person use the *iftirash* position in all sittings of the prayer. However, though it is not the preferred method, it would be

permissible, in light of tigotously authenticated [sabih] hadiths, to sit in the tawarruk position as well.

Another group of scholats states that it is more prefemble for a petson to use the *tawarruk* position in all the strings of the prayer. A third group states it is more preferable to use the *tiftirash* position in the first sitting and *tawarruk* in the "final" one. This means that while petforming a two *rak'a salat* with one sitting at the end, a person will use the *tawarruk* position in that sitting, since it is the "final" one. The view of the fourth group is slightly different from this, in that a person will use the *tiftirash* position in the "first" sitting of every prayer and *tawarruk* in the second. This means that a person performing a two *rak'n* prayer with only one sitting, will sit in the *iftirash* position for that sitting, since it is the "first" one; and if the *salat* is a three or four *rak'a* one, then be will sit in the *iftirash* position for the first sitting and *tawarruk* in the second sitting.

The difference of appinion on this issue, however, is not a very serious one, as it is about determining which of the two valid and petruissible actions is more preferable. The following section will outline the reasons why the Hanafi school has given preference to the *iftirash* position, and it will also seek to elatify precisely when and why the Messenger & used *tawarruk*.

## The Hadiths on IFTIRASH

The Hanafis state that the Messenger 🕏, for the greater part of his life, sat in the *iftirash* position for all sittings of his prayer, and Imam Tirmidhi has stated it to be the practice of the majority of scholars. As for the few times the Messenger 🕏 did no tawarruk—as some narrations state—it was either due to his weakness and not being able to sit in *iftirash* in the latter part of his life, or it was thereby to inform the Companions of its permissibility [bayanan hi 1-jawaz]. The following liadiths mention the Messenger's 🕏 use of *iftirash* while sitting in the salat.

#### 1. 'A'isha & said,

The Messenger of Allali # would spread his left foot and keep the right one standing (Sahih Muslim 1:195).

#### 2. 'Abdullah ibn 'Umar & states in his nattation:

It is a suuna of prayer that you keep yout tight foot standing and fold the left one (Sahih al-Bukhari 1:114).

The following hadiths will further elatify the posture illustrated in the above two narrations.

## 3. Ihn 'Umat & natrates that

aniong the *sumuats* of prayer is that you keep the right foot standing with the toes pointed towards the *qibla*, and [that you] sir on the left foot (Sunan al-Nasa'i 1:173).

## 4. Wa'il ihn Hujr 🕸 said,

I came to Madina to observe the Messenger of Allah's & prayer. When he sat for *tashahhud*, he spread his left foot and kept the tight one standing (Sunan al-Tirmidhi 1:65).

Imam Tirmidlii reports this to be a rigorously authenticated [sahib] hadith, and then states that this was the practice of the majority of the learned scholats and is the view of Sufyan al-Thawri, Ibn al-Mubarik, and the people of Kufa.

All of these harliths speak of the *iftirash* position being generally used by the Messenger &, and do not imply that he sat in any other position. This means it was a common practice for him to sit in the *iftirash* position. One objection taised here by the second group (mentioned above) is that these harliths only refer to the sitting justure of the first sitting and not the second. Hence, according to them, a person should only sit in *iftirash* in the first sitting and use tanuarruk in the second sitting. This objection however is not a valid only, because of Wa'il ibn Hujr's & above statement:

I came to Madina [especially] to observe the Messenger's prayer.

This means that his main purpose of visiting the Messenger of Allah was no observe how he prayed. So, for Wa'il ibn Hujr & 10 specifically mention iffirash as the only sitting posture used by the Messenger &, and not mention any other sitting method, informs us that this Companion only observed the Messenger & using iffirash in all the sittings of the prayer.

## 5. Abri Hiimayd al-Sa'idi said,

[...] then, when he [the Messenger (\*)] sat for tashalthind, he spread his left foot and raised the right one on its tides, and recited the tashalthind (Sharh Ma'ani 'Lathar 1:260).

Abit Hitmayil has related this hadith in a totally general context as well, and does not mention whether or not this posture is restricted to the first shiring only.

## 6. One narration of Abu Wa'il states:

When he [the Messenger &] sar for tashahhud, he spread his left foot and sar on it, then hegan to supplicate raising his index finger (Sharh Ma'ani Vathur 12259).

This hadith describes the Messenger & to be sitting in *ifitrash* while making the supplication after *tashahhud*. Therefore, since it is quite obvious that the supplication [du'a'] is usually made in the final sitting of the prayer, it has also been concluded from this badith that the Messenger & used *iffirash* in the final sitting.

## 7. Ibrahim namaies that

when the Messenger & would sit during his prayer, he would spread his left foot, until the above surface of the foot had become dark [through sitting constantly in this position] (Sunan Abi Dawnd).

#### 8. Samura 🚓 said,

The Messenger & forbide sining on the ground with the knees drawn  $\operatorname{inp}[iq'u']$  and tawarruk (Sunan al-Bayhaqi, al-Mustadrak).

From all of the abuve hadiths, we can infer that the Messenger of Allah & mostly sat in the *iftirash* position, which clearly indicates that it is *sunna* and therefore the preferred posture for sitting.

Some scholars have stated one other reason for the preference of iffirath over tawarruk. They say iffirath is slightly more difficult than tawarruk, and the more difficult a form of worship is the more reward it entails. 'A'isha & relates that the Messenger of Allah & said,

The reward is in proportion to the hardship [you undertake] (Sahih al-Bukhari, Muslim).

it was mentioned at the beginning of this chapter that according to some narrations, the Messenger also sat in tawarrak. The following section deals with the hadiths on tawarrak and provides insight into the reasons why the Messenger sometimes sat in this position, even though his usual practice was of iftirash. The Hanafi scholars have offered many explanations as to why he sometimes sat in tawarrak.

#### THE HADITHS ON TAWARRUK

## 1. It is narrated from Yaliya ibn Sa'id that

Qusini the Muhammad demonstrated for them the method of sitting [in prayer]. He raised the right foot and spread the left one, then sat with his left posterior [on the ground] and did not sit on his foot. He then said, "Abdullah, son of 'Ahdullah ibn 'Umar &, demonstrated it this way for me and informed me that his father, Ibn 'Umar &, would {also} sit in this fashion" (Sharh Ma'ani 'Lathar 257).

This hadith is used as evidence by those who claim that the Messenger & generally sat in the tawarruk position, and by it they also attempt to prove the superiority of this position. However, we will discover that their claim is weak for a number of reasons:

(4) Ibn 'Umar & sai in tawarrik (as in the above hadith) only because he was experiencing some weakness iii his legs and was mable to sit

in iftirash. It is tepotted that he would sumetimes sit in the tarabbu, or cross-legged, posture as well, but would forbid others from doing so. The fullowing narration of 'Abdullah ibn 'Umat & explains this in more detail:

Alidullah, son of 'Abdullah ibn 'Umar &, would observe his father siming cross-legged in prayer. He states, "I also [once] sat in that position while I was still young, but ory father forbade me saying, 'It is a sunna of prayer that you raise your right foot and spread the left one, 'I remarked to him, 'You sit in that position [i.e. cross-legged],' so he replied, 'My legs do not support me' (Sharh Ma'aui 'I-athar 257-258, Sahib ul-Bukharf).

This hadith clearly establishes that according to 1bn 'Unrat \*, the summa and preferred way of sitting is in the iftirash position. It was only due to weakness in his legs that Ilm 'Umat \*, could not sit that way and eventually resorted to sitting in tawarruk and, at times, in tarabbu' [cross-legged]. We can conclude from this that both the tarabbu' and tawarruk positions are secondary and alternative positions that are used only when there is difficulty with sitting in iftirash.

- (b) One other reason why this hadith is rurable to stand as evidence against the nattations presented by the Harrafis, is because it is a mere description of somebody's action [hadith fili]. The Harrafis, on the other hand, have narrations containing verbal commands [ahadith qawliyya] for iftirash [see hadith 2 and 3 above]; and a verbal command, according to one of the principles of hadith [usul al-hadith], takes precedence over a marration which describes only an action,
- 2. Ahu Huru ayd al-Sa'idi 🐇 said,

When the Messenger & reached the final stiring [rak'a], in which the prayer was to be completed, he spread his left foot and sat [leaning] on one side, in tawarruk (Sunau al-Tirnidhi 1:67).

This is another hadith used by those white claim that *tawarruk* should be used in the final sitting. The Hanafis have explained the implications of this hadith as follows:

- (a) This was the pusture adopted by the Messenget in his final days when it became ton difficult for him to sit in *iftirash*. The Messenget himself mentioned in some narrations that he "had become heavy" due to advanced age.
- (b) Abu Humayd al-Sa'idi, the narrator of the hadith, has also natrated on another occasion that the Messenger of Allah stat in iftirash only [see hadith 5 above]. Hence, both of his narrations could be reconciled by staring that his first narration desetibes the Messenger's strengthat positive, while this one highlights the Messenger's strengthat positive, while this one highlights the Messenger's strengthat positive while this one highlights the Messenger's strengthat positive.
- (e) Another teason why the Messenget B occasionally sat in the tawarruk posture could have been to display the permissibility of it  $\{bayanau\ li\ left\}$ , i.e. that it was not unlawful to sit that way. This means that the Messenget B used the tawarruk positie on a few occasions to reach the Companions that it was a permissible and alternate way of sitting if the need alose.

From the above points, we gathet that the tawarruk posture was used by the Messenger of Allah & mostly in his final years, due to weakness in his legs which prevented him from sitting in the iftirash position. If any hadith describes the Messenger & as having used tawarruk prior to that, then it was simply to indicate the permissibility of it and not in indicate its preference over iftirash nr of it being his permanent practice.

### CONCLUSION

Both types of hadiths are to be found in the hords of hadith, i.e. those of *iftirash* and those of *tawarruk*. The Hanafis after studying them catefully have concluded that the Messenger & sat in both of these positions at one time or another. They are both permissible and a person has the choice of sitting in either of the two positions during his prayet. However, since the Messenger & used the *iftirash* position

#### FIQH AL-IMAM

for most of his life, and it was his continuous practice (as the hadiths of Ibu 'Umat & confirm), it would be more virtuous and rewarding to do the same and sit in the *iftirash* position. In the event of inability, the recourse would be to sit in *tawarruk*.

The marrations that mention tawarruk do not describe it as being a permanent practice of the Messenget & but tathet only mention it as being a practice of his which he did to display its permissibility [bayanan li 'l-jawaz]; of that he resorted to it in the lattet part of his life due to his weakness and inability to sit in iftirash. In this way, the Hanafis have managed to reconcile between the various narrations and provided suitable interpretations for them all.



# The Sunna Prayer of Fajr

The Messenger of Allah & laid great emphasis on the sunna prayet of Fajr, saying, "It is more superior than the world and everything within it" (Sabih Muslim 1:251). Likewise, there are a number of marrations from which the importance of this sunna prayet can be understood. This means that a person should custite that it is performed prior to the fard prayet, since no sunna prayet is permissible until after simise, once the fard prayet of Fajt is performed.

So what is one to do if he arrives late to the *masjid* for Fajt, and finds the congregational prayer about 10 begin or already in progress? On the one hand, he remembers the emphasis regarding the *sunna* prayer of Fajt, yet on the other, he knows the hadith of the Messenger & stating that once the call 10 commence [*iqama*] has been made, only the *fard* prayer should be performed. The Messenger of Allah & said:

Once the call to commence [iqama] is made for the prayer, there is no strayer except the fard prayer [maktuba] (Sahih Muslim 1:247).

The worshippet [musalli] is musure of what 10 do in this situation. Should lie hurry and petform the sunna prayer, then catch up with the imam for the fard prayer, or should lie abandon the sunna prayer altogether and join in the congregation? There is a difference of opinion among the scholars on this issue.

#### THE VARIOUS OPINIONS

One opinion is that it is necessary for this person to immediately join the congregation for the *fard* prayer, and that it is no longer permissible for him to perform the *sumna* prayer during the congregational *furd* prayer, just as is the tuling for other prayers.

hmain Abii Hanifa and Imam Malik are of the opinion that the person should attempt to perform his simna prayer, as long as he thinks he can complete it quickly and join in the fard prayer before it ends, i.e. even if he catches only the last sitting. This means that he must be confident of not missing the congregation completely, inherwise he should leave performing the simna and join the congregation; because, technically speaking, the congregational fard prayer is more important.

One point to remember, however, is that once the congregational fixed prayer begins, the *snuna* prayer should not be performed where the main congregation is in progress. It should be performed omside the main prayer-hall (*masjid*) area.

Another view of some Hanafi scholars is that a person should only attempt to perform the *sonna* prayer if he feels confident of acquiring at least one *rak'a* behind the *imam*. This means that he must be octtain of catching up with the *imam* before he stands up from the bowing (*rukn'*) of the second *rak'a* of the *fard*.

This ilifference of opinion is only concerning the two rakints snina of Fajr, and there is no controversy regarding the sunna in other prayers. All the scholars are manimous that once the congregation for those prayers commences, no other sunna prayer is permissible, because although the sunna prayers in them are important, they are not as emphasized as the sunna of Fajr. Also, if a person happens to miss the sunna prayer of Zuhr for instance, he can make it up after the furd, since it is nut a prohibited time for it.

# IMPORTANCE OF THE SUNNA PRAYER OF FAJR

#### 1. 'A'isha 5 said.

The Messenger of Allah & was not as regular in any supererogarory [naft] prayer as he was in the two rak'ats before Fair (Sahih Muslim 1:251).

#### 2. 'A'isha 🚲 said,

I did not observe the Messenger of Allah & hasten towards any supercrogatory [naff] prayer as fast as he would to perform the two rak'ats before Fajr (Sabib Muslim 1:251).

3. 'A'isha 🎄 reports that the Messenger of Allah 🕸 said,

The two [snma] rak'ars of Fajr are more superior than the world and everything within it (Sahih Muslim 1:251).

4. 'A'isha & topoits that the Messenger of Allah & said regarding the two [smma] rak'ats at the break of dawn:

They are more heloved to me than the entire world (Sahih Muslim 1:251).

5. Abu Hiirayra 🐇 iiairaies that the Messeliget of Allah 🐉 said,

Do not alrandon the simna rak'ins of Fajr, even if horses trample over you (Siman Abi Dawid 1:186, Athar al-siman 1:224).

All the above halliths explain the significance of and emphasis placed on the *sunna* prayer of Fajr. Since the *sunna* rak'ats of other prayers are not as greatly emphasized as the *sunna* of Fajt, they are treated differently.

#### THE COMPANIONS AND FOLLOWERS ON THIS ISSUE

There are also many other rigorously anthemicated hadiths which confirm that the Companiums of the Messenger & would attempt to complete their *sunna* prayer prior to joining the congregational *fined* prayet of Fajr if it had already continenced.

# L. Julam Tahawi reports from Nafi':

I wakened Ibn 'Umar & for the Fajr prayer, while the prayer had already commenced. He arose and performed the two rak'ats [sinna first] (Sharh Ma'ani 'l-athar 1:375).

# 2. Abu Isliaq says,

'Abdullah ilin Abi Musa related to me from his father regarding the time Sa'id ibn al-'As called them. He had called Abn Musa, Hudhayfa, and 'Abdullah ibn Mas'ud & before the Fajr prayer. When they departed from him, the congregation had already begin, so 'Alidullah ibn Mas'nd & positioned himself behind a pillar in the masjid and performed two nak'ass summa first, then joined the congregation (Sharh Ma'uni 'Lathar 1:374).

# 3. Abu 'Uthman al-Ausari reports:

'Abdullah ibu 'Abbas & arrived while ric (mam was leading the Fajr prayer. Since Ibn 'Alihas & had not yet performed the two tak'ats [sinma], he performed them behind the (mam [i.e. separately], then joined in the congregation (Sharh Ma'ani 'Lathar 1:375).

# 4. Imam Taliawi has iransmitted a report about Abit 'l-Darda' 48:

He would enter the *masjid* while everybody would be in rows performing the Fajr prayer. He would first perform his two *mkits* in a corner of the *masjid*, then join everyone in the [fard] prayer (Sharh Ma'ani 'l-athan 1:375).

# 5. Abu 'Uthman al-Nahdi says,

We would arrive at [times to the masjid where] 'Umar ibn al-Khatlab & [was the intam], not having performed the two rak'ats [sunna] of l'ajr. 'Umar iw would have already started the prayer, so we would first perform our two rak'ats at the rear of the masjid, then join in the congregation (Sharh Ma'ani 'I-athar 1:376).

#### 6. 'Abdullalı ibn Abi Musa & marrates:

'Abdullah ibn Mas'nd & arrived while the tinam was leading the Fajr prayer. He jiet formed the two mk'ats [sunna] behind a pillar, as he had not yet performed them (Musannaf 'Abd al-Razzaq 1:444).

#### 7. Hatitha ibu Mudrib narrates:

'Abdullah ilin Mas'ud and Abu Musa & left Sa'id ibu al-'As [after visiting liint]. The congregation [for Fajr] had just heggin, so 'Abdullah ibu Mas'ud & performed two nak'ats [suana], then joined in the prayer with everyone else. As for Aliu Musa, he joined in the row [immediately] (Musannaf Ibu Abi Shayba 2:251).

# 8. Abu 'l-Darda' & would say regarding the sunna of Fajr,

Yes, by Allah! If I ever enter [the masjid] and find everyone in prayer, I proceed to a pillar of the masjid and perform two rak'ats quickly; then I join the congregation and perform my Fajr with them (Musannaf Abd al-Razzag 1:443).

# 9. Abn 'l-Darda' &, according to another report, states:

I [sometimes] approach the people while they are standing in rows performing Fajr, I perform two rak'ats [sanna] then I join them (Musannaf Ibn Abi Shayba 2:251).

## 10. It is reported regarding Ibn 'Umar 4::

He would sometimes join in the congregation [immediately] and at other times he would first perform his two rak'ats at one side of the masjid (Musannaf Ibn Abi Shayba 2:251).

# 11. Shu'bi narrares regarding Masruq:

He currend the masjid to find the people engaged in the Fajr prayer. Since he had not yet performed the two rak'ats [aunia], he performed them at one side, then joined the congregation in prayer (Musannaf Ibn Abi Shayha 2:251, Musannaf 'Abd al-Razzaq 2:444).

#### 12. It is reported that Hasan al-Basri liad instructed:

When you enter the masjid and find the imam in prayer and you have not yet performed the two tak'ats of Fajr, perform them [first]; then join the twam [in the fard prayer] (Musannaf 'Abd al-Razzaq 2:445, Sharh Ma'ani 'l-athar 1:376).

These are just some of the many hadirhs which highlight the practice of the Companions and Followers, A great jurist [faqih] like 'Abdullah

ibn Mas'nd &, as well as many other prominent Companions, such as Abu 'l-Datda' and Ihn 'Umar &, would first perform the two-rak'ats sunna of Fajr and then proceed to join the main congregation. Hasan al-Basri, a prominent Followet [tabi'i] who requires the introduction, orders in clear words that the sunna prayet be performed before joining the congregation.

# OTHER REASONS FOR THE HANAFI OPINION

- (1) 'The emphasis tegatiding the *sumna* of Fajt is fat gleater than that of any other *sumna* prayer. It has been ordered that the *sumna* of Fajt be performed even if there is a danger of horses trampling over the person. Due to this emphasis, there should remain no doubt as to why the Hanafis excluded the *sumna* prayer of Fajt from the command of the hadith that informs us of only *fard* prayers being permissible once the congregation legins.
- (2) It is snature to make a lengthy recitation of the Que'an duting the fard of Fajt. Hence, it is possible that one could quickly perform his two mak'ats sauma first and then juin in with the iman althing the first mak'a, the second mak'a, it just before the iman makes the salam. This is normally difficult in other prayers where a telatively shorter recitation is made and the number of mak'ats teconomiended before them is four,
- (3) In the above hadith, the cummand regarding the impermissibility of any non-fard prayet at the time of congregation cannot be taken as a general command encompassing all ptayers. If it was an absolutely general command, then it would also be prohibited for someone to perform the sunna prayer in his house once he was aware that the congregation had commenced in the musjid. However, many scholars have permitted that the sunna prayer be performed at hume, even though the congregation may have already begin in the musjid. Consequently, this leaves no toom to criticize the Hanafi school for excluding the sunna of Fajr from the prohibition. Many other scholars

have also not taken the command to be an absolutely general one.

(4) The word "maktuba" has been used in the hadith to describe the fard prayer. The general meaning of this word includes the missed [qada'] prayers also, which indicates that it would be permissible to perform the missed prayers even after the congregation has begun. However, some scholars do not allow this. From this, it is understood that the hadith (see beginning of chapter) is not taken literally, just as its command is not taken in a general sense.

After mentioning these points, it could be concluded that the Hanafi school has teconciled both types of hadiths by saying that the person should only perform the *sunna* prayet first if he feels he can acquire the congregational-*fard* prayet before it ends. Otherwise, he should enter immediately into the congregation with the *innau*. In this way, the person benefits by attaining the reward of the *sunna* prayer of Fajr and also the teward of performing the *fard salat* in congregation.

#### ONE MORE POINT TO REMEMBER

At times, some narrations are quoted which explicitly exempt the Fajr somna from the command of the hadith (which mentions the impermissibility of prayet once the congregational fard prayet has enumenced). However, those natrations are usually weak, and have neither been used as a basis for the Hanafi position not as evidence to prove the Hanafi opinion against other opinions.

Likewise, there are some narrations which specifically indicate that the sinnia rak'ats of Fajr are included in the prohibition of the hadish. The nattations mention details of a Companion confirming with the Messenger . "Are the sunna tak'ats of Fajr also invalid if they are petformed after the congregation has begun?" The Messenger . of Allah answers him in the affirmative saying, "Yes! They are also invalid." These narrations, being even weaker than the others, will not stand as evidence to strengthen the other group's opinion.



# How Many Rak'ats in Witr?

WITH HAS BEEN noted to be one of the most complex issues of prayer. There are approximately seventeen aspects concerning the witr prayer around which there lie differences of opinion. However, in this chapter we will focus mainly on the following three issues: (1) How many rak'ats is the witr prayer? (2) How many salams in the witr prayer? (3) Is performing one rak'a sufficient for witr?

There are numerous hadiths which report the number of *rak'ats* to be performed in *witr*. However, due to many inconsistencies found in them, it becomes very difficult to formulate an opinion that is in complete agreement with the *literal* meaning of each narration. It is therefore necessary to interpret some of these narrations in order to harmonize their meaning with other similar narrations.

In this chapter, various narrations on the witr prayer will be analyzed in depth in an attempt to establish those procedures of performing witr that are most in conformance with the sunna.

# I. How Many RAK'ATS IS THE WITH PRAYER?

The first discussion is concerning the number of rak'ats that should be performed for witr.

#### THE VARIOUS OPINIONS

According to Imam Shafi'i, witr could be performed in units of one, three, five, seven, nine, or even eleven rak'ats. He states in his book Kitab al-Umm that one rak'a can be performed as witr. However, 'Allama Qastalani relates in his commentary of Sahih ul-Bukhari, Irshad al-sari, than Qadi Abii 'l-Tayyib was of the opinion that it is undesirable [makruh] to perform just one rak'a for witr. (Irshad al-sari 2:259)

Qadi Abu 'l-Tayyih is regarded as one of the greatest scholars of Shafi'i fiqh and was also one of its main teachers in Iraq during his time. He studied under Imam Daraquitti, and among his students were the likes of Khatib al-Baghdadi and Abu Ishaq al-Shirazi.

Following this, iliere is a difference of opinion among the Shafi'is as 10 linw the tak'ats of witr should be performed. One opinion is that the ring Ramadan, three tak'ats should be performed with one set of salams, and in other mouths with two sets—one in the second tak'n and the other in the third. Another opinion states that one set of salams should be made if the witr is being performed in congregation, and two sets if it is being performed individually.

The opinions of Imam Malik and Ahmad are similar to that of Iniam Shafi'i wirh just a few minor differences. The commentator of Sifr al-sa'ada relates an opinion of Imam Ahmad which states that a single rak'a of wir is undestrable [makruh]. According to the Imam, a person must perform some rak'ats before performing the witr. A similar opinion has been reported from Imam Malik as well. He relates a hadith in his Muwatta on the authority of Sa'd ihn Abi Waqqas in which the Companion is described as performing a single rak'a for witr. Following this narrarion, Imam Malik states:

Our practice is not based on this, since with [in our opinion] is at least three rak'uts (Muwatta hum Malik 77).

The above review of opinions can be concluded as follows. According to Imam Shafi'i, witr can be performed in any number of

odd rak'ats, ranging from one ro eleven. Imain Ahmad's main and niore popular view is that the witr be performed as one rak'a and the rak'ats performed prior to it be considered as qiyam al-laylot tahajjud [night-vigil prayer] (al-Mughni). Imain Malik also does not recomned performing a single rak'a for wit: He recommends that at least three rak'ats be performed. Imain Abu Hanifa's opinion is simply that witr should be performed as three continuous rak'ats with two sittings—one in the second rak'a and the other in the third—with salums to be performed in the final sitting only.

#### THE HADITHS ON THIS ISSUE

Befure looking at the apparently conflicting hadiths, we will first look at those hadiths which clearly state that taite consists of three rakins.

# 1. It is reported from Abu Salama that

he asked 'A'isha & regarding the prayer of the Messenger of Allah & during Ramadan. She explained, "The Messenger of Allah & would not perform more than eleven rak'ats, neither in Ramadan nor our of ir. He would perform four rak'ats, and do nor ask of their beauty and length; followed by another four, and do not ask of their beauty and length; after which he would perform three [wirr]." 'A'isha & continued, "I asked, 'O Messenger of Allah! Do you sleep before you perform wirr.' He replied, 'O 'A'isha! My eyes sleep, but my heart does not "(Sahih al-Bukhari 1954, Sahih Muslim 19254, Sunan al-Nasai 19248, Snnan Abi Dawud 196).

In this патгатіон, Umm al-mu'minin [Mother of the Believers] 'A'isha mentions that the witr prayer performed by Allah's Messenger consisted of three rek'ats.

# 2. Sa'd ibn Hisham 4% relates thar

'A'isha & informed him that the Messenger of Allah & did not make saloms in the second rak'u of wite (Sman al-Nasa'i 1:248, Mnwarta Imau Muhammad 151).

 This narration has also been mentioned by Imam Hakim with a slight variation:

The Messenger of Allah & would not make salaus in the first two tak'ats of witr (al-Mustadnak 1:304).

Imam Hakim rhen states, "[This narration is] annheutic according to the conditions of Imam Bukhari and Muslim." 'Allama Dhahabi agreed with him.

4. The following is another variation of the above narration telated by luram Hakim:

The Messenger of Allah & would perform three mikins of with making salams only at the end lim the final makin. This was the practice of the Leader of the Faithful 'Umar ibn al-Kharrah & and it is from him that the people of Madina acquired this practice (al-Mustadrak 1:304).

#### 5. Sa'd ibu Hisham 🕸 nattages:

The Messenger of Allah &, after completing the 'lsha prayer, would enter his home and perform two rak'ass, followed by another two more lengthier than the first. Thereafter, he would perform the witr prayer without any interval in between [i.e. without salarss in the second rak'a]. He would then perform two rak'ass sitting down with the bowing and prostration also sitting down (Musnad Ahmad 6:156 U).

#### 6. 'Abdullah ibn Qays nattates:

l asked 'A'isha &, "How many mk'ats of witr did the Messenget of Allah & perform?" She replied, "Four with three, six with three, of eight with three. He would not perform more than thirteen rak'ats for witr of less than seven" (Sunan Abi Dawad 1:200).

In this hadith, the whole tahajjud prayet has been described as witr, whereas in reality only three rak'ats were witr, and the temaining fout, six, or eight rak'ats were tahajjud. This is the reason why Umm al-mu'minin 'A'isha & distinguished between the three rak'ats of witr and the vatious other rak'ats in the above natrations.

7. 'Abd al-'Aziz ibn Jutayj matrates;

l asked 'A'isha & regarding the chapters the Messenger of Allah & would recite in totir. She replied, "He would recite 'Sabbth isma rabbik al-a'la' [Surat al-A'la] in the first rab'a, 'Qul ya'ayyuba 'l-kafiruu' [Surat al-Kafirun] in the second, and 'Qul huwallahu ahad' [Surat al-Ikhlas] along with the 'Mu'awwadhatayu' [Surat al-Falaq and al-Nas] in the third' (Suran Abt Dawud 1:208, Suran al-Tirmidht 1:106, Sunan Ibn Maja 1:82).

Imau Tirmidhi has declared this hadith to be sound [hasan].

- 8. Imam Hakim has related a very similar naturation from 'A'islia & through 'Amra bint 'Abd al-Rahman and has stated it as being in accordance with the strict conditions of both Imam Bukhari and Muslim. 'Allama Dhahabi has also verified this by stating that the hadith has been transmitted through a reliable chain of narrators (al-Mustadrak 1:305).
- 9. Muhammad ibn 'Ali reputts from his father, who natrates on the authority of his father, 'Abdullah ibn 'Abbas &, that

the Messenger of Allah & rose at night, cleaned his teeth with a siwak [toothstick], and petformed two rak'ats of prayer, then went back to sleep. He again rose, used the siwak and made wede, and thereafter performed another two rak'ats of prayer, [on and on] until he had completed six rak'ats [in this manner]. He then performed three rak'ats with followed by two rak'ats [tath]. (Sahih Muslim 1:261, Sunan al-Nasa'i 1:249).

10. 'Abdullalı ibii 'Abbas & lias also reported the following nattation regarding the Messenger's !! with prayet:

During the night before dawn, the Messenger of Allah & would perform eight rak'ats [tahajjud] and three rak'ats wirr, followed by two rak'ats [naft] (Sunatt al-Nasa't 1:249).

#### it. 'Abdullah ibu 'Abbas & namates:

The Messenger of Allah & would perform three rak'ats wire. He would recite "Sabbih tsma rabbik al-a'la" [Surat al-A'la] in the first rak'a, "Qul ya'ayyuha 'l-kafirun" [Surat al-Kafirun] in the second, and "Qul huwal-lahu ahad" [Surat al-Ikhlas] in the third (Suran al-Tirvnidhi 1:106, Suvan al-Nasa'i 1:249, Sunau Ibn Maja 82).

Numerous other Companions in their narrations have also mentioned the Messenger's & recitation of these three surats [chapters] during witr in the above mentioned order:

- (1) 'Abd al-Rahman ibn Abza & (Musannaf Ibn Abi Shayba 2:298),
- (2) Ubay ihn Ka'h & (Musannaf Ibn Abi Shayba 2;300).
- (3) 'Ali ibn Abi Talib & (Sunan al-Tirmidhi 1:106).
- (4) 'Abdullah ibn Abi Awfa & (Majma' al-zawa'id 1:241 U).
- (5) 'Abdullah ibn Mas'nd \$ (Majma' al-zawa'id 1:241 U).
- (6) Nu'man ibn Bashir 46 (Majma' al-zawai'd 1:241 U).
- (7) Abu Hiirayra & (Majma' al-zawa'id 1:241 U).
- (8) 'Abdullah ihn 'Umar & (Majma' al-zawa'id 1:241 U).
- (9) 'Imran ibn Husayn 🐇 (Musaunaf Ibn Abi Shayba 2:298)
- (10) Ahu Khayihama through his father Mil'awiya ibn Khadij & (Majma' al-2awa'id 1:241 U),

The parrations of these Companions further support the opinion that with consists of three rakints.

12. Thabii al-Bunani reports that Anas ibn Malik 💠 addressed him saying:

O Thabit! Take this from me, for you will not hear it from anyone more trustworthy than myself, since I heard it from the Messenger of Allah , who acquired it from Jibril, and Jibril acquired it from Allah . The Messenger of Allah performed the 'Isha prayer while I was in his company, followed by six rak'ats [naff], during which he made salams at every second rak'a. Thereafter, he performed three rak'ats unit with salams at the very end (Kauz al-'animal 4:196 U).

The great historian and hadith master Ibn Asakir has narrated this hadith through a reliable chain.

From the above narrations, a number of points are derived: (1) it is established that with is three rak'ats; and (2) that the three rak'ats are to be performed together and concluded with salams at the end of the third rak'a.

#### THE COMPANIONS AND FOLLOWERS ON THIS ISSUE

# 1. Miswar ibn Makhrama reports:

We finished hurying Abn Bakr &, when 'Umar & remembered that he had not yet performed witr. He stood up and we formed rows behind him. He lend us in three rak'ats and made salams only at the end [in the third rak'a] (Musamiaf Ibn Abi Shayba 2:293 U, Musamiaf Abd al-Razzaq 3:20 U).

Ibrahim al-Nakh'ay reports that 'Umar ihn al-Khattah & said,
 I would not neglect the three rak'ats of wits, even if I were to receive red carriels in exchange (Muwatta Imam Muhattunad 150).

In those times red camels were considered valuable assets.

#### 3. Hasan al-Basri was informed that

'Abdullah ihn 'Umar & would make salams in the second rak'a of witr. Hasan al-Basri informed that 'Umar & was a greater jurist than [his son], and his practice was to say the takbir and stand up from the second tak'a [for the third without making salams] (al-Mustadrak 1:304).

# 4. Makhul reports:

'Umar ibn al-Khanab & would perform three rak'ats of witr without salams in hetween (Musannaf Ibn Abi Shayba 2:295).

5. 'Abdullah ibn Mas'ud 🚓 says,

The mk'ats of witr are three similar to the daytine witr prayer (i.e. Maghrib) [(Mawatta Innan Muhammad 150, Majma' al-zawa'id 2:242 U)].

Ibrahim al-Nakh'ay reports that 'Abdullah ihn Mas'ııd & said,
 One rak'a does not suffice for witr. (Muwatta Imam Muhammad 150).

 It is teported from Anas & that with it three rak'ats (Musamus Ibn Abi Shayba 2:293).

# 8. Abu Mansiir repotis:

I asked Jbn 'Abbas & regarding the number of rak'ats in wit: He replied, "'Three rak'ats" (Sharh Ma'ani 'b-athar),

'Ata' reports that 'Abdullah ibn 'Abbas & said:
 Witr is similat to the Maghrili prayer (Munita Intan Mahamuad 150).

10. Hasan al-Basri repotts:

Ubay ibu Ka'b & would perform three tak'ats for witr and would make salams only at the end of the third rak'a (Musanuaf 'Ahd al-Razzag 2:294).

11. Abu Ghalib tepotis ihai

Abu Urraina & would petform three mk'ats for witr (Musaunaf Ibn Abi Shayba 2:294).

- 'Alqama, the student of 'Abdullah ibn Mas'ud #, repotts that
  witr is three rak'ats (Musannaf Ibn Abi Shayba 2:294).
- It is reported that Ibtahim al-Nakh'ay would say:
   There is no witr consisting of less than three mk'ats (Musamuaf Ibn Abi Shayba 2:294).

## 14. Abii 'I-Zanad reports:

'Umar ibn 'Abd al-'Aziz designated the rak'ats of witr 10 be three based on the ruling of the jutists, with salarss 10 be made only at the end (Sharh Ma'ani 1-athar).

15. It is tepotted that Hasan al-Basti said:

The Muslims have reached a consensus concerning witr being three rak'ats with salams only at the end (Musannaf Ibn Abi Shayba 2:294).

The teason for quoting the statements of so many Companions and Followers [tabi'in] is that their opinions and practices hold a high

status in Islamic law. Whenever a conflict is found between the hadiths concerning a certain issue, the scholats tutn to the actions and statements of the Companions to remedy that conflict. The Companions undoubtedly possessed great insight into the teality of these issues, due to them being blessed with the close company of the Messenget . The scholats therefore hold their opinion in high regard and normally adopt those hadiths which conform to their practice. Likewise the opinions of the Followers are also regarded since they succeeded the Companions and were the bearers of their knowledge.

The mote prominent Companions like Sayyidina 'Umat, 'Ali, 'Abdullah ibn Mas'ud, 'Abdullah ibn 'Umar, Anas ibn Malik, 'Abdullah ibn 'Abbas, 'A'isha, Ubay ibn Ka'b, and Abu Umama & all stated in cleat tetms that witr consists of three rak'ats. Those who came after them, like Ibrahim al-Nakh'ay, 'Alqama, Abu Isliaq, Qasim iha Muhammad, and others, held the same opinion. Even the tenowned fuqaha' sab'a, "the seven great jutists" of the earlier period [see p. 143], concluded that witr was three rak'ats. This was such a widely accepted opinion that Hasan al-Busti reported consensus [ijma'] on it.

#### 2. How Many SALAMS IN THE WITE PRAYER?

The Hanafi opinion in this matter is that, like every other prayer, only one set of salams should be made in witr. According to this opinion, one must not make two sets of salams and cause the third nak'a to be performed separately.

The opinion of other scholats is that the musalli [petson praying] should first petform two rak'ats and then, after reminating them with salams, petform the third rak'a separately with another set of salams.

There are a number of tensons which establish the superiority of the Hanafi position in this issue.

(1) None of the natrations mentioned above declare that two sets of salams should be made within the three rak'n prayet. On the contrary, many of them have stated that the three rak'nts are to be performed

continuously without any break in hetween. It is quite evident that if there had been an interval in between the second and third *rak'ats*, the nattators would have certainly mentioned in.

- (2) The narrations of Aisha & portray with to be like any other set of three rakints, as they do not minimin the Messenger & making an extra set of salams in the second rakin. It should be noted that Aisha & is considered the most knowledgeable person regarding the Messenger's & with prayer. This is due to her close observance of the Messenger's with prayer while at home, where he was habitually performing it. Hence, without further dehate, her explanation that with consists of three rakins should be accepted.
- (3) Stille narratious, which have been reported from 'Abdullah ibn 'Umar &, state that with was performed as a single rak'a. Many scholars claim that thin 'Umar & never actually saw the Messenger & performing the with prayer, and that his narratious cannot be preferred over thuse of 'A'isha and Ibu 'Abbas &, both of whom were known to have seen Allah's Messenger & performing the prayer.

#### (4) One narration states:

The Messenger of Allah & prohibited the "incomplete prayer" [butayra', lir. an animal which has had its fail on off]—where a person performs a single rak'a as with.

Although this nattation is said to contain some weaknesses, its prohibition of performing witr as one rak'a holds; due to it being antheutically transmitted through a number of reliable chains [asnad]. In his Lisan al-Mizan, Hafiz Ibn Hajat has related this narration through a strong chain under the biography of 'Uthman ibn Muhammad, one of its nattators. With the exception of 'Uqayli—known for his extreme strictness in the criticism of narrators (even though his criticism here is only of a nrild nature)—most scholars of hadith have judged 'Uthman ibn Muhammad to be teliable. Hakim al-Naysaburi has related a nattation from him in his Mustadrak and called it authentic,

which 'Allama Dhahabi has vetified. Hence, the status of the hadille can be no lower than *hasan* [sound], and the prohibition mentioned in it of performing one *rak'a* separately will stand as a strong command [see Fath al-Mulhim 2:309].

- (5) Many of the elect Companions, like 'Umat ilm al-Khattab, 'Ali ibn Abi Talih, Ibn Mas'ud, Ibn 'Abbas, Hudhayfa ibn al-Yaman, Anas ibn Malik, Ubay ibn Ka'b & all petformed witr with only one sen of salams at the end of the salat. Some of their narrations have been mentioned above and others can be found in the minietous collections of hadiths; the chapters (on witr) of which are especially replete with the narrations of 'A'isha & on witr. Therefore, the sunna method of performing witr would be to perform them as a continuous set of three rak'ats as practised by these great Companions.
- (6) In some hadiths, the Maghrib prayer, which contains only nne set of salams at the curl, has been called "the witr prayer of the day." Therefore, "the witr prayer of the night" should also be affected like the Maghrib salat—with only one set of salams in the last rak'a.

There is a report which mentions that the Messenger of Allah & prohibited that the with he performed like the Maghrib prayer. What this actually means is that one should not perform the with alone, like Maghrili, without performing any dual set of rak'ats [shufa] before it. The report does not mean that one must make salams in between and separate the last rak'a from the first two.

(7) The "seven great jutisis" [fuqaha'sab'a] all agreed that the witr was to be performed as three rak'ats with salams only at the end. These seven jurists would be consulted by the people on various issues, and whatever the majority of them agreed on would be accepted as the legal ruling [fatura]. In his book, Imani Tahawi has related their imaninous opinion that witr should be performed as three rak'ats with salams made only in the last rak'a. The seven jutisis were: Sa'id ihii al-Misayyib, 'Utwa ihn al-Zubayi, Qasim ibii Mithammad, Ahti Bakr ibn 'Abil al-Rahman, Kharija ibii Zayd, 'Ubaydullah ibii

'Abdillah, and Sulayman ibn Yasar (may Allalı be pleased with them all) [(Awjaz al-masalik 1:434)].

(8) Hasan al-Basri reported a consensus [*ijmá*'] on the opinion that with was three continuous rak'ats without any intervals in between; which means that it was a widely accepted view.

These points make it easy to conclude that the witr is indeed three rak'uts with a single set of salams to be performed in the third, and fural, rak'a. This was the widely held opinion among the Companions and Followers (may Allah be pleased with them).

#### Some Confusing Narrations

r. Sa'd ibn Hishani asked 'A'isha & ro deseribe for him the witr prayer of the Messenger &. She replied;

We would prepare his siwak [1001hsrick] and water for his ablution [wudus]. Allah would have him wake up during the night whenever He willed, and the Messenger & would clean his reerh with the siwak and complete his ablution. He would then perform nine rak'ats and would sit on the eighth rak'a only, in which he would remember Allah, praise Him, and invoke [du'a'] Him. Thereafter, he would stand up without making salams and perform the ninth rak'a, then he would sit down, and [again] he would remember Allah, praise Him, and invoke Him. He would rhen make the salams [loud enough] for us to hear. After salams, he would perform another two rak'ats sirring down. So, my son, these were eleven rak'ats. When the Messenger & became of age and heavier, he would perform [only] seven rak'ats, and his practice in the [final] two rak'ats would be the same as his carlier practice [of performing them sented]. So these were [in 101al] seven rak'ats (Sahih Muslim 1:256).

The apparent wording of this natration suggests that the Messenger's witr prayer was a total of nine rak'ats, in which he would sit only at the end of the eighth rak'a and complete the prayer with salams in the ninth. The hadith then states that this was his earlier practice, for later on he reduced the number of rak'ats to seven, sitting briefly in the sixth and ending with salams in the seventh.

In Sunan al-Nasa'i, Muwatta Imam Malik, and a number of other hadith collections, the same narrarion has been transmitted through the same chain with the following addition, "The Messenger of Allah & would not make salams in the second rak'a of wits:" In the version of al-Mustadrak, it states, "The Messenger of Allah & would perform three rak'ats wits with salams only at the end." In Musnad Abmad, it states:

After the Messenger of Allah & had performed the 'Isha prayer, he would enter his home and perform two mk'ats, followed by another two lengthier than the first. He would then perform with without any interval in between, after which he would perform a final two mk'ats seated.

The following points come to light after studying the various transmissions of this narration:

- (a) At most, the Messenger of Allah # would perform a total of eleven rak'ats at night. Included in this were the witr and the two rak'ats that succeeded it.
- (b) Three rak'ats our of the eleven were witr.
- (c) He would sir in the second rak'a of witr without making any salams.
- (d) Afrer witt, he would perform two rak'ats scated.
- (e) He would sir at the end of every second rak'a.

From these points we learn that the various narrations concerning with are indeed describing the same procedure of performing with. The reason why they appear to be conflicting is due to the different words used in most of them.

The version in Sabih Muslim only stares the rotal number of rak'ats performed, without offering attach detail as to how rhey were performed in connection with the tahajjud prayer. The reason for this is rhar 'A'isha & was specifically asked about the witr prayer and not about tahajjud. Hence, she did not feel it was necessary to provide any details about the rak'ats of tahajjud performed before the witr. So,

providing details on the witr, she said, "The Messenger of Allah & would sit without making salams on the eighth rak'a." This eighth rak'a was in teality the second rak'n of witr, which was being performed after the six rak'ats of tahajjad; then, on the uinth rak'a (the third rak'a of witr), he would make salams and thus complete his witr prayer.

It was common knowledge at that time that the Messenger & always performed his *tahajjnd* prayer in sets of two; so 'A'isha & did not provide any detail about them and thus mentioned the total number of *rak'ats* together. Lastly, she ended by saying that the Messenget & would petform yet another two *rak'ats* scated after performing the ninth *rak'a*, bringing the total number of *rak'ats* to eleven.

This is most likely the soundest interpretation for this hadith, as it encompasses all the variations of Sa'rl ibn Hisham's narration, and at the same time reconciles the apparent conflicts between them. In summary, the Messenger sound perform the tahajjud prayer in sets of two, as stated in the above-mentioned narration in Musnad Ahmad (and probably all other narrations on tahajjud); and thereafter perform the three continuous rak'ats of wite, with salams made only at the end. After the linal salams, he would then perform two more rak'ats sirring down.

#### 2. 'A'isha 🚵 natrates:

The Messenger's ## prayer at night would be thirteen rak'ats, five of which would be wire; and he would sit only at the end.

The apparent wording of this hadith describes the witr prayet of the Messenger & as being a continuous set of five rak'ats. However, just as in the previous nattation, the apparent meaning in this narration is not to be taken as the implied meaning. The teason for this is that 'A'isha & only specified the total number of rak'ats performed by the Messenger of Allah & at night and included in it the two rak'ats of naft performed sitting down after the three rak'ats of witr. This is what she refers to when she says, "Five of which would be witr" (i.e. including the two rak'ats of naft).

When she says, "he would sit only at the cud," it means he would not sit for any lengthy period of time duting the prayet to make extra supplication [du'a'] and temeinbrance [dhikr] except at the very end. He sat only briefly in every other rak'a to recite the tashahhud. Fittilietingre, she did not even mention that he made salams in the third rak'a of witr, as it was common knowledge that salams had to be made in the third rak'a. What 'A'isha & was referring to when she said, "he would sit only at the end," was the final sitting of the Messenger's two rak'ats naft salat that followed his witr (i.e. the Messenger to would only sit for an extended period of time in the final sitting of his last set of two rak'ats naft salat).

Some Hanafi scholars have explained this narration in a slightly different way. They state that it is known that the Messenget see would perform the rak'ats of tahajjud standing up or sining down, and the witr prayet he would always perform standing up, while the two rak'ats following the witr he would mostly perform sitting down. Hence, if the hadith is approached with these points in mind, the apparent meaning of the hadith cannot be taken.

What really happened, they explain, is that the Messenget &, according to his normal tentine, performed the witr along with the tahaijud prayer standing up and then sat down to perform the two naft rak'ats. 'A'isha & described his prayer by saying, "he would sit only at the end"—that the Messenget &, after having performed the first eleven or so mk'ats (tahajjud and witr) standing, sat down and performed the last two rak'ats of naft. She strates that he sat down to perform the last two rak'ats of naft after having performed all the other prayers standing up. [See Darse Tirmidhi 2:210—220, Fath nl-Mulhim 2:219]

This makes the above nattation of 'A'ishn & very cleat and dispels the notion that the Messenget & petformed a lengthy prayer comprised of many rak'ats, with only one sitting at the end and no sitting postures in between the vatious rak'ats he petformed. The following narration of Ibn 'Abbas & further corrobotates this explanation:

The Messenger of Allah & performed eight rak'ats and seven rak'ats in Madina, i.e. Zuhr and 'Asr [together] and Maghrib and 'Isha [together] (Sahih Muslim 1:246).

No scholar has taken this statement to imply that each of the four raklats of Zuhi and 'Ass, and the three of Maghrib and four of 'Isha were combined together in such a way that there was no interval between them.

The reason why scholars have disregarded such an interpretation is because it suggests a new method of prayer that is inconsistent with the normal method of prayer used regularly by the Messenger and his Companions . In the same way, those narrations which apparently suggest a method for with contrary to the normal practice of prayer being a minimum of two rak'nts, will have to be interpreted accordingly and not taken literally.

#### 3. IS ONE RAK'A SUFFICIENT FOR WITE?

# 'Abdullah ibu 'Umai 🕹 narrares:

Someone asked the Messenger & about prayer at night. The Messenger & said, "The prayer at night should be performed in sets of two. Then, when one anticipates the break of dawn, he should perform one more rak'a which will convert what he has performed into wirr for him" (Sabih al-Bukhari 1:135, Sabih Muslim 1:257).

In another version of this narration is states, "Witr is a single rak'a [performed] mwards the end of the night." The version in Sunan Ibn Maja states, "The prayer of the night is [performed] in sets of two, and the witr is a mk'a [performed] before dawn."

Some scholars have deduced from these narrations that the witr is a single rak'a to be performed on its own separately. This deduction however does not bring out the real meaning of this hadith as all the characteristics of prayet have not been taken into consideration. The following points should be considered:

(a) May Allah 🁺 bless the great Shafi'i scholat Hafiz Ibn Hajan al-'Asqalaut, who states in his *Fath al-Bari*;

It could be contended that this [hadith] is not absolutely clear with negrods to the intervals [between the second and third rak'ats of with]. It is possible that the Messenger is intended by his statement, "he should perform one more rak'a," that this rak'a should be performed together [mudafatan] with the two rak'ats before it (Fath al-Bari 2:385 U).

Hence, the real meaning of this hadith is that a person should perform the *tahajjud* prayer in sets of two throughout the night, and upon reaching the end of his vigil [qiyam al-layl], he should add an extra rak'n to the final set of two and make it three rak'ats. This way, the rak'ats of his tahajjud and witr prayer will add up to an odd number and thereby be in accurdance with the Messenger's \$\mathbb{B}\$ statement:

Then, when one anticipates the break of dawn, he should perform one more rak'a, which will conven what he has performed imo witr for him (Sahih al-Bukhari 1:135, Sahih Mudim 1:257).

(b) The Messenger 

said regarding the sacred pilgrimage [haj];

The Pilgrimage is 'Anafa (Sunan al-Tirmidhi, Ibn Maja, al-Daraquail).

This narration is also not to be taken literally, as it would mean that a person's pilgrinuage is completed by him merely proceeding to the plain of 'Arafat, standing there for some time, and then termining home without even cutering into pilgrim sauctity [ilman]. This is ubviously not a valid interpretation since it has neglected many integral aspects of the worship. In actuality, the hadith is only expressing the importance of standing [unquf] in 'Arafat, as it is one of the integrals of the pilgrimage; and not that it is the only integral act to be performed for hai.

Similarly, by stating that the witr is one rak'a performed before the end of the night, the Messenger story defining the distinctive factor between witr and two rak'ats of tabajjud; that adding an extra rak'a to the last two rak'ats of tabajjud would tender all three rak'ats into witr, thus allowing the petson to fulfill his requirement of witr,

(c) The personal practice of Ibn 'Umar & although appearing otherwise from the above hadith, was to perform three rak'ats of witr together; as is indicated in the following naturation of Imam Malik:

Ibn 'Uniar & would state that the Maglirib prayet is the with of the day (Milwatta Imani Malik 77).

If the Maghtih prayet (which everyone agrees is three continuous rak'ats) has been stated as being the witr of the day, then it follows that the witr prayet itself should be performed as three continuous rak'ats as well.

In light of the above, it is very difficult to establish that witr could be perfouned as just one rak'a. Hafiz Ibn Hajar relates in his Fith al-Bari that Ibn al-Salah said:

We cannot infer from the narrations of with despite their being so many, that the Messenger is only performed a single rakia for with (Fath al-Bari 2.15).

Hence, any natiation which states that the *witr* ptayer was anything but three *rak'ats* cannot be taken literally. Instead, it has to be analyzed and suitably interpreted so as to draw out its true meaning and harmonize it with the other narrations that mention the *witr* as being three *rak'its*.

# A FINAL QUESTION

After reading the hadiths of this chapter, one might ask why these narrations differ from one another in describing the notr prayer? The answer to this is very simple. There are two types of natrators. Firstly, there are those who tefer to the whole combination of night prayer [tahajjud] and with as being with, and du not mention any distinction between the two. They state only the total number of rak'ats the Messenger specific performed at night, since it was common knowledge anyway that the final three rak'ats of the tahajjud prayer would be set aside for with. Hence, they include the whole night-vigil [tahajjud]

prayet when mentioning the witt prayet. Examples of this can be found above in the section titled "Some Confusing Nartations."

As opposed to this, the second type of narrators do not tefet to all of the rak'ats as being with but tathet describe the tahajjud and with prayers separately in terms of the number of rak'ats perfutmed for each. Hence, they do not leave any toom for speculation. The majority of the second type of narrations state very clearly that the with consists of three rak'ats. Examples of this can be found above in the section filled "The Hadiths on This Issue." Intam Titmidhi, quoting the words of Ishaq ibn Ibrahim Rahway [or Rahnya], concludes:

The narrations that state that the Messenger speciformed thirteen rak'ats with actually mean (as Ishaq says) that he performed thirteen rak'ats including the three tak'ats of with and [it follows from this] that the whole night prayer was referred to as with (Sunan al-Tinnidhi 1105).

Imani Ahu Muhammad al-Manbaji, a Hanafi jutist and hadith scholar, writes:

One way of reconciling herween the [conflicting] narrations is to say that [initially] the Messenger & used to perform one mk'a as witr and even instructed others in this; but his final position was to perform [the witr as] three rak'ats (al-Lubab fi 'I-jam'i bayu al-sunnati na 'I-kitab 1:173).

#### CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the witr should be performed as a three rak'a prayer, since that is how, according to the majority of natiations, the Messenger of Allah performed his witr prayer. These three rak'ats should be performed together without separating the third rak'a from the first two. Performing one rak'a witr has been classified as being an incomplete prayer by the Messenger . Evidence of this is the fact that there is no other example of a prayer consisting of just one rak'a in Islamic jurisptudence. Hence, the witr prayer should be performed continuously just like the Maghrib prayer and not on its own as a single rak'a.

#### FIQH AL-IMAM

Furthermore, it has been made clear that the practice of the Messenget the was to perform with an night after the tahajjud prayet. He would perform the tahajjud prayet in sers of two rak ats until the time of Fajr drew close, at which time lie would add an extra rak a to the final set, thus converting both the last two rak ats set and the additional rak a into with. Surely, this explanation is what the Messenger to intended when he said.

Then, when one anticipates the break of rlawn, he should perform one more rak'a, which will convert what he has performed into witr for him (Sabih al-Bukhari 1:135, Sahih Muslim 1:257).

And Allalı & knows best.



# Prayer After 'Asr

Upon studying the books of hadith, a petson will eventually come across some narrations in which the Messenger of Allah & prohibits a petson from performing salat after the 'Asr prayer. In some narrations, however, the Messenget & hintself is stated to have performed two rak'ats at that very time. This indicates a conflict between the two types of narrations.

In this chapter, we will discuss and attempt to tesolve this apparent contradiction in order to answer the perfinent question: "What is the meaning of the Messenger of prohibiting any form of prayer after 'Asr if he himself performed them on occasion?" This chapter will also discuss whether or not it would be permissible for any one other than the Messenger of 10 perform salat at that time. The following will work to elatify the religious [shar'i] tuling regarding these rak ats after 'Asi, and also bring to light whether the above prohibition is indeed general or rather bound by particular circumstances.

# THE VARIOUS OPINIONS

Imam Abu Hanifa is of the opinion that it is not permissible for a musalli to perform the tabiyyat al-masjid [two rak'ats upon entering the masjid] or any other supererogatory [nafl] prayer after he has performed the 'Asr prayer. However, according to the Imam, making up missed [qada'] prayers is permissible. Another group's view is that

it is impermissible to perform supererogatory prayer [nawafil] after 'Asr, but permissible to make up missed prayers of other nonobligatory prayers which are performed for a particular reason, such as the functal prayer, tahiyyat al-masjid, or tahiyyat al-wudu' [two nak'ats after ablittion].

The above difference of opinion informs us that the time after the 'Ast prayer is one in which each group agrees that some form of prayer of another is undesirable. The reason for this is that there are many hadiths which prohibit prayer after 'Ast; and it is due to these prohibitive hadiths that the Hanafis have rlisallowed all forms of nonobligatory salat to be performed in this time. However, there are other hadiths that speak of the Messenger speciforning two rak'ats after 'Ast. These hadiths seem to be in conflict with those that prohibit it; therefore, we will first analyze these hadiths to gain a deeper understanding of this apparent conflict.

#### Analyzing the Seemingly Contradictory Haditiis

The conflicting narrations are of two kinds—those that pourray the Messenger is performing two rak'uts after 'Ast only once (implying that he never did so again); and rhose which indicate that the Messenger is performed these two rak'uts on a regular basis. Both types of narrations are addressed in this section.

#### i. 'A'isha & parrates:

The Messenger of Alkh & Joneel missed the two rak'ass helore the 'Asr prayer; so after finishing 'Asr, he made them up, then never performed them again (at that time) (Mu'jam al-Tabarani, Majma' al-zawa'id 2:223).

- 2. A similar narration of Umm Salama & has been transmitted by Imam Ahmad in his Musmud (Ma'arif al-sunan 2:135, Musnad Ahmad 229;2 U).
- 3. Ibn 'Abbas 🕸 relates:

The Messenger of Allah & performed two rak'ass after 'Ast, as some frems [of charity] had arrived (to be distributed) and had occupied him from performing the two rak'ass [sunna] after Zithr. So he made them up after 'Ast, then he did not do so again (Sunan al-Tirmidbi 1:45).

From the above three narrations, we learn that the two rak'ats after the 'Asr prayer were performed only once by Allah's Messenger . All three narrations state clearly that the Messenger was making up the two rak'ats of sunna prayer which he had missed after Zuhr. These hadiths also indicate that the prayer after 'Asi was in no way a special prayer that the Messenger of Allah & regularly performed at that time. This, however, is contradicted by the following hadiths, which mention that the Messenger & performed two rak'ats after 'Asr quite regularly.

#### 4. Aisha & narrares:

The Messenger & would never visit me during the day after the 'Asr prayer, except that he would perform two nak'ats (Sahih al-Bukhari 1:83).

#### 5. It is related from Abn Salama that

he asked 'A'isha & regarding the two rak'ats the Messenger & would perform after 'Asr. She told him that he would perform them before ['Asr], until the happener to once miss them or forgot to perform them due to being occupied with something; so he performed them after 'Asr. He then continued to perform them, [hecause] whenever he would perform any [new] prayer [once], he would continue to perform it regularly thereafter (Sahih Muslim 1:277).

#### 6. Aisha & narrates that

the Messenger & never neglected the two rak'nts after 'Asr while he was in her company (Sahih Muslim 1:277).

These hadiths demonstrate that the Messenger of Allah & performed the two ruk'ats on a regular basis. They state that whenever he would perform any new prayer (once), he would take it upon himself to continue them regularly. In this case, it was the two ruk'ats of Zuhr lie was making tip and not a new prayer; but since he was

performing them out of their usual time, he thereafter continued to perform them regularly after 'Ast. In either case, these hadirhs seem to be in conflier with the former set of hadirhs, which state that he performed the two rak'a prayer after 'Ast only once. The following has been mentioned concerning this apparent conflict.

Hafiz ibn Hajar repoils that the second set of three hadiths (4,5, and 6) are of a higher degree of authenticity than the first three. This means that, according to Ibn Hajar, the hadiths which stare that the Messenger & performed the two mk'ats regularly have a higher degree of authenticity than those which mention that he performed them only once.

To expound on this point, it is quite rrue that the natiation of lbn 'Abbas (harlith 3) has only been designated as sound [hasan] by Imam Tirmidhi, whereas all the hadiths mentioned after it are either from Sahih al-Bukhari of Muslim and are rigorously authenticated [sahih]. Thus, Ibn 'Abbas's narration cannot stand in comparison. Secondly, hadith it, which is transmitted from 'A'isha &, 'is said to have in its transmission the natrator Quitat, who has been called "a flagian liar" [kadhdhab]. Hence, it is too weak to stand up against the other rigorously authenticated [sahih] narrations of 'A'isha &.

The hadith of Urani Salama (i.o. 2), however, is nor defective and, as such, cannor be overlooked. The narration states that the Messenger performed two mk'ats after 'Asr only once, and it negates him performing them at any other rime. This means that we have a single tigorously authenticated [sabih] hadith conflicting with three others of the same authenticity. We have 'A'isha's & natrations, transmitted by Iram Bukhari and Muslim, which are affirmative [muthbit] in establishing that these two rak'ats were regularly performed by the Messenger &; and we also have the rigorously authenticated hadith of Uran Salama & that states to the contrary. Hence, we are still left with two conflicting texts, both of which are authentic: one a negative [manh] rext (i.e. in support of the prohibition) and the other an affirmative [muthbit] one (i.e. not in support of the prohibition).

Hafiz Ihn Hajai al-'Asqalani airempis to correlate the two types of nariations by putting into effect a rule from the principles of hadith [usul al-hadith], which states that an affirmative [muthbit] text shall take precedence over a negative [manfi] one (i.e. an affirmative narration holds more strength than a negative one). He concludes that since 'A'isha's & mariations are the affirmative ones, they will take precedence over Umm Salama's & negative narration. He further states that 'A'isha's affirming that the Messenger & regularly performed two mk'ats after 'Asr was according to her personal knowledge of his actions, and Umm Salama's & negation of it was according to her own observation of the Messenger's & salat.

Hafiz Ibn Hajar's explanation could have been conclusive, as it appears to have resolved the conflict between the two types of narrarions; however, the grear jurist and hadirh scholar, 'Allama Taqi 'Uthmani, states in his Darse Tirmidhi (1:427) that a hadith in Sahih Muslim contradicts the basis of Hafiz Ibn Hajar's explanation—that both 'Risha & and Umm Salama & were narrating from their own personal observations. The hadith in Sahih Muslim reveals that 'A'isha's & knowledge regarding the Messenger's & performance of this prayer was in actuality acquired from Umm Salama &.

# 7. The following hadith explains this in further detail:

Kurayb narrates that he was sent by 'Abdullah ibn 'Abbas, 'Abd al-Rahman ibn Azhar, and Miswar ibn Makhtama & to 'A'isha &, the wife of the Messenger of Allah . They instructed him to convey their salams [grenting of peace] to her and enquire from her about the [performance of] two rak'ats after 'Asr. They told him to say that they had been informed of her performing the prayet, whereas it had teached them that the Messenger & had prohibited it. Ibn 'Abbas & said, "Umar & and myself would deter people from performing them."

Kurayb says, "I visited her and conveyed their message. She told me to ask Umin Salama. I came out and informed them of what she had told me; so they sent me to Umin Salama with the same questions. Unin Salama & said, 'I heard the Messenger of Allah & prohibit them, and then I saw him perform them [himself]. The [first] time he performed

them, he had completed 'Asr then entered the house to find some Ansari women from the Banu Haram tribe with the. So, as he hegan to perform the prayer, I sent a young girl and instructed her to stand by his side and say. 'O Messenger of Allah, Unin Salama says that she has heard you prolitibit the performance of these two rak'ats, and now she sees you performing them.' She also told her that if he gestures with his hand then move back. The girl went to him and he gestured with his hand so she moved back. When he completed the prayer he said. 'O daughter of Ibn Umayya [Unim Salama], you asked me regarding the two rak'ats after 'Asr. A group of peuple from the 'Abd al-Qays tribe had come to me... and occupied me from performing the two rak'ats after Zuhr, so these were the Jwo rak'ats!" (Sabih Muslim 1:277).

This hadilli williout doubt implies that Union Salama & was the source of 'A'isha's & knowledge regarding the Messenger & performing the two nak'ats after 'Asr. This is hecause the Messenger & performed them while he was in Umm Salama's company, and 'A'isha was aware of that. The following narration clarifies this even further:

# 8. It is parrated from 'Abd al-Ralinian ibn Abi Sufyan iliat

Mu'awiya & seni a person to 'A'isha &, asking her ahntii the two rak'ars after 'Asr. She replied that the Messenger & had not performed them in her company, but Umm Salama had told her that he had performed them while with her. Therefore, Mu'awiya & seni someone to Jenquire from Jumm Salama. She said, "He Jonce] performed them by me, and as I had never seen him perform them before, I enquired from him, 'O Messenger of Allah, what were the two rak'ars I saw you perform after 'Asr? You have never performed them before.' He replied, "They are the two rak'ars I Jusually] perform after Zinhr, but some camels, collected as charity [sadaŋa], had been brought to me [for distribution], so I forgot to perform them until I had completed 'Asr [i.e. after which time I completed rhem]. When I did remember, I did not think it was appropriate to make them up in the masjid with people looking, so I performed rhem while with you" (Sharh Ma'ani 'Lathar 1:302).

This hadith, in conjunction with the previous one, clearly establishes that the Messenger of Allah & did not initially perform the prayer in 'A'isha's & company, but in the company of Umut Salama &.

'Allama 'Uthmani writes that even after extensive research, he could still not find a correlusive explanation to dispel the apparent conflict between these narrations. Nonetheless, he states that after giving some more thought to the issue, it appeared that the incident of the Messenger & performing the two *rak'ats* after 'Asi initially rook place in the company of Union Salama ... This is confirmed by 'A'isha ... in the two narrations (hadith 7 and 8) mentioned above.

Thereafter, since the Messenger's habit was of continuing any practice he had begun, he began to perform two mk'ats after the 'Asr prayer in 'A'isha's he company on a daily basis, hut Umra Salama he remained unaware of this. This is probably why she insisted that he never perfurnted them again after that one instance, and 'A'isha claimed that he always performed them in her company. 'Allama 'Uthmani concludes that this appears to be the best possible explanation to reconcile the hadiths and dispel the contradiction (Darse Tirmidhi 1:428).

Up to this point, the discussion has been regarding the differences found in the hadiths regarding how many times the Messenger of Allah performed the two mk'ats after 'Asi. We now come to another important question: What is the ruling for the *Umma* concerning rhese two mk'ats after 'Asi. The scholars hold different views in this regard.

One group claims it is sunna to perform the two rak'ats after 'Ası, even though the Messenger & had forbidden all nonobligatory prayers at that time. They cite the narrations of 'A'isha &, which have been mentioned above, as evidence to support their claim. This group asserts that since the Messenger & performed them, it is permissible for others to do so as well. However, the Hanafi scholars and many others, state that these two rak'ats cannot be considered a general sunna on the basis of these hadiths alone. In fact, it is prohibitively disliked [makruh tahrimi] to perform any nonobligatory prayer at that time. There are numerous other narrations and reasons that clearly prohibit prayer after 'Asr.

# An Exclusive Practice of Allah's Messenger

The main reason the Messenger ## performed the two rak'ats after 'Asr, as the hadiths state, was to make up for the two missed sunna rak'ats of Zuhr (see hadith 7). The reason for this is that it was an exclusive practice of the Messenger ## to make up any missed sunna prayers. This, however, is not the case for the rest of the Umma.

Hence, the Messenger of Allah & performed the two missed rak ats of Zuhr sunna after 'Ast, and thereafter continued in perform two extra rak ats every day after 'Ast; which was due to his exclusive habit to continue any new prayer even if he had performed it just once. The following narrations explicitly provide the same explanation.

9. 'A'isha &, after narrating the incident in which the Messenger & performed the two rak'ats after 'Asr, states:

Whenever he would perform a [new] prayer once, he would continue in Ithereafter on a regular basis] (Sahih Muslim 1:277).

This hadith illustrates the exclusive habit of the Messenger &. The following hadiths will make the matter even more clear.

#### 10. 'A'isha & narrates:

The Messenger & would perform prayer after Asr but would prohibit [others from] it; and he would fast continuously [ynwasilu, t.e., without cating in between for long periods] but would prohibit [others from] it (Sauan Abi Dawud 1:182).

This hadith clearly indicates that just as the Messenger & would observe continuous fasts himself and prohibit the Companions from doing so, he would also prohibit others from performing the two tak'ats after 'Ast but would observe them himself. This narration has been related by Imam Abu Dawud who does not make any comments after mentioning it; which means that the marration is a strong one. It is well-known among hadith scholars that whenever Imam Abu Dawud is silent after a hadith (i.e. does not comment on its grade), it means that the hadith is strong.

11. Umni Salama & after observing the Messenger of Allah & performing the two rak'ats, cuquired from him:

O Messenger of Allah, can we make them trp [as well] if they are missed? He said no (Sharh Ma'ani 'l-athar 1:306).

'Allania Hayihami siaics that this liadith has been related by Imam Ahmad in his Musnad and Ibn Hibban in his Sahih. He fittilier states that the trarrators in Imam Ahmad's chain are mentioned within the chains of Sahih al-Bukhari (Majma' al-zawa'id 2:223).

From the above hadith, we learn that Unith Salama & was probibled from making up the sunna prayers after 'Asi if she happened to miss them. This indicates that making them up was an exclusive practice of Allah's Messenger &. It is related by 'Allama 'Ayni that al-Khanabi said: "This prayer [the two rak'ats after 'Asr] is also from among the unique practices [khasa'is] of the Messenger &." Ibn al-'Uqayli has stated the same.

All of the above reports lead to the same conclusion that the performance of the two rak'as after 'Asr was incleed an exclusive practice of the Messenger and, as such, was not legislated as being sunna. This is confirmed by the fact that many narrations actually prohibit any form of superelogatory prayer at that time.

#### THE HADITHS PROHIBITING PRAYER AFTER 'ASR

- 1. Abii Sa'id al-Khirdi'i 🐇 narraies that the Messengei 🕸 said,
  - There is no [supererogatory] prayer following Fajr until the surrises, for after 'Asr until the sun sets (Sahih al-Bukhari 1:82-83).
- Amr ibn Abasa narrates that the Messenger said,
   Perform the Fajr grayer; thereafter, abstain from any prayer while the sun is rising until it has fully risen. And perform the Ast prayer; thereafter

abstain from any prayer until the sun sets (Sahih Muslim U).

3. Ibn 'Abbas & narrates that the Messenger & prohibited prayer after 'Ast (Sunan al-Nasa'i y6).

# 4. It is narrared about 'Ali ibn Abi 'l'alib 🐇 that

he performed two mk'ats after 'Asr on the way to Makka. 'Uniar & called for him and expressed his anger saying. "By Allah, you are aware that the Messenger & has prohibired us from performing them." (Sharh Ma'ani 'l-athur 1:303)

#### 5. 'Ali 45 narrares that

the Messenger & would perform two nak'ats after every prayer except after Fajr and 'Ast (Sharh Ma'ani 'Lathar 1:303).

#### 6. 'A'isha & narrates that

the Messenger & would never perform any prayer wirhout following it inp with two mk'uts, except Fajr and 'Asr, in which case he would perform two rak'uts before them (Sharh Ma'uti 'l-athar 1:303 U).

# 7. Mu'awiya ibu Abi Sufyan 🕸 deliveted a sermon saying:

O people! You perform such a prayer which we have never seen the Messenger & perform, despite having remained in his company. He has prohibited the two mk'ats after 'Asr (Sharb Ma'uni 1-athar 1:304).

# 8. Sa'ib ibn Yazid is narrates that

lie saw 'Umar & beating Millikadir for performing prayer after 'Asr (Sharh Ma'aut 'I-athur 1:304).

#### 9. 'Abdullah & nattates:

'Umar disliked the performance of prayet after 'Asr, and I dislike what 'Umar dislikes (Sharh Ma'ani 'I-athan 1:304).

# 10. Jabala ibn Siihaym narraies:

Hheard Ibn 'Umar & relate that he would observe [his father] 'Umar & beat a person if he found him performing prayer after 'Asr, until the person would reminate his prayer (Sbarb Ma'ani T-atbar 1:304).

#### 11. Tawns narrates that

he asked 'Abdullalı ilin 'Abbas & regarding the two rak'ats after 'Ası. He forbade him and recited: "It is not fitting for a believer, male or female,

when a marrer has been decided upon by Alfah and His Messenger, to have any option about their decision" (al-Qur'an 33:36). [(Sharh Ma'ani 'l-athar 1:304)]

#### Conclusion

The outcome of this discussion can be summed up as follows. There is some conflict in the narrations which mention the Messenget performing prayer after 'Asr. Some tigotously authenticated hadiths indicate that he did so only once, and other tigotously authenticated hadiths reveal that he performed them quite tegularly. To temove the conflict between the naturations and explain the teality of the situation, the Hanafis have established that this was a unique practice of the Messenger .

The Messenget is had only performed them initially to make up for the two suma rak'ats of Zuhr, which he had missed due to being with some guests. He thereafter began to regularly perform two rak'ats after 'Asr, as it was his unique habit to continue any form of prayer he would initiate. Numerous hadiths have been presented to substantiate this explanation.

Moreover, also highlighted above are a large number of marrations that explicitly prohibit the performance of prayer after 'Asi. In light of this weighty evidence, Hanafis scholars have concluded that the strongest and most correct view regarding supererogatory prayers after 'Asi, is that it is prohibited, As for those hadiths which are brought forth to prove the general permissibility of prayer after 'Asi, they cannot be accepted here as proof of permissibility since they only illustrate an action exclusive to Allah's Messenget \$\spacepsilon\$.

# 10

# Prayer During the Friday Sermon

THE MESSENGER OF ALLAH # has instructed that whenever a person emers the *masjid*, he should perform two *rak'ats* of prayer before sitting down. This prayer is called *tahiyyat al-masjid* [greening of the *masjid*], and it is a *sumna* prayer.

However, these two *rak'ats* are not to be performed at rimes in which prayers are undesirable [*makruh*]. Islamic law has designated the following times as undesirable: (1) after the Fajr prayer until suncise; (2) after the 'Ast prayer until sunset; (3) from the beginning of sunrise until the sun is a spear's length above the horizon [i.e. when a distance equal to the sun's diameter appears between the sun and the horizon]; (4) from the time the sun is at its highest point in the sky until it moves on [*istiwa*]; and (5) from when the sun turns yellow before sunser until after it has set.

Hence, it is recommended to perform the tahiyyat al-masjid upon entering the masjid at any time other than these disliked times. There is however one other exception to this general rule. Since the Messenger of Allah & forbade any form of prayer or conversation during the Friday sermon [khutba], it is not allowed that a person perform the tahiyyat al-masjid upon entering the masjid while the sermon is in progress. This is the opinion of the Hanafis and many others.

Some scholars state that a person entering the masjid at such a time should still perform a set of two mk'ats prior to sitting down

and listening to the *imam's* sermon. They go as far as designating it a desirable act even at that time.

The following is a discussion regarding this very issue. It seeks to determine the exact procedure a person should follow when he enters the *masjid* thiring the Friday sermon. The evidence used by the Hanafi school to establish the impermissibility of performing *salat* while the sermon is in progress will be presented first; after which we will analyze the few seemingly contradictory narrations that are used to prove the permissibility of prayer in this time.

# The Qur'an on This Issue

Allah 2 says,

"So when the Qur'an is recited, listen to it and remain silent, that you may receive mercy" (nl-Qur'an 7:204).

As we discussed earlier in chapter 3, "Reciting Behind the *Imam*," this verse was revealed concerning *salat* (and, according to some opinions, concerning the sermon too). Now, since the sermon has been likened to prayet and since the verses of the Qui'an are recited in it, the command of this verse shall apply to the sermon as well; which means that a person would have to observe silence during the sermon and listen attentively to what is being said. This also means that the person should not occupy himself in prayer during the sermon.

It is related firm 'Umar & iliai the two sermous on Friday are equal to two rak'ats of prayer. This is probably why the rak'ats of Friday prayer are only two, whereas the rak'ats of Zuhr are four. He states:

The sermon is equivalent to two rak'ats; therefore, whoever misses the sermon should perform four rak'ats [of Zulur] instead (Mnsannaf Ibn Abi Shayba 2:128, Mnsannaf 'Abd al-Razzaa').

It should be remembered that this was his personal view, and the ruling of the majority of scholars is that a person should still join the two ruk'ats Friday congregation with the *imam* even if he happened to miss the sermion.

Since the sermon is similar to the prayer, it could be concluded from this that one should also remain silent and listen catefully while the sermon is in progress, just as one would while in prayer. The wisdom hehind disallowing all forms of prayer, remembrance [dhikr], supplication [du'a'], and even enjoining the right and forbidding the wrong [amr bi 'l-ma'ruf and nahy 'an al-munkur]—which is permissible on all other occasions—is due to the fact that if a person engages in tahiyyat al-masjid or any other activity while the sermon is in progress, he will not be able to listen attentively to the imam's sermon.

#### THE HADITHS ON THIS ISSUE

- Abu Hurayra & narrares that the Messenger of Allah said: Whoever says, "Remain silem," while the imam is delivering the sermon, he has mullified this reward! (Sunan al-Tirmidhi 1:114).
- Abu Hinayia & narrates from the Messenger of Allah &:
   When you say, "Remain silent," to your companion on Friday while the imam is delivering the semion, you have millified [your reward] (Sahih Muslim 1281, Sharh Ma'ani Tathar).

Since increly reminding another person to keep quiet during the Friday sermon has been prohibited by these liadiths, it follows that *cabiyyat al-masjid*, which is a supererogatory [mnfl] action, must also be disallowed while the Friday sermon is being delivered. The following hadith further elarifies this deduction:

3. 'Abdullah ibn 'Umar & narrates that

he heard the Messenger of Allah & say, "When one of you exters the masjid to find the imam on the pulpit [delivering the sermon], then no prayer or conversation [is permitted] until the imam finishes" (Majma' al-zawa'id 2:184).

This hadith in itself may have been classified by some as being defective due to the natrator in its chain, Ayyub ibn al-Nahik. There is mixed criticism about him. Some scholars of hadith have called him Hustworthy, while others have called him weak. However, despite this, there are many other aspects which bolster its acceptability. Ihn Ahi Shayba has related some other narrations of Ibn 'Umat & (the narration of this hadith) which would indicate that Ibn 'Umat's personal opinion and practice was in conformance with his narration. This adds strength to his narration.

One of the principles of hadith [usul al-hadith] is that any narration supported by the constant practice of the Companions and Followers will acquire enough strength to be used as evidence. This means that the message of the above hadith, despite the criticism leveled at its chain, can be accepted. The fact that there are many other tigorously authenticated [sahih] hadiths that relay the same message as the above hadith makes it even more legitimate to use as proof.

We will see in the following paragraphs that this opinion was not an isolated one but was rather the opinion of numerous Companions and Followers.

4. It is telated from Salman al-Fatsi 🐇 that the Messenger 🕸 said:

A person who performs the ritual bath [ghnsl] on Friday, attains as much purity as he can, applies oil or some scent found in the house; then departs for the masjid and does not force two people apart [to sit between them]; and performs as much prayer as Allah has willed for him, and then maintains silence while the imain speaks, will have all his situs from the present Friday to the next forgiven (Sahih al-Bukhari 1:122, Sharh Ma'ani 'l' athar 1:369).

- A similar narration of Abu Hurayra in Sahih Muslim has the following variation:
  - [...] and performs what has been ordained for him, then observes silence until the *imam* finishes his sermon... (*Sahih Muslim* 1:283).
- 6. Another natration of Abu Hurayta and Abu Sa'id al-Khullti y contains the following variation:
  - [...] and performs what Allah & has ordained for him, then observes silence when the *imam* appears... (Sinan Abj Dawid 50 U).

7. Nubaysha al-Hudhali narrates from the Messenger of Allah 29:

When a Muslim performs ghust (ritual bath) on Friday, approaches the masjid without inconveniencing anybody; and if he finds that the imam has not yet appeared, he engrosses himself in prayer for as long as possible; and if he finds the imam present, he sits silently and fistens attentively until the imam completes the Friday prayer... (Musnad Ahmad).

Imam Haythami states regarding the above hadiih that "Imam Ahmad has marrated this hadith and its narrators are those of Sahih al-Bukhari except for the *shaykh* [reacher] of Ahmarl, who is trustworthy" (*Majma*" *al-zawa'id* 2:171).

None of the above hadiths mention that it is virtuous or even permissible to perform prayer once the *imam* has appeared for the sermon. The reason why this has been prohibited was previously stated; it is due to the *musalli's* inability to attentively listen to the *imam's* sermon and ro the verses of the Qur'an he is reciting.

#### THE COMPANIONS AND FOLLOWERS ON THIS ISSUE

- It is related from 'Abdullah ibn 'Abbas and Ibn 'Umar & that
  they disliked any prayer or conversation on Friday once the imam had
  appeared [to deliver the sermon] (Masannaf Ibn Abi Shayba 2:124).
- 2. It is natified from Ihn 'Umar & that he would remain in prayer on Friday, and when the *imam* would appear he would srop praying (Musannaf Ibn Abi Shayba 2:124).
- 3. 'Uqba ibn 'Amiı has been reported as saying that prayer while the *imam* is on the pulpit [minbar] is a disobedience [ma'siya] (Sharh Ma'ani 'l-athar 1:370).
- It is marrared from Ibin Shihab al-Zuhri than
  a person [who enters the masjid on Friday while the imam is delivering
  the sermon] should sit down and not engage himself in any prayer (Sharh
  Ma'ani Tanhar 1369).

#### 5. It is nurrated from Khalid al-Hadlidha' that

Ahu Qilaha arrived while the timam was delivering the semion. He sal down and did not perform any prayer (Sharh Ma'ani 'I-athar 1:369).

#### 6. Abu Malik al-Qurazi narrates that

the "stuting" of the intern on the pulpit [minbar] signals an end to all player, and his "sermon" [signals an end] to all talking (Shath Ma'ani Lathar 1:370).

# 7. Ihrahim al-Nakh'ay says,

'Atqama was asked, "Do you speak while the *imam* is delivering the sermon or after he has arrived [ro deliver it]?" He said no (*Sharh Ma'ani l-athar* 1:370).

# 8. It is related from Mujahid than

he disliked to pray while the thum was delivering the sermon (Sharh Ma'ani V-athar 1:370).

Another important point is that the angels have also been reported to wrap up their registers as soon as the sermon begins. The following hadiths reveal that as the *imani* begins his sermon, the angels pur away their records in order to listen to the sermon.

9. There is a narration of Abu Hillayra & in Sabih al-Bukhari, as well as in other collections, regarding the angels recording the names and times of the worshippers arriving for the sermon on Friday. Towards the end of this hadirh, the Messenger of Allah & says,

Thereafter, when the imam appears, the angels wrap up their records and begin to listen to the admonition [dhikr] (Sahih Muslim 1:283, Sahih al-Bukhani 1:227, Sunan al-Nasai 205).

#### 10. A narration from Abu Umama & states:

When the imam appears, the records [of the angels] are put away (Majma' al-zawaïd 2:177).

11. A narrarion from Abu Sa'id al-Khudri 🚵 states:

When the muezzin calls for prayer [adhan] and the imam sits on the pulpit, the records [of the angels] are wrapped up, and they enter the masjid listening attentively to the admonition [dhikr] (Majma' al-zawa'id 2:177).

- 12. In his commentary on *Sahih Muslim*, Imam Nawawi has stated that the same (i.e. that no prayer during the sermon) was the practice of 'Umar, 'Uthniun, and 'Ali & (*Sharh Sahih Muslim* 1:288).
- 13. 'Allama Shawkani states that the great hadith master Zayu al-Din 'Iraqi has related the same practice from Mulummad ibu Sirin, Qadi Shurayh, Ibrahim al-Nakh'ay, Qarada, and Zuhri.
- 14. Ibii Abi Shayha has also reported this opinion from Sa'id ibn al-Miisayyib, Mujahid, 'Ata', and 'Urwa ibn al-Ziibayr.

These narrations highlight and further establish the position of the Hanafis on the issue of prayer during the Friday sermon. Their opinion is that it is impermissible to perform salas while the sermon is in progress.

# Analyzing the Seemingly Contradictory Hadiths

#### Jabir 45 narrates:

Sulayk al-Gharafani arrived on Iriday and sar down while the Messenger was delivering the sermion. The Messenger ordered him to stand and perform two ruk'ats and to make them short (Salith Mushm 1:287).

This hadish is used by shose who claim that it is permissible to perform two *rak'ats* during the sermon. This however is very difficult to accept due to the following reasons:

(a) This hadith cannot stand as evidence for prayer being permissible at the time of the sermon, because it speaks of a lone and isolated incident. It was only once that the Messenger & ordered somebody to rise and perform two rak'ats during the sermon. In fact, there are a number of narrations which state that the Messenger & ordered people to sit down during the sermon.

There is one hadith about a desert Arab [a'rabi] who had come to Allah's Messenger is 10 complain about drought, then had appeared a week later 10 complain about heavy flouds. This person arrived during the Friday sermon, but the Messenger is did not command him to perform two rak'ats. Anas is narrates:

A person entered [the musjid] on a Friday from the door opposite the pulpir upon which the Messenger & was delivering the sermion. He faced the Messenger & and said, "O Messenger of Allah, properties have been destroyed and the pathways blocked. Pray to Allah that he sent us rain." The narrator says that the Messenger & raised his hands and prayed, "O Allah, grann us rain." It began to rain, and, by Allah, we flid not see the sun for a week, Thereafter, the person arrived through the same door the following Friday while the Messenger & was delivering the sermon. He faced the Messenger & and said, "O Messenger of Allah, property have heen destroyed and the pathways blocked. Pray to Allah to stop the rain" (Sabih th-Bukhari 1:137).

Another mirration tells us that the Messenger & once observed a person during the sermion who was hurrying over people's shoulders. The Messenger & told him:

Sii, for you have inconvenienced [the people] (Sunan al-Nasaî 207, Abi Dawad).

It is quite clear that the Messenger & did not older him to perform any prayer, but told him to sit down quickly. In another natration of Jabir &, it states:

[On one occasion] the Messenger & positioned himself on the pulpit and said, "Be seared." Ibu Mas'ud & [who had just entered] sat flown instantly by the door of the *musjid*. When the Messenger & saw him he said, "Come forth, O 'Abdullah ibu Mas'ud" (Sinan Abi Dawud 156).

Again, the Messenger & did not order him to perform prayer, but instead told him to come forth and sit. A hadith in Sahih Muslim states:

'Umar & was once delivering the sernion when 'Uthnian & arrived, 'Umar

-& admonished him for not leaving performing the titual bath [ghust], but did not order him to perform any prayer (Sabih Muslim 1:280).

None of these incidents indicate a command for prayer while the sermin is in progress. In fact, they instruct that one should sit down while the *imam* is delivering the sermon, which proves that the one occasion on which the Messenger ordered Sulayk al-Ghatafani to stand and pray was due to another reason. The hadith of Sulayk therefore cannot be used to prove the desirability of prayer during the Friday sermon. The full account of Sulayk al-Ghatafani's incident is as follows:

Once, while the Messenger swars sirring on the pulpit waiting to begin the sermon, a Companion named Sulayk ibn Hudba al-Gharafani swho had on very torn and worn clorhing entered the masjid. The Messenger safter seeing his poverty-stricken state, ordered him to stand and pray. He did this so the other Companions could also observe his condition. The Messenger swarpers then the other Companions had taken a look at him, he encouraged them to contribute to him, which they did with open hearts.

One can clearly see that this was a very special circumstance, in which the Messenger & ordered Sulayk & to stand up and pray so that his condition would become known to the Companions. Consequently, this command cannot be classified as generally applicable as it was issued only once to this particular Companion.

(b) The above explanation should be sufficient to understand the true nature of the incident. Another explanation mentioned by some scholars is that the Messenger is gave the order to pray before commencing the sermon and then waited silently until the Companion had completed his prayer. The Messenger is did not recirc of say anything while Sulayk is prayed, as is understood from a hadith in Sahih Muslim:

Sillayk al-Ghatafani & entered the maijid on Friday while the Messenger 
was sitting on the pulpit [and had not yet stood for the sernion]? 
(Sahih Muslim 1:287).

It is a proven fact that the Messenger of Allah & would delivet his setmons standing. Hence, for him to be sitting down (as the natration states) means that he had not yet begun the setmon; so Sulayk's prayer was not performed during the Messenger's & setmon but before it. This point is further substantiated by Imam Nasa'i's inclusion of this narration under a chapter entitled, "Chapter in Prayer Before the Setmon." This clearly indicates that according to Imam Nasa'i, this incident took place before the sermon had begin.

- (e) There are some narrations, however, which indicate that the Messenget & had already begin the sermon when Sulayk & entered. The meaning of these narrations is that he was just about 10 begin the sermon when Sulayk & walked in.
- (d) There are also other naturations which mention that Allah's Messenger & interrupted his setmon and temained silent until Sulayk finished his prayer. The narration in Masannaf Ibn Abi Shayba contains the following words:

The Messenger &, when ordering the Companion to perform two rak'ats, discontinued his sermon until he had finished the two rak'ats (Musaumaf Ibn Abi Shayba 2:110),

and the natration of Daragutni contains the following words:

Anas & narrates that a person from the Qays tribe entered while the Messenger & was delivering the sermon. The Messenger & told him to stand up and perform two rak ats and discontinued the setmon until the person completed his prayer (Sunan al-Daragustai 2:15 U).

This means that the Companion lad completed his salat and was no longer engaged in it while the Messenger ## was delivering his setmon.

(e) Yet another explanation for this incident is that, since the Messenger shall intertupted his settion and begun to converse with him, the prohibition of talking or praying was lifted and Sulayk had to no longer adhere to the command "temain silent and listen."

Therefore, for him to perform two tak'ats while the Messenger remained silent (and waited for him) was permissible. Ibn al-'Arabi has offered this explanation and considered it must accurate.

(f) It has been already mentioned that the Messenger & ordered Sulayk & 10 tise and perform the prayer so as to expose his poverry-stricken state in front of the Companions. In this regard, a mattation in Sunan al-Tirmidhi and al-Nasa'i from Abu Sa'id & states:

A person entered the *masjid* in a shabby state (Sunan al-Tirmidhi 1:93 U, al-Nasai 1:208 U).

(g) Another point that should not be overlooked liete is that for the two rak'ats to be considered tahiyyat al-masjid, they must be offered immediately upon entering the masjid and prior to sitting down. However, we find in some versions of this narration that Sulayk & had sat down upon his attival, after which the Messenger & had instructed him to stand and pray. The narration in Sahih Muslim states: "Stand up and pray," (Sahih Muslim 1:287) and another narration states:

Sullayk sai down without praying, and the Messenger & asked him if he had performed two nak'ats? He replied that he had not, so the Messenger ordered him to stand up and perform two nak'ats (Sahih Muslim 1:287).

This proves that he was indered to stand in and intay in order to reveal his condition to the other Companions.

When the above points are taken into consideration, it makes it quite difficult to claim that tahiyyat al-masjid was permitted at the time of the sermon. The incident of Sulayk & was a unique and isolated one, and not one instructing the whole *Umma* to play at that time, especially when there are other natrations that clearly prohibit its performance.

2. Another seemingly contradictory narration is as follows:

Jabir & narraies that ouce while the Messenger of Allah & was delivering the sermon he said, "When you [enter the masjid] and find the imam

delivering the sermon..." or [he said] "[...] and find that the *imam* has arrived [for the sermon], you should perform two *mk'ats''* (Sahih al-Bukhari 1:156).

This is another narration that is used to establish the desirability of tabiyyat al-masjid at the time of the sermon. The same words are narrated by Imam Muslim in his Sabib as part of the narration of Sulayk al-Ghatafani & (Sabib Muslim 1:287).

It can be said that this natiation is in contradiction with the command of the Holy Quir'an and many other rigorously authenticated hadiths that have already been mentioned above. Many explanations have been offered in order to remove the conflict between this hadith and the hadiths of prohibition. One explanation is that the phrase, "ilelivering his sermon," in the natration, actually means, "about to hegin the sermon." (i.e. the *imam* was sitting waiting to begin the sermon). This is one way of reconciling the narrations so that no contradiction remains.

Otherwise, the second way to deal with this issue is to leave it as an independent rigorously authenticated natration in conflict with the other rigorously authenticated natrations of prohibition; and determine, in the light of the principles of hadith [usul al-hadith], which of the natrations are more superior and stronger. The result of such an analysis would be that the hadiths of prohibition presented by the Hanafis are stronger for a number of reasons:

- (a) The narrarions used by the Hanafis are of a prohibitive nature (i.e. they prohibit the prayer at a particular time), whereas this narrarion (hadith 2) is of a permissive nature. One of the principles of hadith [usul al-hadith] is that when there is a conflict between hadiths, a hadith prohibiting something is considered superior to one that permits it. Therefore, since the hadiths presented by the Hanafis are of a prohibitive nature, they are considered superior to those hadiths which are of a permissive nature (i.e. hadith 2).
- (b) The narrarious of prohibition presented by the Hanafis are more

in harmony with the implications of the above mentioned Qur'anic verses, which prohibit anything that would distract a person from listening to the sermon.

- (c) The narrations presented by the Hanafis are substantiated by the practice of many of the Companions and Followers (may Allah be pleased with them all), as has been previously detailed; whereas this narration, if raken as an independent narration, is only supported by the lone narration of Sulayk 45.
- (e) There is greater eaution in acting upon the hadiths prohibiting tahiyyat al-masjid at the time of the sermon than upon those permitting it, since tahiyyat al-masjid is not considered an obligatory prayer in any opinion. While holding it permissible, neglecting it would not be considered a sin. However, if one were to pray thiring the sermon while holding the view that it is prohibited, he would be considered sinful for going against what is believed to be a prohibition.

#### Conclusion

Many narrations state that the Messenger & had discontinued his setmon while Sulayk & performed his prayer. What would happen today if many people began to arrive late, and worse still, all ar different times (as is to be observed nowadays in the masjids)? How many times and for how long would the imam remain silent, and when would he be able to complete the sermon?

The Hauafis have taken all rhese aspects into consideration in forming their opinion. They have adhered to the hadiths of prohibition and have answered and explained all the seemingly conflicting narrations. Their view has also been fully substantiated by the statements of various Companions and Followers. Therefore, we can safely conclude that after taking all the above points into consideration, it will be prohibited to perform two rak'ats of tahiyyat al-masjid after the imam has started his sermon.

# 11

# The Number of Rak'ats in Tarawih

FOR ABOUT twelve hundred and fifty years, until the 20th century, there was little controversy surrounding the issue of how many rak'ats are to be performed for tarawih. There was a general consensus among Muslim scholars that tarawih is no less than twenty rak'ats, and some scholars were even of the opinion that it was more than twenty rak'ats. Until recently, there was also no mention of any masjid in which less than twenty rak'ats were performed or of any scholar holding such a view. It has only been in the last hundred years that some people have begun insisting that the tarawih prayer consists of only eight rak'ats. The practice of the Companious [sahaba], Followers [tabi'in], and other scholars (may Allah he pleased with them) who proceeded them has always been of performing twenty rak'ats.

A consensus [ijma'] was reached among the Companions at the time of the Leader of the Faithful [Amir al-muiminin] 'Umar ibn al-Khariab & that tarawih was twenty rak'ats. He had appointed Ubay ibn Ka'b & to lead the people in twenty rak'ats, as is understood from authentic reports. He was not met with any refination of argument concerning this agreement; neither from the Companions who had performed tarawih with the Messenger \$\mathscr{B}\$, not from any of the wives of the Messenger \$\mathscr{B}\$, If it had been a practice he had innovated himself, it would have most certainly been rejected and refused by the Companions and household of the Messenger \$\mathscr{B}\$. This chapter

rliscusses the issue in detail, and establishes that the correct number of rak'ats for tarawih is indeed twenty.

#### OPINIONS OF THE SCHOLARS

Imam Abn Hanifa, Imam Shafi'i, and Imam Ahmad are unanimous that twenty rak'ats are to be performed for tatawih during Ramadan. There are different opinions recorded from Imam Malik: one states twenty rak'ats; another is of thirty-six rak'ats, about which Imam Malik said, "This is our former opinion;" and a third view is of thirty-eight tak'ats. There is also an opinion which states forty-one rak'ats (Bidayat al-mujtahid 1:210). 'Allama 'Ayni has mentioned the second view of thirty-six rak'ats to be Imam Malik's more popular opinion.

What becomes clear at this point is that none of the four prominent imams held a view of tarawih being less than twenty rak ats. Twenty is the minimum number memioned, and the reason for Imam Malik's view of thirty six rak ats is that it was the practice of the people of the noble city of Makka to perform tawaf [circumambulation] of the Ka'ba after every four rak'ats of tarawih. During the pause between each four tak'ats of tarawih, the people of the illuminated city of Madina would observe an extra four rak'ats of prayer in place of the tawaf [see al-Mughni 2:167].

Therefore, since taraus'h was performen as twenty rak'ats, consisting of five sers of form rak'ats (each set called a "tarwiha"), the people of Madina would perform an extra form rak'ats after every tarwiha, bringing the total number of extra rak'ats to sixteen. Sixteen extra rak'ats plus the twenty rak'ats of tarawih make thirty-six rak'ats. Hence, the actual number of rak'ats of tarawih was twenty even according to Imam Malik.

# Tavawih During the First Generations

For centuries, ever since *tamusib* came to be observed in congregation, no less than twenty *rak'ats* were performed by the Muslims throughour the Islamic world. Nafi', a prominent *tab*'i' states, "I never found

any one performing less than thirty-nine tak'ats (three of which were witt). "Nafi' remained in Madina for most of his life and passed away in 117 A.D. (Fath al-Bati' 4:254 U). At that time, the number of rak'ats observed for taunuih in Madina were thirty six (twenty rak'ats tarawih and sixteen superenogatory [naft] rak'ats).

Thereafter, Imam Shafi'i states, "I observed the people performing thirty-nine rak'ats in Madina [which include three witr], and twenty three tak'ats in Makka." Imam Shafi'i was born in 150 A.H. and passed away in 204 A.H. Hence, this report accounts for the second ectuarry of Islam. Furthermore, Ibn 'Abd al-Bart states, "Twenty rak'ats was the opinion followed by the majority of scholars, including those of Kufa, Imam Shafi'i, and most other jurisis." This specifies that, throughout the earlier period of Islam, the minimum number of rak'ats performed in taratvih was twenty.

Sufyan al-Thawri (died 161 A.H.) and Imam Abu Hanifa (died 150 A.H.) in Kufa both held the opinion of twenty rak'ats. Imam Ahmad ibn Hanixil of Baghdad (died 235 A.H.) held the same opinion as did Dawrid al-Zahiri (flied 270 A.H.). 'Abdullah ibn al-Mubarak (flied 181 A.H.), one of the prominent scholars of Khurasan, also held the view of twenty tak'ats (Bidayat al-mujtabid 1:210).

From the above, one can comfortably conclude that the predominant view of the scholars from Makka to Khurasan and beyond was of *tarawih* heing twenty *mk'ats*. There is not a single opinion of eight *tak'ats* to be found through this extensive period, neither from the great language nor from any other jurist.

The mass of people who follow the Hanafi, Shafi'i, Maliki, and Hanbali schools of thought, and who constitute the majority of the *Umma*, have until today adopted the view of twenty rak'ats for ranawih. In the two sanctified sites of Islam—Makka and Madina—twenty rak'ats are performed in congregation for tarannih until today. It was not until approximately a century and a half ago, that the first arguments were made, after the consensus teached by 'Umat &; claiming that tarannih was only eight rak'ats and not twenty.

Innam Tilmidhi, well known for recording in his Sunan the various opinions held by different scholars in jurisprudential [fighi] Issues, does not mention so much as even a weak opinion of tanwih being eight rak'ats when discussing the issue. If there had been an opinion of eight rak'ats concurrent among the earlier scholars, he would not have failed to mention it. [See Sunan al-Tirmidhi 1:166]

# Absence of Authentic Narrations Concerning the Number of Rakats in Tarawin

Another point to be taken into consideration in this issue is that many scholars state that there are no authentic [sahih] and direct [marfit] chains of natration (from the Messenger 🖄) mentioning the exact number of rak'ats performed by him in tarawih.

# 1. Shaykh al-Islam Ibn Tayıniya writes;

Whoever assumes that there is a fixed number of rak'ats reported from the Messenger of Allah & concerning taratrih, and does not accept any greater or lesser number, has erred (Majnut' al-fatawa 46 U, Mirgas almafatih 3:381).

# 2. 'Allama Subki writes:

Let it be known that it has not been narrated as to how many rak'ats the Messenger of Allah & performed during those nights [iti congregation], whether they were twenty or less (Tubfat al-akbyar 116 U).

# Allama Suyuti says,

The scholars have differed on the number of mk'ats [in tarawih]. If it [the number] had been established through the practice of the Messenger of Allah &, they would not have differed [regarding it [(al-Masabilt 42 U).

#### 4. 'Allama Shawkani writes;

What has been understood from the hadiths in this chapter is the validity of the nightly prayers of Ramadan, and that they can be performed either in congregation or individually. However, to confine the prayer known

as tarawih to a sripulared number of rak'ats... is not understood from the Sunna (Nayl al-awtar 3:53 U).

#### 5. Mawlana Wahid al-Zaman states:

There is no fixed number [of rak'ats] for the prayer in the nights of Ramadan, i.e. tarawih (Nazl al-abrar 1:126 U).

The scholarly statements mentioned above clearly establish that there are no authentic narratious stating that Allah's Messenger performed a fixed number of rak'ats for tarawib. Hence, this strikes down the claim that the Messenger only performed eight rak'ats and that to perform anything besirles eight is a "reprehensible innovation" [bid'a], as claimed by some.

There are however a handful of weak reports which inform us of the number of rak'ats performed by the Messenger is in tarawih. For instance, there is a narration of Ibn 'Abbas is which states that the Messenger is performed twenty ruk'ats. Although the liadith scholars have classified this natiation to be weak, it could still be used as evidence, because it is supported by the consensus of Companions and the practice of the whole Umma, generation after generation, for more than twelve hundred years.

Other weak reports from the Messenger # on this issue that are not substantially supported by the practice and statements of the pious predecessors, will be rejected. One must understand though that even if the narration of Ibn 'Abbas # is rejected, the scholarly consensus [tjma'] reached by 'Umat #—which established that tarawih was twenty rak'ats—would be sufficient evidence to prove that tarawih is indeed twenty rak'ats.

The leason why there are no authentic and direct reports from the Messenger & concerning the number of rak ats in tarawih, is that the Messenger & performed the prayer in congregation for a few days only, after which he performed tarawih in the confines of his home. Hence, many of the Companions did not observe him performing the prayer. Thereafter, the prayer continued to be performed individually

or in small groups until the time of 'Umai &, when he appointed an imam to lead everyone in twenty rakats. Thus, it came to be performed as twenty rakats in a large congregation. The few Companions fortunate enough to have observed it with Allah's Messenger \$\tilde{\pi}\$ in congregation did not voice any objection to the decision of 'Umar &. If the Messenger \$\tilde{\pi}\$ had performed more or less than twenty rakats on any of the nights during Ramadan, these Companions would surely have refuted 'Umar's \$\pi\$\$ decision for establishing tarawih as twenty rakats.

#### THE HADITHS ON THIS ISSUE

Since it has been established that there are no authenticated hadiths from the Messenger & regarding the number of mk'ats in tanawih, all that remains in terms of proof for tanawih being twenty mk'ats is the agreement of scholars with 'Umar's & decision; for once this is established, the Umma must follow it wholeheartedly as it is incumbent on Muslims to follow the tulings of the Companions &.

## t. 'Irbad ibn Sariya 🐇 narrates [rliai the Messenger 🐉 said]:

Keep to my Sunna and the Sunna of the guided Caliphs who followed the right way [al-khulafu' al-rashidin al-mahdiyyin]. Hold fast to it, and cleave onto it with your rectli (Sanan Ahi Dawud 2:287, al-Tirmidhi 2:97, Iba Maja 5).

First, the Messenger of Allah & very strongly instructed, "Keep to my Sunna and the Sunna of the guided Caliphs who followed the right way." This means that the rightly guided Caliphs must also be followed in their rulings, just as the Messenger & is to be followed. Umar &, being the second rightly guided Caliph, is the one who pur forth rhe verdict that tarawith was to be performed as twenty rak ats, which the Companions unanimously agreed upon. Due to the above hadith, his decisions will have to be accepted just as if it had come from the Messenger & himself.

Second, it should also be remembered that the amount of rak'ats

stipulated by 'Umar & could have only been acquired from the Messenger & himself. This is obviously assumed because the number of rakats for any prayer cannot be determined through one's own preference, but rather must be set by Allah & through His Messenger . For 'Umar & to have ruled on this matter and not have received any objectious from the Companion regarding it, indicates that the number of rakats performed by the Messenger & in tarawih was twenty. Ibn 'Abbas's & narration (mentioned earlier) confirms that the Messenger & performed twenty rakats.

'Umar & determined the number of rak'ats of tarawih to be twenty and appointed Ubay ibn Ka'b & to lead the people in congregation. This then remained the practice of the Muslim *Umma* throughout the caliphate of 'Uthman and 'Ali &, and then on and on for twelve hundred years. Hence, it will be necessary to follow suit. Some of the following hadiths mention this in more detail.

## 2. 'Ahd al-Rahman ibn 'Ahd al-Qari relates:

One night during Ramadan, he went until in the masjid with 'Umat ibn al-Khanab &. People were scattered around in groups. One person was praying alone, whereas another was leading a group of people in grayer. 'Umat & remarked, 'If I could have them all congregate behind one imam it would be better.' He then made a firm commitment to do so and had them all pray behind Uhay ibn Ka'b &.

'Abd al-Rahman states that he went out with him again on another night and found the people congregated behind their *imam*. Upon seeing rhis, 'Uniar & remarked, "How great an innovation this is" [ni'mat al-bid'atu hadhibi, i.e. a practice that has been revived] (Sahih al-Bukhari 1:269, Mumatta Inaan Malik 42).

The Messenger & had performed the tarawih in congregation for a few days and then discontinued it for fear of it turning into an obligation on the Umma. It then remained like this throughout the caliphate of Abu Bakr &, who remained occupied with the many issues that arose in his time. Thereafter, 'Umar & revived the practice and had everyone perform twenty rak'ats tarawih behind one imam.

He called it a good practice saying that if it was an innovation, that it was indeed a good one. This practice was then continued rhroughout the generations.

Hadith 1 above makes it clear that a reptchensible innovation cannot be attributed to 'Uniat & ot any of the other three Caliphs. Regatding 'Umar's & statement of the practice being such a "wonderful innovation," 'Allama Tibi writes:

'Umar & was referting to the praiseworthy deed of encouraging the prayer and reestablishing the congregation after it had not been observed during the caliphate of Abu Bakr &, even though it had been observed for a few days in the time of the Messenger in this mannet. However, the Messenger is had discontinued it for fear of it becoming an obligation [fired] on his Umma. 'Umar & was aware of this and established this procedure as a summa for time to come [i.e. as a summa mulikkada, not a fired]. Hence, for him is the reward of this tradition and the reward of all who observe it mutil the Day of Judgment. (Firth al-Mulhim 2:319)

This clatifies that 'Umar's & practice was in line with that of the Messenger of Allah &, Because Abu Bakt &, fur most of his caliphate, remained occupied with the important task of dealing with the apostates and those who either claimed prophethood after the Messenger's & death or demanded certain radical changes in the religion, many issues that were under dehate in his time were clarified during the time of 'Umar 4.

# 3. Yazid ibn Khusayfa nattates Sa'ib ibn Yazid as saying:

They would perform eventy mk'ats tarawih during the month of Ramadau in the time of 'Uniar & and they would recite the chapters containing a hundred or so verses [mi'in]; and during the time of 'Uniaran ibn 'Affan & they would lean on their staffs from standing [for so long]" (Sunan al-Baylragi 2:496).

The narrators of this hadith have all been tigorously approved as 'Allama Nimawi confituns in his Athur al-sunan. This hadith is cleat evidence that twenty mk'ats were observed duting the time of 'Umar & as well as duting the time of 'Uthman &.

#### 4. Yazid ibii Riiman relates:

The people would perform twenty three rak'ass during Ramadan in the time of 'Umar &. (Sunan al-Bayhagi 2:496, Muwana Imam Malik 1:71)

Although this is a rigotimisly authenticated hadith, it is *mursal*, or one with a bruken chain. However, this does not alter its effectiveness for a number of reasons:

- (a) By consensus of the hadith schulars, mursul narrations can be used as evidence.
- (h) This is a hadith natrated by Imam Malik, and it is an established fact that the *mursal* narrations of Imam Malik in his *Muroatta* rank alongside his *mausul* natrations (i.e. those with unbroken chains).
- (c) There are many other mursal and mawful narrations which strengthen this one; for instance, hadish 2 above.
- (d) Shab Waliyullah writes that Imam Shafi'i said:

The most authentic book after the Qur'an is the Mowatta of Intam Malik, and the hadith scholars are unantinous that all its natrations are authentic according to the judgment of [limain] Malik, and all its mustal narrations reach the Messenger in some way or another (Hujjatullah al-baligha 1:106 U).

#### 5. Yahya ibn Sa'id nattaics thai

'Umar ibn al-Khattalı - sappoitted att imatit to lead them in twenty rakitis (Musannaf Ibu Abi Shayba 2:393).

#### 6. 'Abd al-'Aziz ibn Rafi' narrates that

Ubay ibn Ka'h & would lead the congregation in twenty rak'nts tarawih in Madina during Ramadan, followed by three [rak'nts] witr (Musannaf Ibn Abi Shayba 2:393).

# 7. 'Ata' teporis:

I found the people observing twenty-three rak'ats, which included witr (Musamaf Ibn Abi Shayba 2:393).

#### 8. Abu Khusayb narrares;

Suwayd ibn Ghafala would lead them in prayer during the mouth of Ramadan. He would perform five tartorihas [sets of four rak'ats]—twenty mk'uts [in all] (Sunan al-Bayhagi 2:496).

#### 9. Nafi' ibii 'Umar narrares that

Ilin Abi Milayki wiilid lead ihem in twenty rak'ats prayer during Ramadan (Musannaf Ibn Abi Shaybii 2,393).

## 10. Sa'id ibn 'Ubayd narrares thar

Ali ilin Rabi'a would lead them in five tarmihas [i.e. twenty rak'ats] and three witr during Ramadan. (Musannaf Ibn Abi Shaybn 2:393)

#### 11. Ibn 'Abbas & relates;

The Messenger & would perform twenty rak'ats during Ramadau and three rak'ats wit. (Musannaf Ibn Abi Shayba 2:394)

This hadirh may be weak since a narrator in its chain, Abu Shayba Ibrahim ibn 'Uthman, has received some criticism. However, as mentioned earlier, since the *Utimit* has adopted the same number of tak'ats for the greater part of history, it will not be totally rejected but rather used as supplementary evidence.

12. It has been narrared from Shurayr ibn Shakl (a companion of 'Ali &) thar

he would lead rhem during the month of Rantadan in twenty rak'ats [tarawib] and three rak'ats wite. (Sunan nt-Bayhaqi 4:496)

#### 13. Minhammad ibn Ka'b al-Qurazi says,

The people would perform twenty rak'ats in the month of Ramadan during the caliphate of 'Umar &. 'They would lengthen the recitation and perform three mk'ats with (Qiyan al-layl 91 U).

14. A'mash reports rhar 'Ahdullah ibn Mas'ud & would perform twenty rak'ats [tarawih] and three rak'ats witr (Qiyam al-layl 91 U).

All of these reports mention the rak'uts of tarawih as being twenty and no less.

## THE OPINIONS OF VARIOUS JURISTS AND SCHOLARS

## 1. The author of Bidayat al-mujtahid, Ibn Rushd, writes:

Inum Malik (in one of his opinions), along wirh Imam Abu Hanifa, Shafi'i, Ahmad, and Dawud al-Zahiri, has preferred that the tarawih performed in the month of Ramadan be twenty rak'nts excluding witr. This al-Qasini reports from Malik that he preferred thirty-six rak'ats with three rak'ats witr [according to another of his opinions] (Bidayat al-tanijahid 210).

2. The great hadith master Imam Tirmidhi presents a derailed report to the various opinions surrounding this issue:

The knowledgeable people have disputed over the number of mk'ats to be performed for tanawh during Ramadan. Some say forty-one rak'ats including min: this is the opinion of the people of Madina and such is their practice. However, the opinion of the majority is that tanawh is twenty rak'ats, and this opinion is more in agreement with the narrations of 'Ali, 'Untar &, and the other Companions of the Messenger &, and it is also the opinion of Sufyan al-Thawri, 'Abdullah ihn al-Mubarak, and Imam Shafi'i. In fact, limam Shafi'i states, "I found the people of my city, Makka, performing twenty rak'ats, "Imam Ahmad states, "There are various reports to be found concerning tanamh, but no exact number is confirmed." Islang states, "We prefer forty-one rak'ats, according to what has been narrated from Ubay ihn Ka'b &." (Sunan al-Tamidhi 1:166)

In such a detailed analysis of the opinions, there is not even a mention of tarawih being eight rak'ats, even as a weak opinium.

3. In his commentary on Sahih al-Bukhari, 'Allama Qastalani writes:

Iniam Bayhaqi has reconciled the various narrarious and concluded that initially the Companions performed eleven inkians for tanawih, after which they performed twenty with three rakiats wite. The scholars accepted the agreenicus on twenty rakiats during the time of 'Umar is as a consensus.

4. In the Maliki figh text, al-Anwar al-sati'a, ir srates:

We say that twenty rak'ats of tarawih following the 'Isha prayer is an emphasized [mu'akkada] sunna during the month of Ramadan, with salams to be made on every second rak'a [i.e. to be performed in two rak'a tinits].

#### 5. Ibn Qudama writes:

It is reported from 'Ali & that he appointed an imam to lead the people in twenty rak'ats tannoth during Ramadan (al-Mughni).

This natration proves that the practice of twenty rak'ats continued on into the time of 'Ali &s.

# 6. The great Shafi'i scholar Imain Nawawi writes:

The number of rak'ats in tarawih temained twenty, since this was constainly accepted century after century.

# 7. It is reported in the Mirqut al-mafatih that Hafiz Ilin Hajar said:

The Companious reached a manifulous verdier that tarnwih was twenty rakins (Mirgas al-mafatth 3:382).

#### 8. Ihn Taymiya states:

This is the opinion most Muslims follow [i.e. of tarawih being twenty rak'ats] (A'zami in his Rak'ate tarawih 92).

# 9. Shaykh Mansur ibn Idris al-Hanbali writes:

Tacawih is twenty rak'ats during Ramadan.

#### 10. Asail ibii 'Amr reports that Imam Abu Yusuf said:

I asked Abn Hanifa regarding tarawih and concerning 'Untar's & tole in it. He in formed me that tarawih is an emphasized summa. It is not something 'Umat & established through his own preference of innovated, but he established it based on some evidence of information he possessed from the Messenger & (Fla' al-suman 46 U).

#### 11. Imam Ghazali writes:

Tarawih is twenty rak'ats, its method is well known, and it is an emphasized sunna (Ibya' ulara al-din 1:139).

#### 12. Sayyid 'Abd al-Qadir Jilan'i writes:

Tatawih is a sunua of the Messenger of Allah is and is twenty rak'ats (Gharyat al-talibin 567 U).

# 13. Imam Nawawi says,

Let it be known that tarawih is a suana by agreement of all the Muslims, and it is twenty tak'ats (Kitab al-Adhkar 83).

# 14. Ihn Tayın iya says,

It has been established that Ubay ibn Ka'b & would lead the people in twenty rak' ats of tarawih throughout the month of Ramadan, after which he would perform three rak' ats of witr. Hence, most scholats have taken twenty rak' ats to be sutura, as Uhay ibn Ka'b & performed this number of rak' ats amidst the Emigrants [truthajirin] and Helpers [ausar] and none tefined him (Fatawa Ibn Tayniya 23:112 U).

# Other Important Points to be Noted

First, it has to be fully comprehended that the amount of nik'ats for any prayer is not something which can be made up and established through one's own intellect and reasoning. It has to come from Allah and His Messenger . Hence, the scholars state that whenever anything of this nature (i.e. that which is not established through human reasoning alone) is reported by a Companion, it will be considered as being directly acquired from Allah's Messenger .

According to the agreement of the hadith scholars, all the Companious are considered trustworthy and legally upright ['adut]. It cannot be helieved that they would introduce a new concept into the religion which is contrary to the principles of the Shari'a. Hence, even though there are no marfu' [directly related from the Messenger is hadiths to be found concerning the number of rak'ats in tarawih, the decision of 'Uniar is of tannoih being twenty rak'ats is accepted. It is believed, as explained by Imam Ahu Hanifa [see hadith to above], that the number was acquired from the Messenger of Allah is and not something 'Umar is established through his own desire.

Second, once the unanimous agreement concerning the number of rak'ats was reached, not a single Cumpanion of the Messenger was reported to have refused it. The Companions who had performed tarawih with the Messenger & (during the few days he performed it in congregation) and who were present at the time of 'Umar &, also did not refuse his decision.

'Umar & did not even receive criticism from the wives of the Messenger's, who constantly observed the Messenger's & tarawih prayer at home after he had thandoned performing it in congregation. This indicates that the Messenger & must have performed twenty rak'ats, and it was because of this fact that the Companious supported 'Umar's & decision to set the tarawih prayer at twenty rak'ats.

Third, 'Allama Halabi has made a very noteworthy point as to why the number of *rak'ats* of *tarawih* may have heen set at twenty. He states:

The summa and namafit [supercrogarory] prayers are supplementary prayers which make up for any deficiencies that may have been left in the obligatory [fard] prayers. The obligatory prayers of the day, along with three rak'ats of with, total to twenty mk'ats. Hence, it is appropriate to have twenty mk'ats of tarawih, so that there is a balance between the two types of prayers, i.e. between the mk'ats of the obligatory prayers and the rak'ats of the supplementary naft or sunna prayers.

# Analyzing the Narrations Concerning Eight Rak'ats

Those who claim the tarawih to be eight rak'ats try to establish this opinion in two ways. One way is by claiming that the Messenger only performed eight rak'ats, and the other is by claiming that 'Umar also ordered only eight rak'ats to be performed; hence, their rejection of 'Umar's & decision establishing twenty rak'ats. We will now look at the narrations which they have used to substantiate these two claims.

1. It is reported from Abii Salama that

he asked 'A'isha & regarding the prayer of the Messenger & during Ramadan. She explained, "The Messenger of Allah & would not perform more than eleven rak'ats, neither in Ramadan not out of it. He would perform four rak'ats, and do not ask of their beauty and length, followed by another four, and do not ask of their beauty and length, after which he would perform three livitr]." A'isha & continued, "I asked, 'O Messenger of Allah, do you sleep before you perform the witr.' He replied, 'O A'isha, my eyes sleep, but my heart does not "Sahib al-Bukhari 1:154).

This hadith is probably the most widely used in claiming that *tarawih* is only eight *rak'ats*. However, there are a number of reasons why this hadith cannot stand as evidence:

(a) The prayer mentioned in the hadith is clearly not tarawih but rather the tahajjud [night-vigil] prayer. Abu Salama's inquiry was regarding whether or not the Messenger of performed any extra rak'ats of tahajjud during Ramadan. 'A'ishu & answered by stating that the Messenger would perform no more than eight rak'ats (uthajjud) throughout the year, regardless of what month it was.

Hence, 'A'isha & was speaking of a prayer that was performed by the Messenger & both in and out of Ramadan, which is why she used the words "neither in Ramadan not out of it." She could not have been speaking about taniwih since tarawih is not performed out if Ramadan. The question of Abu Salama therefore had to be about tahaijud (which is performed throughout the year) and not about taniwih.

What further supports this explanation is that there are some natlations of 'A'isha & which speak of the Messenger & increasing his worship during the month of Ramadan. She states:

The Messenger of Allah & would excu hinself [in worship] during the last ten days of Ramadan more than at any other time (*Sahih Muslim* 1:372).

This narration and many others like it indicate that the Messenger & would perform more prayer in Ramadan than in any other mouth, even though the wak'ats of tahujjud performed by him would remain

constant throughout the year. This means that the increase in worship by him duting Ramadan was through the performance of *tarawih* and other supererogatory prayers. Hence, the nattation of 'A' isha & ahove is concerning *tahajjud*, since the *tak'ats* of his *tahajjud* prayer remained constant in all the months of the year.

- (b) Imam Muhammad ibn al-Nasr al-Marwazi, in his book, *Qiyam al-layl*, has compiled many narrations under a chapter entitled, "Chapter on the *Rak'ats* Petformed by the *Imam* in Ramadan for *Tarawih.*" However, he does not mention the above hadith of Abu Salama in that chapter despite it being a rigotously authenticated hadith of *Sahih al-Bukhari*. Like al-Marwazi, there are many other authors who, in their works, have not mentioned this hadith to be concerning *tarawih*.
- (c) Many compilers of hadith, such as Imam Muslim, Nasa'i, Abu Dawiid, Tirmidhi, Ibn Maja, Ibn Khirzayma, and Imam Malik, have also not included this hadith in their chapters on *tarawih*, despite it being such a tigorously authenticated hadith. Instead, they mention it in their chapters on *tahajjud* or *witr*. Indeed, had this natiation been in regards to *tarawih*, they would have surely included it in their chapters on *tarawih*,
- (d) Furthermote, if this hadith was concetning *tarawih*, then why did 'A'isha &, the narratot, not reject the consensus [*ijma*'] reached by 'Umai &? She could have informed him in some way at the other than the correct number of *tak'ats* for *tarawih* was eight. Thus, the prayer she describes in the above hadith can be note other than *tahajjud*.

Another natration popularly used to claim that the Messenger ## performed only eight rak'ats for tarawib is the following:

#### 2. Jabit 🕸 narrates that

the Messenger # led them in prayer during Ramadan. He # performed eight rak'ats followed by witr (Sahih Ibu Hibban, Ibu Khuzayma, I'la' al-sanan 7:60;7).

The following explanations have been given for this hadith:

(a) This hailith fittnishes details of only one night in which the Messenger & led the congregation in *tarawih* prayer. The following natration is actually more detailed in this regard:

Jabir & narrates that the Messenger & performed eight rak'ats thuring one night of Ramadan followed by witr. The following night, we gathered together in the masjid hoping that he would come out to us. We remained waiting for him until morning, when he [came out and] said, "I did not desire..." or [he said], "I was aftaid that the witr would be made obligatory [yuktabu] upon you (Qiyam al-layl 91 U).

There are many natiations which mention that the Messenger sperformed the tarawih in congregation for three nights then failed to appear on the fourth night [see the natrations of 'A'isha in Sahih al-Bukhari and Muslim]. However, the above natration indicates that the congregation took place for one night only and that the Messenger did not turn up on the second night; which means that hoth are concerning two different occasions.

Other differences between it and 'A'isha's & other natrations is that Wisha's other natrations do not mention the number of nakats performed for tarawih (despite those natrations being so widely transmitted) whereas this one does. Also, the other natrations of 'A'isha mention that Allah's Messenger & was fearful of tarawih becoming obligatory on the Umma whereas the hadith of Jabit & mentions he was fearful of witr becoming obligatory. Hence, Hafiz Ibn Hajat al-'Asqalanti has hesitated in accepting this natration to be concerning the same incident mentioned in the other natrations (Fath al-Bari 2:12 U).

 that "he is not strong" [laysu bi dhaka]. Likewise Imam Nasa'i, Abu Dawud, 'Uqayli, Saji, and Ibu 'Adi all have grave statements to make about him, either rejecting his nattations outtight of labelling him as weak. Only Ihu Hibban and Abu Ziu'a have not criticized him. However, since the criticism of the former group is vety severe, it will take precedence over the opinious of the latter in determining his status as a nattator.

Hence, his narrations are weak and cannot be accepted as evidence for the claim of tarawih being eight rak'ats; even more so, in that no one else has reported that the Messenger & performed eight rak'ats in congregation duting those nights he performed it in emgregation (A'zami in Rak'ate tarawih 28).

(c) Sume scholats have explained that even if the hadith were to he accepted, it would only inform as to the number of rak'ats the Messenger & performed in enngregation, and does not negate the possibility that the Messenger & could have performed the ternatining twelve rak'ats at home. Jahir & does not negate this possibility eithet, but merely informs us of the number of rak'ats that the Messenger & performed in congregation with the Companions, before retiring to the confines of his home.

There are also other narrations of Jabit & on this issue which mention that the Messenger of Allah performed eight rak ats in congregation; however, since they are all narrated through 'lsa ibn Jariya, they are all to be classified as weak and not to be used or related as evidence.

# 3. Sa'ib ibn Yazirl relates:

'Umar & appointed Ubay ihn Ka'b and Tamim al-Dari & to lead the people in cleven rak'ats (Minoatta Imam Malik 1:71).

This is the narration presented to substantiate their second claim that Uniat is ordered only eight rakints to be performed for tanawih and that there was never a consensus on twenty. However, this claim is even weaker than the first due to the following reasons:

- (a) This hadith has been related from Sa'ib ibn Yazid & by two people—Muhammad ibn Yusuf and Yazid ibn Khusayfa. Five people have then related it from Muhammad ibn Yusuf. However, all five tepons ate different from one another, even though each one relates it from the same person. Due to its inconsistency and conflicting nature, this narration cannot stand as evidence to prove that tarawih is eight mak'ats. The different reports from Muhammad ibn Yusuf are as follows:
  - (1) The version mentioned above, transmitted by Imam Malik, mentions eleven rak ats, but does not mention Ramadan.
  - (2) Yaliya ibn Qaitan's version mentions that 'Umat & brought the people together behind Tamim al-Dari & and they would petform eleven rak'ats. It dues not mention 'Umat & issuing any specific command on the number of rak'ats, not does it mention the month of Ramadan.
  - (3) The version narrated by 'Abil al-'Aziz ibn Muhammad simply mentions that they performed eleven *rak'ats* during the caliphate of 'Umat &. There is no mention of any specific command or of Uliay ihu Ka'b &, Tamim al-Dari &, or Ramailan.
  - (4) Ibn Ishaq's report mentions that they would perform thinteen rak'ats in Ramadan during the period of 'Umar &. It does not speak of 'Umar's & instructions, Ubay ibn Ka'b, or Tamim al-Dari &.
  - (5) Lastly, 'Abd al-Razzaq's version describes 'Umar & commanding that twenty-line rak'ats be performed instead of eleven.

Some versions of this narration niention eleven rak'ats, others thirteen rak'ats, and one also mentions twenty-one. So what is the teason for choosing the version of eleven rak'ats over the rest? In fact, the great Maliki jurist Ilin 'Ahd al-Batt has given prefetence to the narration of twenty-one rak'ats and called the nattations of eleven to be an "etroneous assumption" [wahm] (Rak'ate tarawih 39). Hence, the version

of twenty rak'ats has been adopted in light of these and other similar factors that only serve to strengthen its authenticity.

(b) The other narrator of this hadith from Sa'ib ibn Yazid shi sayaid ibn Khusayfa, and Yazid's two students, Ibn Ahi Dhi'b and Muhammad ibn Ja'fat, telate this natration from him [see hadith 3 in "The Hadiths on This Issue" above]. All versions of this natration through Yazid ibn Khusayfa are manimous in mentioning twenty rak'ats; and Imam Nawawi, 'Itaqi, Suyuti, and others have judged its chains [asnad] to be strong and reliable.

Hence, the question is: Why would the version of Muhammad ibn Yusuf mentioning eight rak'ats be adopted, despite it being so confusing and inconsistent in its mention of the number of rak'ats, and the version of Yazid ibn Khusayfa be abandoned despite it being consistent? Justice would demand that the narrations of Sa'ib ibu Yazid through Yazid ibn Khusayfa be accepted since they are consistent and have been classified as rigorously authenticated by many scholars; and that the nattations through Muhammad ibu Yusuf, because of their confusing nature, be interpreted and reconciled with those of Yazid ibn Khusayfa.

(c) Some scholars have reconciled the virtious vetsions of Sa'lb ibn Yazid's & narration by stating thar 'Unat & initially ordered eleven rak'ats to be performed but their changed his decision to twenty after learning that it was the more cotteet view. Nobody refused his decision, and twenty rak'ats continued to be performed for the most part of Islamic history.

Imam Bayhaqi, after menrioning the eleven and twenty rak'ats nartations, states;

It is possible to reconcile the two types of marations because the Companions would [initially] perform cleven rak'ats in congregation after which they began to perform twenty rak'ats and three witr (Sunan al-kubra li 'l-Bayhaqi 2:496).

Imam Bayhaqi makes the same point at anothet place in his *Sunan al-kulma*. Many other scholats have also provided similar explanations. Ibu Habib Maliki writes:

It was initially [performed as] eleven mk'ats, but they would prolong the recitation in them, which proved difficult in the people, so they increased the number of rak'ats and shortened the recitation. They would perform twenty rak'ats excluding witr (Tuhfat al-akhyar 192 U).

#### Conclusion

It is only recently that some people have emerged with the opinion of only eight rak'ats being sunna for tarawih. Some have even gone as fat as saying that performing any more than eight rak'ats would be considered a "teptehensihle innovation" [bid'a] (may Allah forbid).

None of them have been able to produce a single example of any masjid in the world in which a tarawih congregation of less than twenty rak'ats was held duting the first twelve hundred years or more of Islam. Likewise, not a single scholar from among the pions predecessors [salaf salihin] held an opinion of eight rak'ats. Can the opinions of contemporary menths prefetted over the scholarship and opinions of those who enjoyed a greater proximity to the fountain of Propherhaud?

Also, how does one overlook the fact that over a petiod of twelve lundred long years, nobody had any dispute with tegards to the rak'ats of tarawith heing twenty? How absuted it is to call it a teptehensible innovation in religion when 'Umar & himself teached an agreement with the Companions on that amount, and his decision was made through what he must have acquired from the Messenger & himself. Neither the Companions not the household of the Messenger tefrited him. He then remarked as to "how wondetful a practice he had revived" [ni mat al-bid'atu hadhihi], since people had not performed it in a large congregation during the time of Abu Bakr ...

It can rherefore be concluded quite easily that since there has been an agreement among the four limains and the overwhelming majority of scholars of this *Umma* concerning tarawih being twenty rak'ats, it is considered the sunna amount.

# 12

# Combining Two Prayers

THERE ARE HADITHS which state that the Messenger of Allah & would combine two obligatory [fard] prayers together while travelling. The hadiths explain how he would alight from the back of the animal and perform Maghrib followed by 'Isha, and then resume his journey. There is a difference of opinion regarding the interpretation of these hadiths, i.e. exactly how he performed the two prayers together.

The Hanafis offer the following explanation. Although the Messenger of Allih & performed the prayers one after anorhic, he actually performed each prayer in its own time. For example, when combining Maghrib and 'Isha, he would stop a short time prior to the end of Maghrib and would perform the prayer. Then as soon as the rime of 'Isha would enter, he would perform 'Isha and then resume his journey.

Other seliolars offer the explanation that the Messenger of Allah would perform both Maghrib and 'Isha in the time of 'Isha (i.e. after Maghrib had expired).

The meritod offered by rhe Hanafi school of performing the first prayer toward the end of its time and the second prayer immediately after, at the beginning of its time, is known as jam al-suri of "apparent combining" in the terminology of the jurists [fuqaha']. The method of performing two obligatory [fard] prayers in one prayer time is known as jam al-haqiqi of "real combining."

There are many hadiths which describe combining two prayers. According to Hanafi scholars, the most suitable explanation is that if jam' al-suri, wherein each prayer is performed in its own time. The Hanafi approach in explaining this issue is in total agreement with the Qur'an and hadiths, both of which emphasize each prayer being performed in its town stipulated time. On the contrary, the jam' al-haqiqi approach leads to great conflicts between the Qur'an and hadiths.

By the end of the chapter, it will become evident that the Messenger the never combined two prayers together by actually moving one into the time of the other. It is also important to remember that performing Maghrib and 'Isha in the time of Maghrib, and Zuhr and 'Asr in the time of Zuhr, is known as jam' al-taqdim or "advanced combining," since one of the prayers is performed before its time. Combining them at the time of the later prayer is known as jam' al-ta'khir or "delayed combining," because one of the prayers is delayed from its specific time.

# THE VARIOUS OPINIONS

One opinion is that it is permissible to perform *jani al-haqiqi* if one is undertaking a hurried journey. The second opinion is that *jami al-haqiqi* is permissible when undertaking any type of journey; whether it be hurried or relaxed. For some, it is also permissible in the event of heavy rainfall, and some stare that is also permissible in the event of illness.

The view of Iniam Abii Haiifa is quire simple. According to him, the jam' al-haqiqi method is not permissible except at 'Arafat (during the pilgrimage), where advanced combining takes place between Zuhr and 'Asi, and at Muzdalifa, where the pilgrims perform delayed combining between Maghrib and 'Isha. The practice of advanced and delayed combining at these two places is established through the consensus of the scholars. Hence, the Hanafis do not permit jam' al-haqiqi except in these two instances. They have interpreted

the hadiths which mention the combining of two prayers to be jam' al-suri. This type of combining is permissible at all times, as there can be no doubt concerning the permissibility of two prayers performed in their own times.

# THE QUR'AN ON THIS ISSUE

# 🗆, Albih 🐉 says,

"Verily the prayer is enjoined on the believers at fixed hours [times]" (al-Qur'an 4:103).

This means every prayer has an appointed time with a beginning, prior to which the prayer is not valid, and an ending, after which the prayer is not to be delayed; otherwise it will become a *qada*' or missed prayer. Hence, this verse indicates the importance of performing each prayer in its own time.

# 2. Allah ﷺ says,

"Guard strictly the [five obligatory] prayers" (al-Qur'an 2:238).

This verse is also quite clear about performing prayers at their appointed times and not delaying them.

# 3. Allah & says,

"So wee unto those worshippers [hypocrites] who are negligent in regards to their prayer" (al. Qur'an 107:5).

A group of scholars state that this verse is admonition for those who delay the prayers beyond their appointed times.

# 4. Allah & says,

"Then, there succeeded them a posterity who gave up prayers" (al. Qur'an 19:59).

According to a group of scholars, the words, "who gave up prayers," mean those who delay the prayers beyond their stipulated times.

In short, these verses of the Qur'an verify that delaying any prayer is undesirable and extremely disliked. Delaying the prayer has been portrayed as a trait of the hypocrites [munafiqin]. For this reason, the hadiths, which seem to inform that the Messenger & delayed prayer, thus be interpreted in a way that corroborates these verses, in order to remove the notion of undesirability from the Messenger's practice. The only way this can be achieved is by taking the combining mentioned in them to mean "apparent combining." Now we will look at a few hadiths which are quite explicit in their prohibition of taking a prayer out of its time.

#### THE HADITHS ON THIS ISSUE

1. Abii Miisa 🚓 narraies thai ilic Messenger 👼 said:

Combining two prayers together without any valid reason is from the major sins [kabaïr] (Musanuaf Ibn Abi Shayba 2:459, Al-Ta'liq al-sabih 2:124).

Thus, combining prayers would not be pennissible even in the event of a journey or rain, just as other major sins are not maile permissible in such circumstances.

# 2. It is narrated from 'Umar de that

he wrote to the people Jaround the Islamic world] prohibiting them from combining two prayers together. He informed them that combining two prayers together was a major sin (al-Ta'liq al-sabih 2:124).

## 3. 'Abdullah ibn Mas'nd 🕹 narrares:

I never observed the Messenger of Allah perform any prayer out of its time except at Muzdalifa. He combined Maghrib and Isha at Muzdalifa (Sahih al-Bukhari 1:227, Sahih Muslim 1:417, Sharh Ma'ani bathar 1:164).

# 4. In another narration Ibn Mas'tid 46 states:

The Messenger of Allah & combined two prayers while on a journey. He would combine Maghrib and 'Isha by delaying Maghrib until just before

its expiry time and performing 'Isha immediately as its rime entered (Musamtaf Ibn Abi Shayba 2:458).

### 5. 'A'isha & narraies:

The Messenger of Allah &, while on a journey, would delay Zuhr and perform 'Asr early and would delay Maghrib and perform 'Isha early [i.e. perform each prayer in its own time] (Sharh Ma'ani 'I-athar 1:164, Musaunaf Ibn Abi Shayba 2:457).

## 6. It is related that Ibn 'Abbas & said:

I performed eight nakias together [four of Zuhr and four of 'Asr] and seven nakias together [three of Maghrib and four of 'Isha] with the Messenger of Allah & [One of the narrators says.] "I asked Abit 'I-Sha'tha', "I assume he delayed Zuhr [to the end of its time] and performed 'Asr as soon as it entered, and delayed Maghrih [likewise] and performed 'Isha early." He replied, "I also think the same" (Sahih Muslim 1:246, Musannaf Ibn Abi Shayba 2: 456).

This hadith from Sahih Muslim is very precise in its description of combining two prayers. The method described by the narrator is jam' al-suri.

# 7. Imam Abu Dawiid has transmitted the following report:

The miezzin of 'Abdullah ibn 'Umar & informed him it was time for prayer. Ibn 'Umar & instructed him to continue on the journey. When the red of sunset [shaftaq ahmar] had nearly disappeared, he got off from his month and performed Maghrib. Then he waited until the red had completely disappeared and performed 'Isha. He then said, "Whenever the Messenger of Allah & was in a hurry for some reason, he would do just as I have done" (Sunan Abi Dawud 1:178).

As we can see, the method of *combining* mentioned in the above hadiths is none other than that of *jam' al-suri*. It is an agreed upon method which no one disputes. How can there be an objection to two prayers being performed together in a way that does not cause them to be performed either before their stipulated time or after it? Undoubtedly, this is not only the safest method of combining two

prayers, but it is also the most suitable way to explain the halliths on the subject of combining.

It is also common knowledge that the Fajt prayer should not be performed before its time or intentionally delayed beyond it. Similarly, other prayers should not be performed out of their stipulated times either, especially not while considering it to be sunna. This indicates that the sunna method of combining two prayers is jam' al-suri, as has also been substantiated through the Qur'an and hadiths. This is the Hanafi opinion in this issue.

If it were permissible to practice *jam' al-haqiqi* in the event of travel of illness, then why is it confined to some prayers only? Why is it not permissible to perform all the prayers of the day togethet in the morning before departing on a jointney? The teason for this is quite simple. The practice of combining mentioned in the hadiths is not to be taken as *jam' al-haqiqi* but as *jam' al-suri*, whetein each prayer temains in its own time, hur all prayers are performed one after another.

#### THE HADITHS ON COMBINING PRAYERS

In the fullowing, we will analyze some hadiths that are notinally presented to establish the permissibility of *jam' al-haqiqi*.

#### t. Ibn Umai & namates:

Whenever the Messenget of Allah & would undertake a hurried journey, he would combine Maghrill and 'Isla (Sahih Muslim 1:245).

This narration is sometimes used to prove the permissibility of "teal combining," wheteas it just mentions that the Messenger of Allah combined two prayers and does not mention that jam al-haqiqi was performed. The Hanafis have explained that the Messenger performed "apparent combining" and not "teal combining," since the formet is a method agreed upon by all scholats.

In this hadith, since Ihn 'Umat & does not mention the actual method of combining, we turn to hadith 7 above—also a narration

of Ibn 'Uniai &—where he expounds on the method of combining prayets. The method he describes in that natiation is notice other than jam'al-suri, so it will be taken as a commentary for this nattation.

# 2. Nafi' repotts:

Whenever 'Abdullah ibu' 'Uniai & had to travel in a huity, he would combine Maghiib and 'Isha after the red twilight of sunset disappeared. Ibn 'Umai & stated that whenever the Messenger & was forced to travel in a huity, he would also combine Maghiih and 'Isha (Sahih Muslim 1:245).

# 3. 'Abdullah ibn 'Umai 🕹 narrares:

Once the Messenger & had to travel quickly due to some emergency in his family. He delayed Maghrib mutil the red twilight had disappeared, then got off his animal and combined the two prayers. Thereafter, 'Abdullah ibn 'Umat & informed everyone that this was the practice of the Messenger & whenever he had to travel in a hurry (Sunan al-Tirmidhi 1:124).

These two hadiths seem to be in apparent conflict with the opinion of the Hanafis. However, in reality, if they are undetstood correctly, they would be found to be in total agreement. This is due to the following reasons:

(a) Firstly, there are two types of *shafaq* or "twilight:" one is the tedness [ahmar] seen in the sky after sunser, and the second is the whiteness [abyad] that remains for a short while after the redness disappears. 'Allama 'Ayni states:

It is possible that the twilight referred to in the narrations is the red one. [In the Hanafi school] there are two views regarding the expiry of Maghrib time. Some say it ends when the redness disappears, and others say it ends when the whiteness disappears. Therefore, if the Messenger & performed both prayers immediately after the redness had disappeared, it means he performed Maghrib during the whiteness, i.e. within its stipulated time (according to the view that Maghrib ends after the whiteness has disappeared), and he also performed 'Isha within its stipulated time (according to the view that Maghrib ends with the disappearance of the redness after which 'Isha begins) [('Umdat al-qari 3:568)].

- (b) Another explanation, mentioned in al-Ta'liq al-sabih, is that these hadiths have been natrated with various differences. Some contain the addition, "the tedness was close to disappearing." This indicates that one of the natrators may have become slightly confused regarding the exact wording due to the various reports, so he finally reported it in the words, "after the tedness had disappeared," according to his speculation. This means that in reality it was just priot to the ending of the red twilight that the Messenger # performed Maghrib, which means it was jam' ul-suri.
- (c) Another teason for prefetting jam' al-suri is that since the hadiths of lbn 'Umat & on this issue are inconsistent and do not maintain a fixed expression, it would be more preferable to tegard hadith 7 above (also narrated by him) as the commentary for the various transmissions of his report. That hadith makes it clear that the method of combining used by the Messenger \*was "apparent combining." Hence, the combining mentioned in the tentaining hadiths of 'Abdullah ibn 'Umar will also be considered to be "apparent combining."

# 4. Mu'adh 🕸 nairates thai

duting the expedition of Tabuk, whenever the Messenger st would set out before the sun declined from its meridian, he would delay Zuhr and perform it [just prior to its expiry time] with 'Asr, and when he would depart after noon he would perform 'Asr early by combining it with Zuhr [i.e. Zuhr at the end of its time and 'Asr as soon as it entered], then he would continue his journey. Whenever he would depart before Maghrib, he would delay it and perform it with 'Isha [i.e. in their respective times], and if he set out after Maghrib he would perform 'Isha early by combining it with Maghrib (Sunan al-Tirmidhi 11124, Sunan Abi Dawnd 11178).

The following points have been made about this narration:

(a) 'Allama 'Ayni states regarding this nattation:

This hadith was rejected by Imam Abu Dawud, and it is also reported from him that there is no clear hadith to be found concetning the performance of a prayer before its stipulated time.

- (b) Another problem is the strong criticism of Husayu ibn 'Abdillah, a narrator in this hadilli's chain, by the hadith experts [mnhaddithin]. lbn al-Madini says, "I have abandoned his reports." Imam Ahmad states, "He has defects." Ibn Ma'in calls him weak [da'if]; and Nasa'i says, "His narrations have been rejected,"
- (c) Even if the hadith were accepted for a moment to be authentic, it would still be considered as describing *jam' al-suri* for various reasons. It is indicated in the hadith that the Messenger & would delay the first prayer to the end of its time and perform the second one immediately thereafter in its own time. The fullowing two natrations of Ihn 'Abbas & suggests the same explanation:

The Messenger & performed Zuhr and 'Asr rogether and Maghrib and 'Isha together without [heing in the state of] fear or travel.

The Messenger sp combined Zuhr and 'Asr together and Maghrih and 'Isha together in Madina without [heing in the stare of] fear or rain (Sahih Muslim 1:246).

These natratious speak of the Messenger strong the prayers even though the circumstances were not of feat, rain, or travel. These are the main three circumstances under which one can perform jam' al-haqiqi according to many scholars besides the Hamfis.

So was he performing *jam'* al-haqiqi, as some like to say, even though none of the valid reasons for doing so were present? The correct explanation we could offer here is that these narrations of Ibn 'Ahbas &, as well as the other narrations on this issue, do not speak of the Messenger & performing *jam'* al-haqiqi at all; but tather to his performance of *jam'* al-suri.

## Conclusion

It could be safely concluded that the Hauafis have followed a safe path in explaining the leadiths in this issue. Their explanation does not contradict the badiths or Qur'anic verses that strictly enjoin that

prayer be performed in their own times. They interpret the liadiths of *combining* to be based ou *jam' al-suri*, wherein two prayers are performed one after another—the first prayer at the end of its time and the second prayer immediately thereafter, at the beginning of its time. This seems to be the safest and most uncontroversial approach to adopt in light of the many narrations on this issue.

On the other hand, taking the various natrarious to be based on jam' al-haqiqi—wherein one prayer is intentionally delayed and performed in the time of the other, or the later prayer is performed in advance during the time of the earlier prayer—will cause these hadiths to contradict the verses and hadiths that encourage prayers to be performed in their own times. Furthermore, those who allow jam' al-haqiqi have also stated that it is superior not to combine the two prayers but to perform them separately in their own respective times.

# Glossary

A MIMAT AL-RIJAL, Leading authorities and expetts in the scintiny of hadith matrators.

'ALLAMA, Great learned schular,

'Arafa. Ninth day of Dhu 'l-Hijja [last month in the Islamic calcudat].

'Arafat. Expansive plain approximately thirteen miles from Makka, Here pilgrims remain standing in prayer to Allah & for some time, Zuhr and 'Ası prayers are combined here with the condition that the huam of the Mitslims is present. The masjid located to this plain is called Masjid al-Namira.

'Ast. Late afternoon prayer, performed after an object's shadow (minus the length of its shadow at the sun's zenith) is twice as long as the object.

BAYTULIAH. The Ka'ba, House of Allah 🐉 in the Sacred Preciner (Haram) in Makka.

Bint: Daughter.

DAYF. Weak, a hadtth in which there is some defect; either in the chain of transmission; or in the proper understanding of the narrator; or its contents; or because it is not in perfect agreement with Islamic beliefs and practices. It is a hadith of less reliable authority.

DiN. Religion (Islam).

FAJR. Prayer performed between true dawn and suntise.

FAQIII (pl. fuqaba'). Islamie intist.

FARD. Obligatory divine command that is established through decisive proof [dalil qat'i]. One who neglects a fard injunction without any valid excuse is termed a transgressor [fasiq] in Islamic Shari'a, and one who rejects a fard in junction is considered an unbeliever [kafir].

FATWA (pl. fatawa), Formal legal ruling issued by a competent jutist.

Fiqu. Islamic law or jurisprudence.

HADD'tt. Literally, a piece of news, a story or a report relating to a present or past event. In the technical sense, it refers to the reports of the words, deeds, and approvals of disapprovals of the Messenger of Allah ...

HAFIZ. Hadith master, one who has memorized one hundred thousand hadiths by hearr. Also used for one who has memorized the entire Qur'an.

HANAFI. Follower of the Hanafi school of Islamic law,

HANBALL. Follower of the Hambali school of Islamic law.

HARAM. Forbidden, prohibited, unlawful. The status of somerhing being completely unlawful under Islamic law and established rhrough decisive [gat7] proof. See also MARRUH.

HASAN. Approved or sound, similar to a rigorously authenticated hadith [sabib] but of a slightly lower differee.

IBN. Son.

IJMM', Consensus. Ofrem used to refer to the complete agreement among the Companions of the jurists regarding a particular juridical issue.

IJTHIAD. An ability of the intellectual understanding by which the subtleties, implications, finth points, mysteries, wisdom, and causes of the laws [abkam] of Islam are ascertainerl.

Ilm. Sacred Knowledge.

IMAM. Derived from the Arabic word "to learl," Imam is widely used for the leader of the prayer of the leader of a school of thought to Islantic law.

1sha. The night prayer, performed after the redness of sunset disappears.

JAHRI BRAYER. Prayer in which rhe Qur'an is recired aloud (e.g., Fajr, Maghrib and 'Isha).

JAM AL-HAQIQI. Real combining—combining two fard prayers in the time of one.

JAM' AL-SURI. Apparent combining—combining two prayers by performing the first prayer at the end of its time and the second prayer at the beginning of irs time. JAM' AL-TA'KHIR. Delayed combining—combining Maghrib and 'Isha rogether in the time of 'Isha and combining Zultr and 'Asr together in the time of 'Asr.

JAM' AL-TAQDIM. Advanced combining—combining Maghrib and 'Isha together in the time of Maghrib and combining Zuht and 'Asr at the time of Zuhr.

Jrimu'a, Friday.

KUFA. An important city of Iraq founded by 'Umar &.

Ma'LUL Defective narration.

Марянав (µl, madhabib), School of Islamic religious law.

Maiitna Munawwara. Illuminated city of the Messenger of Allah & and second holiest city of Islam (located in Arahia, today known as Saudi Arabia).

Magnetis. Evening prayer performed after sunset.

МАККА. Holiest city of Islam and home to the Masjid al-Haram and the Ka'ba (located in Arabia, today known as Saudi Arabia).

MAKRUH. Disliked. Status of something that is undesirable [tanzihi], and sometimes reproachable [tahrimi], under Islamic law burnot to degree of being unlawful [hanam] (due to being established through speculative [dhanni] proof). See also Haram.

MALIKI. Follower of the Maliki school of Islamic law.

MARFU'. Chain of transmission that teaches to the Messenget 35.

Mashhur Harlith which is handed down by at least three reliable authorities; or, according to another view, a hadirh which, although widely dissentinated later, was originally transmitted by one person in the first generation.

MAWDU'. Fabricared and spurious harlith arrributed to rhc Messenger of Allalı 🕏.

Mawqur. Chain of transmission that dors not teach the Messenget & but enris at a Companion.

Mawsut. Unbroken chain leading to the narrating anthority.

MUFASSIR Exegere or elucidator of the Holy Qur'an,

MUHADDITH. Hadith scholar.

MUNFARID. Person performing prayer alone.

MUNKAR Disowned or denied hadith.

MUQTADI. Person performing prayer behind an imam in congregation.

Mursat. Hadith narrated by a Follower [tabi'i] or someone after him directly from the Messenger & without mentioning the authority in between.

Musaria. Person performing prayer,

MUSTAHAB. Preferred practice.

MUTAWATIA Event or statement reported by such a vast number of people in every generation that it is impossible for it to contain any falsehood.

MUZDALIFA. Place near Makka between the plains of 'Arafat and Mina—also known as al-Mash'ar al-Haram. Pilgrims camp there for the night on their return from 'Arafat.

NAPL Supererogatory practice.

QADA. Missed prayer that must be made up.

QibiA. The direction of the Ka'lia in Makka inwards which Muslims face in prayer.

QIRA'A. Recitation (normally of the Holy Qur'an).

QIYAM. Standing posture of prayer.

QA'DA. Sitting posture of prayer.

Rax'A. Unit of prayer consisting of a standing and bowing posture and two prostrations.

Rυκυ'. Bowing posture of prayer.

Saimt. Hadish rigorously ambenticated in its text [main] and transmission.

SAIDAT AL-TILAWA. Prostration performed after reciting a verse of prostration.

SHAFAQ AHMAR Red evening twilight appearing in the sky after sturset.

SHAFAQ ABYAD. Soft white light appearing after the red evening twilight disappears.

Straff't, Follower of the Shaff'i selroul of Islamie law,

SHARI'A. Islamie Saered Law.

SIRRI PRAYER. Prayer in which the Qut'an is recited silently (e.g. Zuhr and 'Asr prayers).

STWIK. Toothstick from the liranches or roots of shribs having known autibacterial properties.

SUJUDAL-SAINV. Prostrations of forgerfulness—performance of two additional prostrations after completing the *inshabbud* in the final *rak'a*, done to compensate for certain defects in the performance of prayer.

SUNNA. Precedent and eustom; the actions and practices of Allah's Messenger A. The second source of Islamic sacred knowledge called hadiths. Also used for acts of the category between wajib and musiahab. See also HADETH, WAJIR and MUSTAHAR.

SUNNA MATRUKA. Early practice of the Messenger of Allah & that he later aliandoned.

SUNNA MUAKKADA. Emphasized practice of the Messenger of Allah 🙉 or his Companions that cannot be left out without valid reason.

SUNNA MUSTAMBERA. Permanent or continuous practice of the Messenger \$4.

SURAT At-FATTETA, Opening chapter of the Qur'an; also known as Until al-Qur'an.

SUTER. Stick of similar object placed in front of a person performing prayer, so that a passerly may pass ourside the object and not directly in front of the worshipper.

Tim't. Follower or Successor—one who saw the Companions [sahaba] while in the state of faith (iman) and then died in that state.

The 'AL-TABI'M. Follower of the Followers—one who saw the Followers during their lifetimes in the state of faith.

TAISIR Explanation, commentary, or exegesis of the Holy Qur'an.

Tahiyyar at.-Masjid. Welcoming the-masjid prayer—two tak'ats performed thou entry into the masjid prior to sitting down.

TABBIR TAHRIMA. Opening takbir of prayer (e.g. Allahu akbar).

TiQLID. Following reliable ambority in the affairs of Islamic law (esp. one of

the four Imams). See also MADILIAB.

Thenwith. Twenty rak'ats of sunna prayer performed after the fard of 'Isha during the month of Ramadan.

Такwiнa. Brief interval observed after every four mk'ats of tamwib.

'ULAMA (sing, 'alim). Islamic scholars well-versed with Islamic sciences.

UMMA. Comprinity.

UMM AL. QUR'AN. Opening chapter of the Qur'an known as al-Fatiha.

USUI. AL-HIQH. Principles or roots of jurisprindence.

Usur ль, нашти, Principles or roots of badith.

WAJIB. Divine command established through proof that, although very strong [dalil zanni], is of a lower category than absolute proof [dalil qat'i]. One who neglects or rejects a unjib injunction is termed a transgressor [fasiq].

Withit. Ritual abhition for prayer.

ZHER. Noon prayer performed just after the sun has left its zenith.

# Bibliography

- Abii <sup>1</sup>-Hasan, Muhammad. *Tanzim al-ashtat*. Karachi, Pakisian: Darul Ishan.
- at-Asbahi, Malik ibn Anas. al-Muwatta. Muhan, Pakisian: Makiaba Farugiyya.
- al-'Asqalani, Alimad ibn Hajar, Futh al-Bari bi sharh Sahih al-Bukhari. Cairo, Egypi: Dai al-Rayyan.
- A'zami, Habib al-Rahman Ahu 'l-Ma'athir. Rah'ate Tarawih. Gujranwala, Pakistan: Qadri Kutub Khana.
- al-Bayhaqi, Abii Bakr Ahmad ibii al-Hiisayn, *al-Sunun al-kubra*. Firsi edition. Makka Miikarrama: Dar al-Baz.
- Bipouti, Muhammad Yusuf. Ma'arif al-sunan. Karachi, Pakistan: Makiaba Rashidiyya.
- al-Bukhari, Mulrammad ibn Isma'il. *al-Jami' al-Sahih.* Karachi, Pakistan: Qadimi Kutub Khana.
- al-Daraqiiini, Abu 'l-Hasan 'Ali ibn 'Umar. al-Sunan. Cairo, Egypi: Dar al-Mahasiii.
- al-Dhahabi, Abn Abdillah. Mizan al-i'tidal. First edition. Beititt, Lebanon, Dat Iliya' al-Kutub al-'Arabiyya, 1964.
- al-Gujrati, Muliammad Tahir al-Patrani. Majura' bibar al-anwar. Third edizion. Madina Munawwara, Saudi Arabia: Makraba Dar al-Iman, 1415/1994.
- ——. al-Mughni fi dabti asuua' al-rijal. Lahore, Karaelii, Pakistan: Idaza Islamiyyai.
- al-Hakim al-Naysaburi, Miihammad ibii 'Ahdillah. al-Mustadrak 'ala 'l-Sahihayii. Beirui, Lebanon: Dar al-Ma'rifa.

- al-Haythami, Nur al-Din. Mujma` al-zawaïd wa mauba' al-fawa'id. Beirur, Lebanon: Dar al-Kirab.
- al-Hilali, Milhammad Taqi al-Din and Milhammad Milhain Khan. Interpretation of the meaning of The Noble Qur'an in the English Lunguage. Riyadli, Kingilom of Sandi Arabia: Maktaba Dar al-Salam, 1993.
- al-Humaydi, 'Alıdullalı ibn al-Zubayr. *al-Musuad.* Makka Mukarrama, Sandi Aralia: Dar al-Baz.
- Ibii Abi Shayba, 'Abdullah. al-Kitah al-Musannaf fi 'I-hadithi wa 'I-athar. Second editiun. Bomhay, India: Dar al-Salafiyya, 1399/1979.
- Ibn Kathir, 'Imad al-Din. al-Bidaya un 'I-mihayu. Beirm, Lebanon: Maktabat al-Ma'arif.
- llin Qirilama al-Maqdisi. Ahniad ibn 'Abd al-Rahman', *al-Mughii*, Beiriit, Lehanon: Dar al-Kirab al-'Arabi.
- Ihn Tayntiya, Ahusul ilin 'Abd al-Halim. Majimi' al-Fatawa. Sandi Araliu: Published by the Custodian of the Hatantayn.
- Jalandhry, Khayr Mithanimad. *Bis tarawih ku thubut*. Karachi, Pakisian: ktara Da'wate Islam and Makraha Sharifa.
- Khandelwi, Muhanmail Zakariyya. Avjuz al-Masalik ila Muwatta Malik. Saharanpur, India: al-Maktahar al-Thuiyya.
- Khaudelwi, Muhammad Idris. al-Ta'liq ul-sahib. Deolrand, India: al-Maktaba al-Fakhriyya,
- al-Khazraji, Safi al-Din Alimad ibn 'Abdillah al-Ansari al-Yamani. Khulaan Tadhhib Tahdhib al-Kamul fi usmu 'al-rijul voi 'ulayhi Ithaf al-khassa bi tashih ul-Khulasa. Halab, Syria: Makçahar al-Matbu'ar al-Islumiyya.
- Lanc, E. W. Arabio Biglish Lexicon. Cambridge, England: Islamic Text Society.
- Liidhyanwi, Mihaurinad Yusuf. Ikhtilafe mamat aur simte mustaqiin. Deobaiid, India; Makiaba Thanawiyya.
- al-Manhaji, Alin Muhammad 'Ali ibn Zakariyya, ul-Lubub fi 1-jum' buyn al-Summuti wa 1-Kitub. Damascus, Syria: Dar al-Qalam and Beirur, Lebanon: al-Dar al-Shamiyya.
- Mirathi, Muhammad Faruq. Rif'e yadnyn awr qira'ate Fariba khalf al-imam. Mirath, India: Makraba Mahmudiyya.

- al-Nasa'i, Abu Abd al-Rahman Ahmad ibn Shu'ayb, *al-Sunan al-Sugra (ul-Mujtaba)*. Karachi, Pakisran: Qadimi Kurub Khana.
- al-Nawawi, Abii Zakariyya Yahya ihii Sharaf. al-Adhkar al-muntakhab nint kalami Sayyid al-abrar. First edition. Beitur, Lebanon: Dar al-Khayr, 1411/1990.
- al-Naysahuri, Muslim ibu al-Hajjaj. al-Sahih. Karachi, Pakistan: Qadimi Kutub Khana
- Nazir, Muhammad. Ashraf al-tawdih. Third edition. Faisalabad, Pakistan: Makiabat al-'Arili, 1414.
- al-Nimawi, Multan, mad ilin 'Ali. *Athar al-* sunn*n*. Multan, Pakistan: Makiaba lindadiyya.
- Qala'ji, Muhanimad Rawwas and Hamid Sadiq Qa'nabi. Mu'jam Lughat alfiiqaba'. Karachi, Pakistan: Idarat al-Qur'an wa 'l-'ulum al-Islamiyya.
- al-Qari, Mulla 'Ali. Mirgat al-mafatih sharh Mishkat al-masubih. First edition. Lebanon, Beirnt: Dar al-Fikt, 1412/1992.
- al-Qazwini, Abri Abdillah Muhammad ibn Yazid ibn Maja. al-Sunan. Karachi, Pakistan: Qadimi Kurub Khana.
- al-Qurtulti, Ibu Rushd, Biduyat al-mujuhid, Beirut, Lebanott: Dar al-Ma'rifa.
- al-San'ani, 'Alid al-Razzaq, n*l-Musianaf.* First edition. Beirnt, Lebanon: al-Majlis al-'Ilini, 1390/1970.
- al-Shawkani, Muhammad ibn 'Ali. Durr al-Sahaba.
- ———, Nayl al-awtar min aludithi Sayyid al-akhyar. Beitur, Lebanon: Dar al-Jil and Dar al-Fikr.
- al-Shaybani, Muhamunad ibn al-Hasan. *al-Minoan*n. Karachi, Pakisian: Qadimi Kinub Khana.
- Siddiqi, 'Abd al-Hamid. Sahih Muslim. An English Translation. Labore, Pakistan: S. H. Muhammad Ashraf.
- al-Sijistani, Abu Dawnd Sulayman ibn al-Ash'ath. al-Sintan. Multan, Pakistan: Maktaba Haqqaniyya.
- al-Tibrizi, Wali al-Din Muhammad ibn 'Abdillalı al-Klıatib, Mishkat al-Masabih, Karachi, Pakistatı, Qadimi Kutub Khana.

- al-Tahawi, Abu Ja'far. Sharh Ma'ani 'l-athar. Second edition. Beitut, Lebanon: Dar al-Kutub al-'Huriyya, 1408/1987.
- al-Tirmidhi, Abu 'Isa Muhammad ibu 'Isa, al-Sunan [al-Jami']. Karachi, Pakistan: Sa'id Company Limited.
- 'Uthmani, Shabbir Ahmad. Fath al-Mullim sharh Sahih Muslim. Deoband, India: al-Maktabat al-Ashrafiyya.
- 'Utlimani, Muliamniad Shafi', Kashkol, Karachi, Pakistan: Darul Ishagi,
- 'Uthmani, Muhammad Taqi. Darse Tirmidbi. Ninth edition. Karachi, Pakistan: Makraba Dar al-'Ulum, 1413/1992.
- <sup>1</sup>Uthmant, Muhammad Zafar Ahmad Thanawi. Pla' al-siman. Karachi, Pitkistan: Idarat al-Qui'an wa 'ulum al-Islamiyya.
- Weht, Haus, A Dictionary of Modern Written Arabie. Edited by J. Milton Cowan, Beitut, Lebanon: Librarie Du Liban.
- al-Zabidi, Muhanımad ibn Muhammad al-Husayui. Ithaf al-sadat al-muttaqin bi sharh Ihya' nlum al-din. Beitut, Lebanon: Dar al-Fikt.
- al-Zayla'i, Janial al-Din Abu Muliauimad, *Nash al-raya li ahadiih al-Hidaya*. First edition. Cairo, Egypi: Dar al-Hadith, 1415/1995.

# Index of Persons

Al-'Alıdari, Razin 34 'Abdnllab 28, 162 'Abdullab ibn Abi Aivfa 138 'Abdullalı ilin Abi Musa 128 'Abdullah ibn al-Harith ibn Jaz'a 23 'Abdullah ibu Qays 136 'Abdullah ibu Salam 39 'Abdullah ibn Shaddad 73 'Abdullah ibn Unays 23 'Abdullab ibn Zayd iliii Aslam 77 'Abdullalı ibn 'Alıdillalı ibn 'Umai 121 'Alidullalı ilin al-Mubarak 24-25, 26, 181, 189 'Abdullah ibn al-Zubayr 99 'Abd al-'Aziz ibn Intavi 136 'Abd al-'Aziz ibu Multammad 197 'Abd al-'Aziz ilin Rafi' 187 'Alid al-Malik 109 'Abd al-Ralinian ibn 'Abd al-Oaii 385 'Abd al-Raliman ibn Abza 138 'Alıd al-Rahman ilin 'Awf 77 'Abd al-Rahman ibn Azhar 157 'Abd al-Rahman ibn Qnraysh 116 'Abd al-Rahman ibn Zayd 35 'Abd al-Razzaq 27, 93 Abii Bakr iliii 'Abd al-Raliniait 143 Abij Baki ibn 'Ayash III Abii Baki al-Siddiq 37, 76, 77, 105, 111. 139, 185-186, 199 Abri Bishi al-Dillabi 95 Abn 'I-Darda', 'Uwayunir 36, 39, 128, 129-130 Abii Dawiid 58, 68, 82, 160, 194, 196. 205, 208

Abu Hanifa Nu'nian ibn Thabit xvii, 11, 13, 15-17, 21-31, 41, 42, 56, 66, 67, 88, 98, 102, 126, 135, 153, 180-181, 189, 190, 191, 202 Abn T-Hasan xix Abu Hndhayfa 39 Abu Hiimavd 120 Abii Hiirayra 28, 62, 72, 74-75, 84-85, 91, 92, 94, 96, 110, 111, 127, 138, 167, 168, 170 Abu Ilyas 28 Abn Isbaq 29, 109, 110, 111, 128, 141 Abu Ishaq al-Shirazi 134 Abii lamtii 76 Abii Khayihama 138 Abu Klinsavb 188 Abu Mansin 140 Abu Mijlaz 28, 62 Abn Miisa 35, 128, 129, 204 Abn Qilaba 170 Abn Sa'id 175 Abu Sa'jil al-Khndii 72, 161, 168, 170 Abii Salama 135, 155, 192-193, 194 Abu Salili 28 Abu 'I-Sha'tha' 205 Abn Shayba, Ibrahim ibn 'Urhman 188 Abn 'l-Tayyib (Qadi) 134 Abn Untama 140-141, 170 Abu Wa'il 28, 93, 120 Abii Yusiif 23, 26, 27, 28, 31, 42, 66, 67, 190 Abii T-Zanad 140 Abn 'l-Zubayı 28 Abn Zur'a 58, 196 Ahmad ibn Hanbal (Imani) 13, 22, 41, 56. 59, 66, 68, 69, 83-84, 94, 134-135, 154,

Abu Ghalib 140

161, 169, 180-181, 189, 209 Alimail Milhammad Shakir 104 'A'isha 119, 121, 127, 135-137, 141-147, 154-160, 162, 193, 194, 195, 205 'A'isha bini 'l-Ajiad 23 'Ali ibn Abi Talib 37, 38, 60, 61, 77, 93, 96, 99, 105, 109, 111, 138, 141, 143, 162, 171, 185, 188-190 'Ali ilm Rabi'a 188 'Algania 36, 104-105, 110, 111, 114, 115, 140-141, 170 'Algama ibn Wa'il 91 A'niasli 28, 30, 188 'Ammat ibn Yasir 38 'Anti ibii 'Abasa 161 'Ann ibn al-'As 38 'Amı ibii Dinar 28, 29 'Amra himi 'Abil al-Ralinian 137 Auas ibn Malik 22-23, 51, 62, 89, 138, 140-141, 143, 172, 174 al-Ansari, Abii 'Urlinian 128 al-Ausari, Alın Mas'nıl. 28 Asail ilii 'Anir 31, 190 Asad ibit al-Furat 31 'Asim ilm Kulayb 100 Aswad 109, 110, 111, 114 Aswad ibn Yazid 6 'Ata' ibn Yasar 76 'Ata ibit Abi Rahali 20, 80, 140, 171, 187 Awya'i (Imani) 25, 69 'Ayni 161, 180, 207-208 al-'Ayni, Badı al-din xvn, 63, 75 Ayyub ibn al-Nabik 167 A'ganci, Habib al-Rabman 10s.

al-Baghdadi, Khatib 23, 31, 134
Bata' ilin 'Azili 108
Bayhaqi 27, 60, 61, 69, 189, 198–199
Binnoti, Yusuf xux, 47
Bishi ibi Rafi' 94
Bukbari 24, 58, 59, 84, 89, 94, 110, 136, 137, 156
al-Bunani, Thalii 138

Daraqueni 23, 27, 83, 134, 174

al-Dati, Tamim 196, 197 Dhahabi 22–23, 25, 27, 30, 40, 58, 59, 114, 136, 137, 143, 195 al-Dulabi, Abii Bishi 95, 96

Fudayl ibn 'lyad 31

al-Gbarafani, Sulayk 171, 173~177 Ghazali, Abu Hanrid 190

al-Hadlidha', Khalid 170 Hafiz Ibn Hajar al-'Asgalani 22, 39, 51, 58, 89, 142, 149, 150, 156, 157, 190, 195 Hafs ibn Ghiyarb 31 Hakim 22, 27 Hakim (Imani) 136-137 Flalabi 192 al-Hambali, Mansın ibn İdris 196 Hatitle 29 Harirba ibn Mudrib 129 Harrin (Projiber) 89 Hasan al-Basti 129, 130, 139, 140-141, 144 Hasan ilu Ziyad 27 Haskafi (Allama) 46-42 Haythami 161, 169 Hibban ibn 'Ali u al-Himpiani, Yahya 29 Hisbam 83 al-Hudbali, Nubaysha 169 Hudbayfa 28, 35, 38, 39, 128 Hudhayla ibn al-Yanian 143 Hall so Humaydi 10s Husayn ibn 'Abdillah 209

llın 'Abbas, 'Alıdııllab 60, 71, 76, 77, 95, 106, 108, 128, 137, 140–143, 147, 154, 156, 157, 161, 162, 169, 183, 185, 188, 205, 209
llın 'Abd al-Barr, Abn 'Umar 23, 56, 83, 181, 197
llın 'Abidin, Abu Amin 46
lbn Abi 'L'Awam 41

Ibn Abi Dhi'b 108

Ibn Abi Hatini 69

Ibn Abi Layla 108, 110, 111 Ibn Abi Minlayka 188 Ibii Abi Shayba 27, 60, 61, 62, 103, 108, 1bn Abi Sufvan, 'Abd al-Rahman 158 Ibii Abi Za'ida, Yahya ibn Zakatiyya 31 Jlin 'Adi 116, 196 Jbn Asakii 138 Ibn al-Finat, Asad 31 Ibn Habib Maliki 199 Ibu al-Hasan, Hajjaj 62 Ibи Науш 10a Ibit Hibban 27, 60, 94, 161, 196 Ibn Hnbayra 56 Ibn al-Hamam 62, 67 Ibn Isbag 197 Ibn Ishaq, 'Abif al-Ralinian 61 Ibii Isliaq, Miibammad 83 Ibn larir 69 Ibii Karama 31 Ibn Karbir 60, 66 Ibn Khaldnn 29-30 Ibn Kbuzavna, 57-58, 194 Ibn al-Madini 209 Ibn al-Malidi 20 Hiji Ma'in 26, 209 Ibn Maja 58, 194 Ibii Mardawayh 89 Ibn Mas'nd, 'Abdullah xvii, 33-40, 47, 69, 75, 76, 77, 93, 96, 99, 103, 104-105, 100-111, 113-115, 128-130, 138, 139, 140-141, 143, 172, 188, 204 Ibn al-Mubarak, 'Alıdullah 24-25, 26, 27, 119 Ibn al-Mundbir 56 Ibn Miigsim, 'Ubaydullah 76 Ibn Muzahin, Abn Walib Mubammad 25 Ibn al-Oasim 56, 99, 189 Ibn al-Qayyini 87, 95 Ibii Oildama 66, 83, 190 Ibii Ribii, Mubammail 84 Ibn Rafi', 'Abd al-'Aziz 187 Han Rushd 189

Ibn al-Salah 22, 150

Ibn Sama'a, Muhammad 26 Ibn Sirin, Mubammad 77, 171 Ibn Tayıniya 16, 66, 68, 69, 74, 83-84. 182, 190, 191 Ibn al-Tijiknmani al-Mardini 60 Ibn 'Umai, 'Abiliillah 5, 76, 77, 103-104, 105, 106, 111, 113-114, 116, 119, 121-122, 124, 128-130, 138, 141-142, 148, 150, 162, 167-168, 169, 205-208 Ibn Untayya 158 Ibn al-'Ugayli 161 Ibn Wa'il, 'Algama 91 Ibu Yazid, Aswad 6 Ibn Zahir 83 Ibii Zayd ibn Aslam, 'Abdullab 77 Ibn Zavd ibn Thabir, Mnsa ibn Sa'd 77 Incrain ibii Husayn 75, 91, 138 Tragi, Zayı al-Din 22, 99, 171, 198 Tibad ilin Sariya 184 Isa ibn Jariya 195, 196 Isa ibn Musa 24 Isbao 189 Ishaq ibn Ibrabim Raliway (Ralinya) 151 Tsma ibn Mubammad 116

Jabala ihu Subayni 162
Jabii iliu 'Abdillah 23, 28, 73, 76, 82, 171, 172, 175, 194–196
Jabii al-Jn'6 29
Jabii ibn Samnia 106–107
al-Jadali, Abii 'l-Qusini 48
Jalabbadi, Masilinllah 7
Jarii ibn 'Abd al-Hamid 83
Jibril 138
Jilani, Sayyiil 'Abd al-Qadir 191
al-Jubani, Sufyui ibn Muslini 110

Ka'b ibn 'Ujta 108 al-Kaseni, Shu'ayb 41 Kashmiti, Anwai Shah xvii Khaidelivi, Zakariyya xix, 109 Khaifa ilin Zayd 143 al-Khaitabi 161 Khayibana 110 Khwaitami ('Allanta) 24

#### FIQ11 AL-IMAM

Knrayb 157

Layth ibn Sa'ri 69 Ludhyanivi, Ynsiif (Shaykli) xix

Ma'mar şı Ma'qil ibn Yasar 23 al-Madani, Minhammad Abu 'l-Tayyib Gi Makhnl al-Shami 83, 139 al-Makki, Ilin Haizi 23 Makki ibn Ibrahim 24 Malik (Imam) x1x, 13, 25, 41, 56, 66, 69, 83, 88, 98-99, 102, 103, 110, 126, 134-135, 150, 180, 187, 189, 194, 197 Malik ibn al-Hnwayrith 103 al-Manbaji, Abn Multaitint2d 151 Manifal ibii 'Ali 31 Mansur (Caliuli) 24 al-Magdisi, Hafiz 'Alıd al-Gliani 22 al-Mardini, Ibn al-Turkumani 60, 62 al-Marwazi, Muhammad ibu Nasi 99, 194 al-Marwagi, Abn Ishari 56 Masi in ibn al-Aida' 129 Messenger of Allah & (Muliamniad) XIII-XV, XX, 5-9, 11, 22-24, 28, 33-40. 45-46, 48-51, 55-57, 59-61, 63, 69, 71-77, 80, 82-85, 87-88, 90-96, 99-111, 113-116, 117-124, 125, 127, 131, 135-138, 141-152, 153-163, 165, 167-175, 177, 179, 182-197, 199, 201-202, 204-209 al-Misri, 'Abd al-Qadir 23 Misivai ibn Makhgania 139, 157 Mil'adli ibn Jabal 6-7, 39, 208 Mil'awiya ibn Abi Snfyan 158, 162 Mn'awiya ibn Khadij 138 Mn'ammal ibn Isma'il 38 Mughira ibn Mnosim 114 Mulianimed Ilii el-Hasaii al-Sheybeni (Imani) 26, 27, 41-42, 66-67, 93 Mubammad ibu 'Ali 137 Muhammad ibn al-Bisli 24 Minhammad ibn Hnji 59 Miliammad ibn Ja'fai 198 Muhammad ibn Rabi' 83 Muliammad ibn Yisiif 197-198

Mujaltirl 69, 103, 110, 170, 171 2l-Mujintir, Na'im ibn 'Abdillah 110 Musa ilin 'Ugba 76 Musa ibn Sa'd ibn Yayd ibn Thabir 77 Mndin (Imam) 84, 136, 137, 176, 191

Nafi' 76, 114, 180–181, 207 Nafi' ibit 'Umai 188 Nafi' ibit Mahinind 83 al-Nahdi, Abn 'Uthman 128 al-Nahday, Ibiahim 62, 77, 93, 109, 110, 111, 114–115, 120, 139–141, 170, 171 Nasai' (Imam) 47, 58, 82, 94, 174, 194, 196, 209 Navari, Yahya 22, 48, 171, 190, 191, 198 al-Nayashini, Hakini 142 Nazii Ahmad xix Nimawi, Muhamimad Shawk xvii, 58, 59, 91, 186

al-Qari, 'Ali (Mulla) 28
2l-Qari, Altu Ja'f21 110
Qasim ilin Ma'n 31
Qasim ibn Muban mad 121, 141, 143
Qasim ibn Quilubgah 61
Qastalani ('Allarna) 134, 189
Qatada 171
Qattat 156
Qays 110, 111
qays, 10, 111
qays, 10, 111

al-Raqqashi, Yazid 28 Rarvlı ibn al-Musayyih 60 al-Razi, 'Abdullah ibn Ja'f21 23

Sa'iti ibn 'Ulayd 188
Sa'iti ibn al-Musayyib 143, 171
Sa'iti ilin Yazid 162, 186, 196–198
Sa'd ilin Abi Waqqas 77–78, 134
Sa'd ilin Abi Waqqas 135, 136, 144, 146
al-Sahani, Hamza 23
Sa'iti ibn al-Xa 128, 129

Sair 196 Salint 39 Salman al-Faisi 39, 168 al-Sam'ani (Hafiz) 24 al-Samii, Yusuf ibn Khalid 31 Samnia ibn Jillidnb 91, 120 al-Sau'ani, Abu Sa'id 29 Sha'bi 39, 109, 111, 129 Sha'rani 25 Shafi', Muhanimad (Mufii) 42 Shafi'i (Imam) 11, 13, 15-16, 25, 41, 56, 66, 88, 99, 134, 180-181, 187, 189 Shakin, Ahmad Muliammad 104 Shawk211i 35, 51, 171, 182 al-Shuazi, Abu Ishaq 134 Shn'b2 25, 91-92, 94 Shurayh (Qadi) 171 Shuravi ibn Sligki 188 Sindhi, 'Abid 61 Subki 182 Sufyan al-Therri 24-25, 26, 29, 58, 92-94, 119, 181, 189 Sufvan jbn 'Uyayna 27-28 Sulayk al-Gharafani 171, 173-177 Sulayman ibii Shu'ayb 41 Sulayman ibn Yasar 144 Suwayd ibn Ghafala 188 Suwayd ibn S2'd 27 Suvuti 58, 66, 182, 198

Tahuani 27
al-Tahaii, Ibn Jarir 69, 95
Tahawi (Imam) 31, 41, 83, 103–104, 114, 128
al-Ta'i, Dawind ilin Nndayi 31
Tawus ibn Kisan 162
al-Tayalisi, Abn Dawnd 58
al-Taymi, Sulayman 83
Thabii al-Bonani 138
al-Thawii, Sufyan 24–25, 26, 29, 58, 69, 92–94, 119, 181, 189
Tihi 186
Timidhi, Abu 'Isa 47, 59, 61, 82, 100, 104, 119, 137, 151, 156, 182, 189, 194

"Ubada ibn al-Samit 80, 82, 83-84

'Ubaydullah ibn 'Abdillalı 143 Ubay ibn Ka'b 39, 91, 138, 140-141, 143, 179, 185, 187, 189, 191, 196, 197 'United ibn 'Abd 2l-'Aziz 140 'Um2r ibn al-Kha112li 37, 39, 77, 78, 93, 115, 96, 99, 103, 105, 109-110, 111, 128, 136, 139, 141, 143, 157, 162, 166, 171, 172-173, 179, 181, 183-190, 191-192, 194, 196-199, 204 Umiii Salama 154, 156-159, 161 'Udayli 116, 142, 196 'Unbajbii 'Antii 169 'Urwa ibn al-Zuluya 143, 171 'Urwa ibn Mitter 115 'Urbman ibn 'Affan 77, 171, 172, 185-186 'Urhman ilin Muhammad 142 'Urlimani, Shabbir Aliated XIX 'Uılımani, Taqi (Minfii) x1x, 8, 14, 157, 159 'Uthmani, Zafar xttt, 26-27, 31, 109 'Uwaymii Abii 'l-Daida' 39

Wa'il ibn Huji 57, 59, 60–61, 91–96, 114–115, 119–120 Wahb ibn Khalid 83 Wishid al-Zaman (Mawlana) 183 Waki' iliu al-Jarrali 26, 27, 31, 110 Waliyullah, Shah 187 Wahila ibn al-Asqa' 23

Yahya ibn Zakariyya ibn Abi Za'ida 31 Yahya ibn Ma'in 116, 195 Yahya ibn Sa'id 2l-Qarran 121, 187, 197 Yashi ibn Jabir 69 Yazid ibn Khusayfa 186, 197, 198 Yazid ibn Khusayfa 187

al-Zabirli, 'Abdullah ibn Jaz'a 23 al-Zabidi, Mirrada XVII al-Zabidi, Dawrid 66, 181, 189 Zakariyya (Proplici) 90 Zayd ibn 'Ayasli 29 Zayd ibn Thabir 76, 77 Zayla'i (Allana) xvii, 109 Zufar (Iliizm) 31 al-Znhri, Ilin Shihab 84, 169, 171

# Subject Index

Abrogation (naskh) 112 on the Messenger's & prayer 119, 135-137, Ahu Baki 142, 144-147, 154-155, 193-194 Caliphate of 185-186 Oil toite prayer 135-137, 141-142, forbade recitation behind imain 76 144-147, 193 goud deeds 37 'Ali ilın Abi Talib grayer of 105, 111 calighate in Kufa 99 Abu Hanifa, Imam on 'Abdullah ilin Mas'ud 37 on position of hands in prayer 60 background and titles 21 on praver after 'Asi 162 birrlr 21, 22 on prayer diffing Friday sermon 171 Companions and by 22-24 oil atminid prayer 188, 189, 190 criticism of 30-31 death 25 In witr prayer 138, 141 excellence as a scholar 24-25, 27-31 prayer of 93, 109-111 prohibited reciting heltind fizzant 77 Follower (tabi'i) xvII, 22-23 ludith etitle 27-30 Allah A hafiz (masici of hadith) 22 enrse of 35 haii 23 humility before \$9, 111 legal opinions 15, 42 Lawinisker 12 mujtahid 26-27, 31 obedience to 8, 9 natiator of hadirle xvii, xix pleasure of 34-35, 40 namator of hadirhs 22, 23-24, 26-27 prinishment from 34, 40 unlings (mass/it), abjuidance of 26-27 reverence for 57 slander against 21 Amia Adban 171 angels, said by 87, 91 audibly 87-89, 02-96 Adultery to follower of the inam (mngtadi), said Abl al-Sunna wa 't-himi'a xv-xvi, by 88-89 14, 21, 33, 98 Hanafi opinion 88, 90, 92, 94-96 'A'isha йниш (prayer leader), said by 88, 91

Maliki opinion 88

Shafi'i oninion 88

lowers 93

prolonging the voice 92, 93

practice of the Companions and Fol-

silently 87-99, 91-93, 95-96 лина of \$7, 89 virtue of 87 as a da'a' 80-00 meaning of 89 not part of the Qui'an 93 Angels 87, 91, 170-171 Ausar 191 'Arafa 149 'Arafat 106, 108, 149, 202 'Asr salar, prayer after by the Messenger # 153 on one oceasion 154-159, 163 regularly 154-157, 163 exclusive aractice of the Messenger & 160, 163 Hagafi opinion 153-154, 159, 163 anikrali tahrinii 159, 165 grobilition 153-154, 157, 160-163 'Ası salat, prayer before 162 Badı, barrle of 40, 114 Bayr al-Magdis (Jerusalem) 84 Bidit (innovation) 8, 183, 185-186, 11)9 Black stone 106 Bleeding 15 Calinles, rightly-guided (khulafa' rashiduu) 99, 105, 115, 184, 186 Combining prayers dne to fear 209 due to leavy rain 202, 209 due to illness 202, 206 due to invelling 201-202, 204-209 diffing pilgrimage 202, 204 jum' al-haqiqi (teal combining) 15,

201-202, 204, 206-210

junt al-talkhir (delayed combining)

201-210

202, 210

iam' al-suri (apparent combining) 148,

jam' al-taqdim (advanced combining) 202, 208, 210 Companions (sahaba) 'adul (museworthiness) 191 closeness to the Messinger 24-141 conflicts between 34 elevated status 33-35, 40, 140 fatatoa (legal rulings) 74 fields of study 25 following the inlings of 34, 184, 191 warnings against criticiting 34-35, 40 Congregational prayer 46, 49, 52, 67, 78-79, 134, 166-169, 180-187, 192, 195-196, 198-199 Day of Indgentent 38, 90 Dhikr (remembrance) 147, 167, 170-171 Differences of opinion XIV, XV, 3, 10-11, 55-56, 65-66, 87, 89, 97-98, 112, 118, 125-126, 133, 134, 154, 201 Du'a' (supplication) afier tasbahhud 120, 147 annin 89-90 during the sermon 167 eriquerie of 89 al-Farilia 78-79

of the Proplicis (unkiya) 89, 90 silence (khufya) in 90-91 Enjoining the right and forbidding the wrong (amr bi 'I-ma'ruf and naby 'an 'l-umukar') 167 Existent actions 101, 112, Sre also nonexistent actions

Faji, sunna prayer of after fand prayer liegins 126 after igana 125-126 after start of congregational prayer 126-127, 128-131

on combining players 205

on hardship in prayer 121

un prayer after 'Ast 154-162

on satura prayer of Fair 127

on taratuib itt avet 193-195

#### FTQ11 AL-IMAM

Companions' practice 127-130

outside main prayer area 126, 128-130

superiority of 125, 127, 130 Fand prayers balanced by sunna prayers 192 recitation in 66 Fasting xtv, to, 160 al-Fatiba is a du'a' 78 reciting behind intain XIII, 65-86 Umni al-Qur'an 83 Feer, joining during prayer 46-48 straightening prayer lines 46, 48-51 Figh (jurisprudence) compulsory acts 5 decisive proof (dalil gar 1) 70 differences of interpretation 12, 41 methodology xiv, xvii preferred (missishub) acis 48 principles (unil al-figh) 70 prohibited acis 5, 15 speculative proof (dahl gann) 71 suusta miilakkada 100 traditional schools. See madhhabs undesitable (makrish) acts 48, 165 Fibra 7 Fitra (natural path) 77 Followers (tabi'in) definition 22 elevated status 34, 140 fields of learning 25 Followers of the Followers 34 Friday sermon (khutba) equal to two rak'ats of player 166 silence duting 68, 70, 166-171, 174, 177 Fugaha' (jurists) criticism of 29-30 fuquha' sali'a (the seven great imists) statements about Abu Hanifa 22, 28

al-Gliarafani, Sulavk prayer during Friday samon 171, 173-175-175-177 Ghusl (titual bath) 168-169, 173 Hadith. a source of figh xv, xvII, 10, 25, 30 chain of narration (innad) 60, 83, 142, 198 contradictary XIV, 11, 80, 85, 93-94, 154-156 enticism 27-30 guidance directly from XVI. 8 hasan 104, 137, 143, 156 interpretation 8 ma'lal (defective) 8x manfi (negative) 156-157 marfu (linked) 26, 49, 83-85, 182, 191 ma'ruf (unlinked) 29 ntawquf (unlinked) 26, 83-85 taatonil (unbroken) 187 mursal (broken chain) 69, 74, 187 manuad 74 muthbit (affirmative) 156-157 narrated as "religious rulings" 26-27, 10 narrators. See narrators Heservation of 5 principles (used al-hadith) 18, 58, 112, 113-114, 122, 157, 168, 176 audsi 40.85 sahili (ambenticated) 80, 84, 85, 104, 106, 118, 119, 156, 168, 182 scholars. See muhaditathin text (main) 60 manylation 18, 52 verbal command (badish gambi) 122 Haj (pilgrimage) 10, 23, 149 Hanafi school arracks against 8, 33 basis of rulings 33 distinction between fard and wajib 70~71 methodology xvii 04 "amin" after al-Farilia 88, 90, 92, 94-96

on combining prayers 201-202, 206-207, 209-210 on hand position during prayer \$6-57, on prayer after 'Ast 153-154, 159, 163 on gada' prayer 153-154. on raising hands (mf al-yadaya) 98, 100-102, 113, 116 on recitation in prayer 66-67, 70-71, 78, 80-81, 85, 86 on suana prayers after Faji 126, 130-131 on wite prayer 135, 141, 147, 151 Haubali school on hand position during prayer 56 on recitation in player 66, 69 on with prayer 134-135 Hands, position during prayer brlow the chest \$6-57, 60, 63 below the navel 36, 38, 60-63 Hanbali opinion 56 hanging at sides 56-57 Hanah opinion 56-57, 60-63 Maliki opinion 56-57 near the chest \$7,59 04 the cliest 56, 57, 58-60, 63 Sliafi'i opiizion 56 sveakness of hadiths about 57-60 wилел 63 Hands, taising (naf al-yadayn) after first rajda xiv after rukii 97, 102, 112, 115 al évery movénient 102-104, 113 at opening takbir (talwina) 97, 98, 100, 102-103, 104-106, 108-113, 115-116 at third standing (giyam) 98 before riiku' xiii, 11, 97, 98, 100, 102-103, 106, 112, 115 before sajda 98, 102 beginning second tak'a 102 beginning third rak'a 102 between sijud 102 derived from the hadiths 97

Harafi opinion 98, 100–102, 113, 116 Ibn Mas'ud's opinion 99, 103, 104–105, 113
Kufa, practice of 99–100
Madina, practice of 99, 103
Makka, practice of 99, 102, 106
Maliki opinion 98, 99, 102, 106
permissibility 65, 88, 97
pruhibited tutning prayer 106–107, 111, 113, 116
Shafi'i opinion 98–99
Hyproctics (munafiqin) 203–204

Ibn 'Abbas

combining prayers 205, 209 disliked prayer or conversation during Friday sermion 169 forbade prayer after 'Asi 162-163 on recipition in prayer 76 ол шіт ргауст 137, 140-142, 147-148 raising hands in prayer 108 siiind prayei of Faji 128 Ibn Mas'nd, 'Abdullalı eloseness to the Messengri \$ 35-36, 40, II-t Companion 33, 35, 40 cititicism of 33 jinisi xvii. 33, 37, 40, 114, 129-130 knowledge of Qin'an 36-37, 39 kunya (agnonien) and titles 35, 40, 114 mother of 35-36 narrator of hadiths 33, 38-39, 40 obedience to the Messenger \$ 172 on foot position in prayer 47. on raising the hands 103, 109-111, 113 on tillina of Faji prayer 128-129 OR tarawiá praver 188 On wite prayer 139, 188 picty of 38 praises of 35-40 prohibited recitation behind the imam 69, 76, 77 students 114 teacher of Kufa 99

Ibn Tayıniya condemned "pick and mix" of rulings 16 nn hadirb from 'Ubada ibn al-Samir 83-84 nn rakiats in tarawih prayer 182 on recitation in prayer 66, 68-69, 74 oit silence during the sermoit 68 on tarainih piayer 190-191 ibn 'Umar, 'Abdullah on combining prayers 205, 207 on raising hands in prayer 103-104, 116 on recitation in prayer 76 on minna of Faji prayer 128-130 on transcribing the Qui'an and Suitna 5 OH witt prayer 138, 141, 142, 148, 150 tank as a intist 114 sitting ill latearruk positinn 119, 121-122 'Idda (waiting period after divorce) to Iftirash definition 117 in all signings 118-121, 122, 123 in first sitting 118-120 Kufa, practice of 119 permissibility 118 ljma' (consensus) 144, 179, 183, 194 ljiihad 6, 10, 12, 13, 17, 26, 3t, 42 Intams differences of opining 41-42 criquette of differences xv mujtabid. See mujtahid Imams obedience to o respect for other schools XV /milli of prayer as representative of the congregation 78-79 Aman (fairle) 22, 34 Inheritance 6 Inimictions ambiguous 11-12 clear 10 hiama no milla illavei after 125

Islamic sciences, ignorance of 6 Ka'ba 38, 106, 108, 180 Knowledge, (Yhn) propherie (nl-Ylm al-mibawi) 27 sacred 21 Kufa 22, 24, 25, 28, 36, 39, 98, 119, 181 sclinlais of 29 Literalists (Zahiriyya) 52 Mudhhabs "pick and mix" between 14-17 adopting trilings firm other 17-19 choosing 13, 17 definition to form major XIII, XIV, XV, 13-14 legality of xv unu-adhereurs xv. xvii reliance on XVII, 13, 16-47 validity xIII, 13, 14, 41 Madina Minawwata 35, 96, 98-99, 148, 180-181, 187, 189 Maghrib prayer 139, 140, 143, 150, 151 Major sins (kabuir) 204 Makka 98, 99, 162, 180-181, 189 Makrub (undesirable acts) 48 Malik, lmam basis of flak no on Muhammad ibn Islag 83 nn immber of mk'ais in with prayer 134 Maliki schaol nn "amin" afier al-Farilia 88 on hand position in player 56-57 on raising hands (raf al-yadaya) 98, 99, 102, 106 on recitation in prayer 66 on tunna prayers after Paji 126 on witr prayer 134-135 Ma'arif al-sunan (Bimnori) xix, 47 Matwa 106, 108 Masjid al-Haram 108

Messenger of Allalı 🕸 Allali's love for xiv isolated practices (shadh) 59, 101-103 obedience to 8 silling marrula (abandoned practice) 116 simila lataratairm (continuous act) 51.115 move recited in 19/17 137-138 tanlid in lifetime of 6 unique practices (khasa'is) 161 Minbar 169-170 Monn, observation of 5 Mirallal (particular canses) 7 Mufanizin (Qui'an elucidators) statements alront Abn Hanifa 22 Muhuddithin (hadith scholars) Companions and Followers 25 criticism of Hosayo ibu 'Abdillah 209 huffitz (masters) 26-27, 30-31 statements about Abit Hanifa 22 Muhajirin 191 Mujahid, on prayer during Friday sermon 170-171 Mujurbid Imanis need not follow a taadhhab 17 reliability of 41 role of xiv self-appointed xvt, 7, 17 Munfacid 65 Muqtadi (fullower in prayer) 65, 67 Municipality, incaning of 47 Minalli (worshipper) 125, 141, 169 Museatta' Imain Malik xix, 75, 76, 187 Minidalifa 106, 108, 202, 204 Nall (supercrogatory) prayers 127, 137, 146-147, 153-154, 161, 167, 192 Natrajors distif (weak) 209

kudhdhab (Hagrani liai) 156 maihul (nnknown) 29,83 reliable 27-29 thiga (reliable) 29 al-Musadir (Imam Mnhammad) 26 Navl al-awtar (Shawkani) 51, 183 Nonexistent actions 101, 112-113. See aha existent actions People of the Book. See Ahl al-Sumin wa 't-Jama'a Polytheisis (misskrikin) 71 Prayer, See salat (prayer) Prostration nf forgetfulness (mjnd al-sahw) 71, 79 of Qui'an recital (sajdat al-tilawa) 79 verses of (ayat al-sajda) 79 Qada' prayer 153-154, 155, 158, 160, 163, 203 Oibla 38 Qiyani al-layl (al-Marwari) 194 Oiyam al-layl (night vigil) 135, 149 Qiyas (analogical reasoning) 7, 31 Qualit 25, 95 Our'an 'an (general) terms 70 as some of find xv, xvii, 10, 25-26, 10, 67-68 guidance directly from 8, 37 interpretation (tafsir) 8, 12, 36, 60 Madinan verses 70 Makkan verses 70, 71 ratiin snras 186 minimal (unexplained) terms 70 ureservation of 5 recitation 36-37 silence diffing its recitation 67-79, 81, 86, 166, 169 Hanslation 18, 52 verses cited 9, 11, 38, 49, 69, 67, 70, 71, 89, 90, 111, 163, 166, 203

verse of prostration (ayat al-sajda) 79 Qurbani (animal sacrifice) 60 Rak'ats (Illiis of prayer) 79, 82 Railiadaii 182, 184-188, 190, 191, 193-195, 197 Recitation, in mayer audible prayers 65-68, 75, 86 Contpanions' practice 69, 71-72, 75-78, 82 fand prayers 66 al-Failia 65-67, 70, 71, 72, 75, 78-79, 80-85 follower of the imam (muquith) 65-69. 71-75, 76, 78-79, 80-85, 86 Hallafi irpinion 66-67, 70-71, 78, 80-81, 85, 86 Hanbali opinion 66, 69 in one's mind 84, 85 leader of the prayer (it nam) 65, 67, 71-73, 76, 78-79, 80-85, 86 lone worshipper (munfarid) 65, 66, 71. 81, 82, 85 Maliki ontition 66 minimian recitation 66, 70, 80-82 HOH-fard players 66 Shafi'i opinjon 66 sileni prayers 65-68, 79, 86 Red camels 139 Rulings (masail) 10, 26, 27 Sacred sciences xvii Sacred texts XVII. 10, 12, 21, See also under specific titles Sadaga (charity) 173 Safa 106, 108 Sahih al-Bukhari 50-51, 161, 169, 194 Sahih Muslim XIX, 104, 156, 157 Salaf salibin (pious predecessors) 199

changes XIV, 112 components "aunia" XIII, 72-73, 79, 87-96 bismi'llich oa qa'du (sitting). See sitting (qa'da) qiyain (standing) 45, 50, 79 rak'ats (mnirs) 79,82 mkn' (bowing) x111, 11, 65, 79, 126 saida (prostration) xiv salains 106-108, 130, 133-136, 139-140, D)O 14kbir 72, 79, 91, 97-99, 139 Inshalihud 119, 120 lasmi" 112, 115 1hana 91, 93, 95 congregational 46, 49, 52-53, 67, 78-79, 125, 134, 166-169, 180-187, 192, 195-196, 198-199 criticizing others' XIII, 49, 87, 97 hardship rewarded in 121 integrals (zukij) 112. joining late 125-126 musath (worshipper) 125, 141, 169 114ff (supercrogatory) 127, 137, 146-147, 153-154, 161, 167, 192 obligation of 10, 203 prostration of forgerfulness (saidat al-sahw) 71,79 prostration of Qur'an rec'tal (sajda) al-tilawa) 79 gada" 153-154, 158, 203 giyan al-layl 135, 149 reciration, See recitation, in prayer shortening (gast) 16 shiif a (dnal seis) 143 silence during 166-167 silent prayers (517) 65-68, 79, 88-89 straightening rows 48-54 sillina prayers 192 1ahajjud 135-137, 145-152, 193-194 tah'iyyat al-masjid. See tahiyyat al-masjid tahiyyat al-wudu' 154 imagnility in 10-92

Saran pillars representing, at Mina 108 protection from 36 Scholars early larjer-day xvi reliable 9, 10, 12 Schools of jurisprudence. See madhhubs Seven great jurists. See fit gaha': fuguha' sab'a Shufuq abyud (white twilight) 207 Shufaq ubumr (red twilight) 205, 207-208 Shafi'i, Invani basis of first 99, 187 interpretation of the word "quent" at on Abu Hanifa 25 on nullification of intedu' 15 Shafi'i school on "amin" after al-Fatiba 88 on distance between feet in prayer 48 on band position in prayer 56 on raising hands (raf al-yadaya) 99 on regitation in prayer 66 on just prayer 134-135 Shania derived from Onr'an and Sunna 30 followers of 10, 13 knowledge of 6, 9 principles of 12, 191 schillars of 9, 10 sources xv, xvII, 6, 8 Shirk 8, 9, 13, 14 Shoulders, joining in prayer 48-51, 53 Sitting (aa'da) ifarash. See iftinash iambbu' (cross legged). See tambbu' (sitting cross legged) Lawarrak. See Lawarruk various opinions 117-118 Siwak (100thstick) 137, 144

Sunan al-Tirmidhi XIX. 100 Sunna mu'akkada 190 Sunna prayer of Faji. See Faji, sunna prayer of of other prayers 126, 127 taranák. See taranéh prayet, rak'ats of Supris, See Ahl al-Suma wa 'l-Juna'a Surai al-Failha, See al-Failha Suira (barriet) 79 Tabuk 208 Tadhkirat al-buffaz (Dhahabi) 27, 30 Tafsir Abii Hariini 69 Jbii Jarii 69 Mujahid 69 Tahajjiid prayer 135-137, 145-152, 193-194 Tahiyyat al-niasjid forbidden after 'Ast prayer 153 forbidden during Friday sermon 165-167, 175-177 times when it is makruh 165 Tahiyyat al-iviidii' 154

Taglid Іниман пагнте 4 legality of XVII, 8, 14 literal definition 3 necessity (101174b) xv1, 4-19, 16 shakhsi 6. 7 technical definition XVII, 3 Tarabbu' (sitting cross-legged) due 10 weakitess 122 Tarajulh prayer

emphasized (mulakkada) simul 186. 190, 190-191 number of rak'ats ciglii rak'iiis 179, 181-183, 192-196,

eleven rak'ats 189, 197-199 forty-one rak'ats 180, 189

Salas (prayer)

barrier (sutra) 70

audilile prayers (jahri) 65-68, 88-89, 93

#### FIQII AL-IMAM

Flanafi opinion 180-181, 189-191 Haubali opiniou 180-181, 189 lack of authentic natiations 182-183 Maliki opinion 186, 187, 189, 194, 197 Shafi'i opinion 180-181, 187, 189, 190 thirty-six rak'ats 180-181, 180 twenty rak'att 179-181, 183-190, 198-200 macrice of Companions 179-180, 183-187, 189-191, 198-199 practice of the Messenger \$ 182-184. 186, 195 Tawaf (circumambularion) 180 Tamarnik definition 117 dife to weakness 127-124 in all sittings in 8 in final sining 118, 122 in second sitting 118-119. permissibility 118, 123-124 Taubid 8 Thana 91, 93, 95 Travellers, prayer of 15-16, 201-202. 204-200 Truth (hag) 41-42 Uhud (monni) 38 'Umar ibn al-Khanab combining prayers a major sin 204 forbade recitation behind imam 77, 78 on Friday prayer 166 on Ibn Mas'ud 37 on prayer after 'Ast 162 on prayer during Friday sermon 169. 171, 172-173 prayer of 93, 95, 105, 109-111, 136 mk'an in tarawih jurayer 179, 183-192, 126-198, 199 witr prayer 139, 141 Umma best of 34

Witz prayer Hanafi opinion 135, 141, 147, 151-152 Hanbali opinium 134-135 iii Ramadan 134, 135, 181, 187-180. 191-195, 198 Maliki opiniou 134-135 number of mkhis eleven nik'ais 135, 144 five rak'are 136 one mk'a 133-135, 139, 142, 148-151 seven rak'an 144 three rak als 134-136, 138-146, 149-151 number of salains 133 in congregation 134 Olic Sel 134-135, 139-147 Iwo sels 134, 141-142 Shafi'i opinion 134-135 tabajjud described as 136, 144-148, 150 Winnen, touching 15 Worship (Tanda) changes xiv. See also specific acts of worship Wildu' 7, 15, 137, 144 Wichel bi 7-dhas 4,5 bi T-ghayr 4.5 Wagaf (standing in 'Arafar) 149 Yemen 6, 35, 96 Zakariyya, du'a' of 90 Zakai io Zuhr, sunna prayer after 155, 158, 160, 163

# About the Author

The author, Mufti Abdur-Rahman Ibn Yusuf Mangera, has been studying the traditional Islamic sciences and writing scholarly works for most of his life. He completed the bulk of his studies at Darul Uloom Bury, North England, where he memorized the Qur'an by age fifteen and thereafter went on in complete a rigorous, six-year Shan'a program. He graduated from this program with authoric certifications [ijaza] in numerous Islamic disciplines, including Arabic, Islamic jurisprudence, and harlith (with particular emphasis on the six authoric books of hadith [Sihah Sitta] and the Muwattas of Imam Malik and Iman Muhammad). His teachers at Darul Uloom Bury included Shaykh Yusuf Motala and other students of Shaykh al-Hadith Mawlana Muhammad Zakariyya Kandhlawi.

After graduating, the author traveled to South Africa, where he attended Madrasah Zakariyyah part-time to gain specialized training in answering legal questions [ifia] under Mufti Rada al-Haq. While in South Africa, he also completed a B.A. with honors in Islamic studies at Rand Afrikaans University, Johannesburg, under Professor Abdul-Rahman Doi, Ph.D.

He then traveled in Syria, where he received a second certification in Qur'anic recitation and memorization, this time from Shaykh 'Ahd al-Razzaq al-Halabi, who possessed a short, unbroken chain of transmission [sanad] to the Messenger of Allah : in this subject. He also received a certification from Shaykh Arlib Kallas after reading Mulla 'Ali al-Qari's Sharh al-Figh al-Akbar and attending lectures on other classical texts of Islamic creed [aqida].

He spent the following year in Saliaranpur, India, where he received

formal authorization to issue legal rulings [fatawa], which required a close study of part or all of a number of classical jurisprindential texts, including, among others, Ibn Nijayin's Al-Ashbah wa 'I-naza'ir and 'Allania Haskafi's Al-Durr al-mukhtar (along with its commentary, Radd al-muhtan by 'Allama Ibn 'Abidin al-Shami). During this time, Mufti Abdur-Rahman also attended classes on the principles of hadith [usul al-hadith], studying 'Allama Lakhnawi's Al-Raf' wa 'I-takmil fi 'I-jarh wa 'I-ta'dil and parts of Imam Suyuti's Tadrib al-rawi'.

The author acquired additional certifications in liadith from such great scholars as Shaykh Muhaddith Habib al-Rahmun al-A'zami (through his student Shaykh Muhati Zayu al-'Abidin), Shaykh Altıt 'I-Hasan 'Ali Nadwi, and Shaykh Muhatimad al-'Awwanta. May Allah continue to bless those of his teachers who are still alive and have mercy on those who have passed on to the next life.

To date, Musti Abdur-Rahman has written (1) Figh al-Imam: Key Proofs in Hanafi Figh (1996), (2) Provisions for the Seckers (2005), a manslation and commentary of the Arabic work Zad al-Tulibin, a small collection of short hadiths compiled by Mawlana 'Ashiq Ilahi from 'Allama Tabrizi's Mishkat al-Masabih, (3) Prayers for Forgiveness: Seeking Spiritual Enlightenment through Sincere Supplication (2004), a translation of Al-Istighfurat al-Mangidha min al-Nar, a collection of seventy prayers for forgiveness transmitted from Hasan al-Basri, (4) Imam Abu Hanifa's Al-Figh al-Akbar Explained (2007), a translation of Al-Figh al-Akbar along with Abu 'l-Muntaha al-Maghnisawi's commentary and selections from 'Ali al-Qari's commentary, including Abu Hanifa's Kitab al-Wasiyya, (5) Salat & Salam: In Praise of Allah's Most Beloved (2007), a manual of blessings and peace upon the Prupher Muhammad &, and (6) co-ambored Reflections of Petrls (2005).

He presently serves as imam of a California mosque and continues to work on scholarly publications through White Thread Press.

Some of his fatawa can be found at www.whitethreadpress.com and www.snnnipath.com and some of his lectures at wnnuzamzamacadenty.com and www.ab rashad.com.

IN RECENT DECAUES many atracks have been launched against the concept of taylid, or following a school of Islamic law. Opposition has ranged from being mild with degrees of acceptance to malicious atracks. Certain extreme elements have gone so far as to brand those who follow a school [madbhab] as polytheist [mushrik]. Much of the opposition has been a result of misunderstanding the realities of this concept.

The first part of this book seeks to clarify certain aspects of taglid that have been misunderstood and gravely distorted. It sheds light on the necessity of taglid, its history, and its role in tollay's world. The second part includes several chapters devoted to issues regarding prayer [salat] according to the Hanafi school of law. Through illustrative examples and detailed rliscussions, the chapters on prayer sufficiently demonstrate the sophisticated legal philosophy employed by the Hanafi school (and, indeed, all the madhhabs) in their derivation of legal rulings from the source rexts of Islam.

All rulings have been supported with evidentiary proofs from the Qut'an and Harlith. The author delivers an even-handed presentation of arguments throughout the bruk. He intends neither to offend not to petperuate polemic disputes, but rather to state the facts in a lucid and rational style, with a view to appeal to the reader's sense of reason.



Amora-Ramman inn Yusur has undied in England, India, South Africa and Syria nuclei a monther of pradicional reludors. He received formula amborizations [ijazat] from his trachers in the major Islamic sciences, including a specialization in the science of answering legal questions [ifat]. He presently serves or an informat a California bosque and is remking on several academic and scholarly publications.



